

# Convex co-compact representations of 3-manifold groups

joint with Mitul Islam (5<sup>th</sup> year graduate student at Michigan)

---

Andrew Zimmer

November 9, 2020

University of Wisconsin-Madison

## Outline:

- Background (slide 3)
  - Anosov representations
  - convex co-compact representations
- Results (slide 13)
- Proofs (slide 34)

## Part 1: Background

# Background: Anosov representations

“**Definition:**” Suppose:

- $G$  is a semisimple Lie group (e.g.  $G = \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ )
- $P \leq G$  is a parabolic subgroup (e.g. the stabilizer of a line)
- $\Gamma$  is a word hyperbolic group
- $\partial_\infty \Gamma$  is the Gromov boundary of  $\Gamma$

A representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$  is  **$P$ -Anosov** if there exists an embedding  $\xi : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow G/P$  with “good dynamical behavior”.

## Properties:

1. Discrete image, finite kernel
2. If  $X = G/K$  is the symmetric space associated to  $G$  and  $x_0 \in X$ , then the orbit map  $\gamma \rightarrow \rho(\gamma) \cdot x_0$  is a quasi-isometry
3. Stable under deformations
4. When  $G = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^d)$  and  $P \leq G$  is any parabolic, then  $P$ -Anosov if and only if convex co-compact
5. Many examples in higher rank

## Background: Anosov representations

When  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  and  $P_1 = (\text{stabilizer of a line})$ , then  $P_1$ -Anosov representations are often called projective Anosov representations since  $G/P_1 \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Precise Definition [Tsouvalas 2020?]:** Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a word hyperbolic group. A representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is called projective Anosov if there exists continuous  $\rho$ -equivariant embeddings

$$\xi : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } \eta : \partial_\infty \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

such that:

- $\xi(x) + \eta(y) = \mathbb{R}^d$  for all  $x, y \in \partial_\infty \Gamma$  distinct,
- if  $\gamma_n \rightarrow x \in \partial_\infty \Gamma$  and  $\gamma_n^{-1} \rightarrow y \in \partial_\infty \Gamma$ , then

$$\rho(\gamma_n)\ell \rightarrow \xi(x)$$

for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) \setminus \mathbb{P}(\eta(y))$  (i.e.  $\ell$  is transverse to  $\eta(y)$ )

**Note:** The second condition is equivalent to  $\rho(\gamma_n) \rightarrow T$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{R}^d))$  where  $\mathrm{Im}(T) = \xi(x)$  and  $\ker(T) = \eta(y)$ .

**Theorem [Guichard-Weinhard 2012]:** If  $G$  is a semisimple Lie group and  $P \leq G$  is a parabolic subgroup, then there exists  $d > 0$  and an irreducible representation  $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  such that the following are equivalent:

1.  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$  is  $P$ -Anosov
2.  $\phi \circ \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is projective Anosov

## Background: Anosov representations

Anosov representations seem to be the right class of representations to consider for word hyperbolic groups

1. Flexible - many examples
2. Rigid - can prove theorems about them

**Question:** How to move beyond the word hyperbolic case?

**One proposed solution:** Convex co-compact representations in the sense of Danciger-Guéritaуд-Kassel

**See also:** “relative Anosov representations” in the sense of Kapovich-Leeb or Zhu

## Background: convex co-compact subgroups

The setup:

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a **properly convex domain**, that is a bounded convex open subset of some affine chart
- The **automorphism group** is

$$\text{Aut}(\Omega) = \{g \in \text{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R}) : g\Omega = \Omega\}.$$

- The **Hilbert distance** between  $p, q \in \Omega$  is

$$H_\Omega(p, q) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\|p - b\| \|q - a\|}{\|p - a\| \|q - b\|}$$

**Classical Theorem:** If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain, then:

- $(\Omega, H_\Omega)$  is a proper geodesic metric space and line segments can be parametrized as geodesics.
- $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$  acts by isometries on  $(\Omega, H_\Omega)$ .



**Example:** If

$$\mathbb{B} = \left\{ [x_1 : \cdots : x_{d+1}] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}) : x_2^2 + \cdots + x_{d+1}^2 < x_1^2 \right\},$$

then  $(\mathbb{B}, H_{\mathbb{B}})$  is the Klein-Beltrami model of real hyperbolic  $d$ -space and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}) = \text{PO}(1, d)$ .

**Definition [Danciger-Guéritaud-Kassel]:** Suppose  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain and  $\Lambda \leq \text{Aut}(\Omega)$  is a discrete group.

- The limit set  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega(\Lambda) \subset \partial\Omega$  is the set of  $x \in \partial\Omega$  where there exists  $p \in \Omega$  and  $\gamma_n \in \Lambda$  such that  $\gamma_n p \rightarrow x$ .
- The convex hull  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda) \subset \Omega$  is the convex hull of  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  in  $\Omega$ .
- $\Lambda$  is convex co-compact if  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  is compact.

**Definition:** A representation  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is convex co-compact if  $\ker \rho$  is finite,  $\rho(\Gamma)$  is discrete, and there exists a properly convex domain  $\Omega$  such that  $\rho(\Gamma) \leq \text{Aut}(\Omega)$  is convex co-compact.

## Background: convex co-compact subgroups

**Theorem [D.-G.-K. 2017]:** If  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is convex co-compact, then:

1. any sufficiently small deformation of  $\rho$  is convex co-compact
2. if  $x \in X = \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{PO}(d)$ , then the orbit map  $\gamma \in \Gamma \rightarrow \rho(\gamma)x \in X$  is a quasi-isometry

**Theorem [D.-G.-K. 2017, Z. 2017 (in irreducible case)]:** If  $\Gamma$  is word hyperbolic and  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is convex co-compact, then  $\rho$  is projective Anosov.

**Theorem [Z. 2017 (in Zariski dense case), D.-G.-K. 2017 (implicit)]:** If  $G$  is a semisimple Lie group and  $P \leq G$  is a parabolic subgroup, then there exists  $d > 0$  and an irreducible representation  $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  such that the following are equivalent:

1.  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow G$  is  $P$ -Anosov
2.  $\phi \circ \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is convex co-compact

**Theorem [Z. 2017 (in irreducible case)]:** If  $\Gamma$  is a one-ended word hyperbolic group which is not commensurable to a surface group, then any projective Anosov representation of  $\Gamma$  is convex co-compact.

**Questions:** What non-word hyperbolic groups can admit convex co-compact representations?

**Examples:**

- if  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  admit a convex co-compact representation, then so does  $\Gamma_1 * \Gamma_2$  (claimed by D.-G.-K. 2017)
- fundamental groups of certain non-geometric 3-manifolds where every component in the geometric decomposition is hyperbolic (Benoist 2006, Danciger-Ballas-Lee 2018)
- certain Coexter groups (Choi-Lee-Marquis 2016)
- uniform lattices in  $SL_d(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $SL_d(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $SL_d(\mathbb{H})$ ,  $SL_3(\mathbb{O})$

## Part 2: Results

**Based on:** joint work with Mitul Islam (5<sup>th</sup> year graduate student at Michigan)

- A flat torus theorem for convex co-compact actions of projective linear groups (ArXiv 2019)
- Convex co-compact actions of relatively hyperbolic groups (ArXiv 2019)
- Convex co-compact representations of 3-manifold groups (ArXiv 2020)

**General approach:** Convex co-compact groups should behave a lot like CAT(0)-groups

- metric balls in the Hilbert distance are convex

But...

- two points can sometimes be joined by infinitely many geodesics in  $(\Omega, H_\Omega)$
- **Kelly-Straus 1958:**  $(\Omega, H_\Omega)$  is CAT(0) if and only if  $(\Omega, H_\Omega)$  is the Klein-Beltrami model of real hyperbolic space (up to a change of coordinates)

## Part 2 (a): A flat torus theorem

- A flat torus theorem for convex co-compact actions of projective linear groups (ArXiv 2019)

## Properly embedded simplices

The analog of isometrically embedded flats in  $\text{CAT}(0)$  spaces seem to be properly embedded simplices

### Definition:

- A subset  $S \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a  $k$ -dimensional simplex if there exists  $g \in \text{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$gS = \left\{ [1 : x_1 : \cdots : x_k : 0 : \cdots : 0] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) : x_1, \dots, x_k > 0 \text{ and } \sum x_j < 1 \right\}.$$

- $S$  is properly embedded in  $\Omega$  if  $S \subset \Omega$  and  $\partial S \subset \partial \Omega$ .

**Proposition:** If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain and  $S \subset \Omega$  is a properly embedded  $k$ -simplex, then  $(S, H_\Omega) = (S, H_S)$  is isometric to  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with the norm

$$\|v\| = \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} |v_i|, \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} |v_i - v_j| \right\}.$$



**Fact:** If  $S \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a simplex, then  $\text{Aut}(S)$  acts transitively on  $S$ .

**Proof:** Up to a change of coordinates

$$S = \left\{ [x_1 : \cdots : x_{k+1} : 0 : \cdots : 0] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d) : x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} > 0 \right\}.$$

Then the group of diagonal matrices with positive entries acts transitively on  $S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem [Foertsch-Karlssohn 2005]:** If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain, then  $(\Omega, H_\Omega)$  is isometric to a normed vector space if and only if  $\Omega$  is a simplex.

**Note:** By Colbois-Verovic 2009:  $(\Omega, H_\Omega)$  is quasi-isometric to a normed vector space if and only if  $\Omega$  is a convex polygon.

We proved the following analogue of the CAT(0) flat torus theorem of Gromoll-Wolf and Lawson-Yau.

**Theorem [Islam-Z. 2019]:** Suppose  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain and  $\Lambda \leq \text{Aut}(\Omega)$  is convex co-compact. If  $A \leq \Lambda$  is a maximal Abelian subgroup of  $\Lambda$ , then there exists a properly embedded simplex  $S \subset \mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  such that:

1.  $S$  is  $A$ -invariant,
2.  $A$  acts co-compactly on  $S$ , and
3.  $A$  fixes each vertex of  $S$ .

Moreover,  $A$  has a finite index subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^{\dim(S)}$ .

**Note:** When  $d = 4$  and  $\Lambda$  acts co-compactly on  $\Omega$ , the above theorem was established by Benoist (2006) by computing all possible Zariski closures of Abelian subgroups in  $\text{PGL}_4(\mathbb{R})$ .

## Part 2 (b): Relatively hyperbolic groups

- Convex co-compact actions of relatively hyperbolic groups (ArXiv 2019)

**Theorem [Danciger-Guéritaud-Kassel 2017]:** Suppose  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain and  $\Lambda \leq \text{Aut}(\Omega)$  is convex co-compact. Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  contains no properly embedded simplices with dimension at least two,
2.  $(\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda), H_\Omega)$  is Gromov hyperbolic,
3.  $\Lambda$  is word hyperbolic.

**Note:** When  $\Lambda$  acts co-compactly on  $\Omega$ , the above theorem was established by Benoist (2004).

**Question [D.-G.-K. 2017]:** Under what conditions is  $\Lambda$  relatively hyperbolic with respect to a collection of virtually Abelian subgroups?

**Theorem [Islam-Z. 2019]:** Suppose  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain,  $\Lambda \leq \text{Aut}(\Omega)$  is convex co-compact, and  $\mathcal{S}_{max}$  is the family of all maximal properly embedded simplices in  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  of dimension at least two. Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $\mathcal{S}_{max}$  is closed and discrete in the local Hausdorff topology induced by  $H_\Omega$ ,
2.  $(\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda), H_\Omega)$  is a relatively hyperbolic space with respect to  $\mathcal{S}_{max}$ ,
3.  $(\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda), H_\Omega)$  is a relatively hyperbolic space with respect to a family of properly embedded simplices in  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  of dimension at least two,
4.  $\Lambda$  is a relatively hyperbolic group with respect to a collection of virtually Abelian subgroups of rank at least two.

**Note:** Similar to results of Hruska-Kleiner (2005) for CAT(0)-groups, but the proofs are different.

**Theorem [Islam-Z. 2019]:** Suppose  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain,  $\Lambda \leq \text{Aut}(\Omega)$  is convex co-compact, and  $\mathcal{S}_{max}$  is the family of all maximal properly embedded simplices in  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  of dimension at least two.

If  $\mathcal{S}_{max}$  is closed and discrete in the local Hausdorff topology induced by  $H_\Omega$ , then:

1. If  $S \in \mathcal{S}_{max}$ , then  $\text{Stab}_\Lambda(S)$  acts co-compactly on  $S$  and contains a finite index subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^{\dim S}$ .
2.  $\Lambda$  has finitely many orbits in  $\mathcal{S}_{max}$  and if  $\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$  is a set of orbit representatives, then  $\Lambda$  is a relatively hyperbolic group with respect to

$$\{\text{Stab}_\Lambda(S_1), \dots, \text{Stab}_\Lambda(S_m)\}.$$

3. If  $A \leq \Lambda$  is an infinite Abelian subgroup of rank at least two, then there exists a unique  $S \in \mathcal{S}_{max}$  with  $A \leq \text{Stab}_\Lambda(S)$ .
4. If  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{max}$  are distinct, then  $\#(S_1 \cap S_2) \leq 1$  and  $\partial S_1 \cap \partial S_2 = \emptyset$ .
5. If  $\ell \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)} \cap \partial\Omega$  is a non-trivial line segment, then there exists  $S \in \mathcal{S}_{max}$  with  $\ell \subset \partial S$ .
6. If  $x \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)} \cap \partial\Omega$  is not a  $C^1$ -smooth point of  $\partial\Omega$ , then there exists  $S \in \mathcal{S}_{max}$  with  $x \in \partial S$ .

## Part 2 (c): 3-manifold groups

- Convex co-compact representations of 3-manifold groups (ArXiv 2020)

## 3-manifold groups

**Theorem [Benoist 2006]:** If  $M$  is a closed irreducible orientable 3-manifold and  $M$  admits a convex real projective structure, then either

1.  $M$  is geometric with geometry  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^2$ , or  $\mathbb{H}^3$ ,
2.  $M$  is non-geometric and every component in the geometric decomposition is hyperbolic.

**Recall**, a convex real projective structure on a manifold  $M$  is a homeomorphism  $M \cong \Lambda \backslash \Omega$  where

- $\tilde{M} \cong \Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain (note:  $d = \dim M + 1$ )
- $\pi_1(M) \cong \Lambda \leq \text{Aut}(\Omega)$  acts freely and properly discontinuously on  $\Omega$

If  $M$  is closed, then  $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Omega$  acts co-compactly and so  $\pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda \leq \text{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is a convex co-compact representation.

**Question:** Which 3-manifold groups admit convex co-compact representations?



**Theorem [Islam-Z. 2020]:** Suppose  $M$  is a closed irreducible orientable 3-manifold. If  $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is a convex co-compact representation, then either

1.  $M$  is geometric with geometry  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^2$ , or  $\mathbb{H}^3$ ,
2.  $M$  is non-geometric and every component in the geometric decomposition is hyperbolic.

In each case we can describe the structure of examples.

## The structure of $\mathbb{R}^3$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^2$ examples

In this case, convex co-compact representations come from convex real projective structures.

**Proposition:** Suppose  $M$  is a closed 3-manifold with  $\mathbb{R}^3$  or  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^2$  geometry. If

- $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is a convex co-compact representation and
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain where  $\Lambda := \rho(\pi_1(M)) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Omega)$  is convex co-compact,

then there exists a four dimensional linear subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda) = \Omega \cap \mathbb{P}(V).$$

Moreover,

1. If  $M$  has  $\mathbb{R}^3$  geometry, then  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  is a properly embedded simplex in  $\Omega$ ,
2. If  $M$  has  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^2$  geometry, then  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  is a properly embedded cone in  $\Omega$  with strictly convex base.

In both cases,  $M \cong \Lambda \setminus \mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$ .

# The structure of $\mathbb{H}^3$ examples

Using work in D.-G.-K. 2017 and Z. 2017:

**Proposition:** Suppose  $M$  is a closed 3-manifold with  $\mathbb{H}^3$  geometry. If

- $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is a convex co-compact representation and
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain where  $\Lambda := \rho(\pi_1(M)) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Omega)$  is convex co-compact,

then  $\rho$  is projective Anosov. Moreover, if  $\xi : \partial_\infty \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the Anosov boundary map, then

- $\mathrm{Image}(\xi) = \partial_i \mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$ ,
- $\partial_i \mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  contains no non-trivial line segments
- every point in  $\partial_i \mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  is a  $C^1$  point of  $\partial\Omega$ .

**Notation:**  $\partial_i \mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda) := \overline{\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)} \cap \partial\Omega$  is the ideal boundary

Suppose

- $M$  is non-geometric and every component in the geometric decomposition is hyperbolic,
- $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is convex co-compact,
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain where  $\Lambda := \rho(\pi_1(M)) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Omega)$  is convex co-compact, and
- $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$ .

**Dahmani's combination theorem (2003):**  $\pi_1(M)$  is relatively hyperbolic with respect to a collection of subgroups virtually isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  (namely the fundamental groups of the Klein bottles and tori in the geometric decomposition).

## Non-geometric examples - structure of the domain

Let  $\mathcal{S}_{max}$  denote the collection of **all** properly embedded simplices in  $\mathcal{C}$  of dimension at least two.

By results in Islam-Z. 2019:

- $(\mathcal{C}, H_\Omega)$  is relatively hyperbolic with respect to  $\mathcal{S}_{max}$ .
- $\mathcal{S}_{max}$  is closed and discrete in the local Hausdorff topology.
- Every line segment in  $\partial_i \mathcal{C}$  is contained in the boundary of a simplex in  $\mathcal{S}_{max}$ .
- If  $x \in \partial_i \mathcal{C}$  is not a  $C^1$ -smooth point of  $\partial\Omega$ , then there exists  $S \in \mathcal{S}_{max}$  with  $x \in \partial S$ .

And

- If  $S \in \mathcal{S}_{max}$ , then  $S$  is two dimensional,  $\text{Stab}_\Lambda(S)$  acts co-compactly on  $S$ , and  $\text{Stab}_\Lambda(S)$  is virtually isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .
- If  $A \leq \Lambda$  is an Abelian subgroup with rank at least two, then  $A$  is virtually isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  and there exists a unique  $S \in \mathcal{S}_{max}$  such that  $A \leq \text{Stab}_\Lambda(S)$ .

**Recall:**  $\Lambda = \rho(\pi_1(M))$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$ , and  $\partial_i \mathcal{C} = \bar{\mathcal{C}} \cap \partial\Omega$ .

**Leeb 1995:** We can assume that  $M$  is a non-positively curved Riemannian manifold

**Hruska-Kleiner 2005:** If  $\pi_1(M)$  acts geometrically on a CAT(0) space  $X$ , then there exists an equivariant homeomorphism  $\tilde{M}(\infty) \rightarrow X(\infty)$ .

**Question:** Does there exist a  $\rho$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $\tilde{M}(\infty) \rightarrow \partial_i \mathcal{C}$ ?

**Recall:** In the hyperbolic/Anosov case there exists a  $\rho$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $\partial_\infty \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \partial_i \mathcal{C}$ .

## Non-geometric examples - equivariant boundary maps

**Question:** Does there exist a  $\rho$ -equivariant homeomorphism  $\tilde{M}(\infty) \rightarrow \partial_i \mathcal{C}$ ?

**Answer:** No.

## Non-geometric examples - equivariant boundary maps

Let:

- $\tilde{M}(\infty)/\sim$  denote the quotient of  $\tilde{M}(\infty)$  obtained by identifying points which are in the geodesic boundary of the same flat
- $\partial_i \mathcal{C} / \sim$  denote the quotient of  $\partial_i \mathcal{C}$  obtained by identifying points which are in the boundary of the same simplex in  $\mathcal{S}_{max}$ .

**Theorem [Tran 2013]:**  $\tilde{M}(\infty)/\sim$  is the Bowditch boundary of  $\pi_1(M)$ .

**Theorem [Islam-Z. 2020]:** Any  $\rho$ -equivariant quasi-isometry  $\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  extends to a  $\rho$ -equivariant homeomorphism

$$\tilde{M}(\infty)/\sim \longrightarrow \partial_i \mathcal{C} / \sim.$$

**Note:** Can also be derived from a recent general result of Weisman (2020) about convex co-compact representations of relatively hyperbolic groups.



# Non-geometric examples - dynamics

Using the identification  $\tilde{M}(\infty)/\sim \rightarrow \partial_i \mathcal{C}/\sim$  we can prove:

**Theorem [Islam-Z. 2020]:**  $\Lambda = \rho(\pi_1(M))$  acts minimally on  $\partial_i \mathcal{C}$ .

**Corollary:** The geodesic flow associated to  $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{C}$  is topologically transitive.

**Note:** If  $\Lambda \leq \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is strongly irreducible, then using a result of Blayac (2020) the Corollary can be upgraded to topologically mixing.

## What is the geodesic flow?

- Let  $\mathcal{G}_\Omega$  denote the space of unit speed geodesic lines in  $\Omega$  which parametrize line segments.
- The geodesic flow  $\phi_t : \mathcal{G}_\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_\Omega$  is defined by  $\phi_t(\gamma) = \gamma(\cdot + t)$
- Let  $\mathcal{G}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  denote the subset of  $\mathcal{G}_\Omega$  whose image is contained in  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$ .
- $\phi_t$  descends to the compact quotient  $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{G}_\Omega(\Lambda)$

## Part 3: Proofs of the 3-manifold results

**Theorem [Islam-Z. 2020]:** Suppose

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain,
- $\Lambda \leq \text{Aut}(\Omega)$  is convex co-compact,
- $A \leq \Lambda$  is an infinite Abelian subgroup, and
- $C_\Lambda(A)$  is the centralizer of  $A$  in  $\Lambda$ .

If

$$V := \text{Span} \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} : [v] \in \overline{C_\Omega(\Lambda)} \text{ and } a[v] = [v] \text{ for all } a \in A \right\},$$

then  $\Omega \cap \mathbb{P}(V)$  is a non-empty  $C_\Lambda(A)$ -invariant properly convex domain in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  and the quotient  $C_\Lambda(A) \backslash \Omega \cap \mathbb{P}(V)$  is compact.

**Corollary:**  $C_\Lambda(A)$  is virtually the fundamental group of a closed aspherical  $(\dim V - 1)$ -manifold.

**Corollary:** If  $N$  is the normalizer of  $A$  in  $\Lambda$ , then  $C_\Lambda(A)$  has finite index in  $N$ .

## Proof - main result

**Theorem [Islam-Z. 2020]:** Suppose  $M$  is a closed irreducible orientable 3-manifold. If  $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is a convex co-compact representation, then either

1.  $M$  is geometric with geometry  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^2$ , or  $\mathbb{H}^3$ ,
  2.  $M$  is non-geometric and every component in the geometric decomposition is hyperbolic.
- 

**Proof sketch:** In non-geometric case either

1. every component in the geometric decomposition is hyperbolic or
2. there exists a Seifert fibered component in the geometric decomposition

Suppose for a contradiction that there exists a Seifert fibered component  $S$ . Let  $\langle h \rangle$  denote the infinite cyclic subgroup in  $\pi_1(S)$  generated by a regular fiber. Then

- $C_{\pi_1(S)}(h)$  has finite index in  $\pi_1(S)$ ,
- $C_{\pi_1(S)}(h) = C_{\pi_1(M)}(h)$ ,
- $\pi_1(S)$  is virtually isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times F_m$

But by centralizer result  $C_{\pi_1(M)}(h)$  is virtually the fundamental group of a closed aspherical manifold.

So  $\mathbb{Z} \times F_m$  is virtually the fundamental group of a closed aspherical manifold.  
Contradiction.

## Proof - structure of $\mathbb{R}^3$ or $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^2$ manifolds

**Proposition:** Suppose  $M$  is a closed 3-manifold with  $\mathbb{R}^3$  or  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^2$  geometry. If

- $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is a convex co-compact representation and
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain where  $\Lambda := \rho(\pi_1(M)) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Omega)$  is convex co-compact,

then there exists a four dimensional linear subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda) = \Omega \cap \mathbb{P}(V).$$

Moreover,

1. If  $M$  has  $\mathbb{R}^3$  geometry, then  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  is a properly embedded simplex in  $\Omega$ ,
2. If  $M$  has  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^2$  geometry, then  $\mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$  is a properly embedded cone in  $\Omega$  with strictly convex base.

In both cases,  $M \cong \Lambda \setminus \mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$ .

---

**Proof sketch:** Almost immediate from structure of centralizers

## Proof - structure of non-geometric examples

Suppose

- $M$  is non-geometric and every component in the geometric decomposition is hyperbolic,
- $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{R})$  is convex co-compact,
- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a properly convex domain where  $\Lambda := \rho(\pi_1(M)) \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\Omega)$  is convex co-compact,
- $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C}_\Omega(\Lambda)$ , and
- $\partial_i \mathcal{C} := \bar{\mathcal{C}} \cap \partial\Omega$ .

**Theorem:** Any  $\rho$ -equivariant quasi-isometry  $\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  extends to a  $\rho$ -equivariant homeomorphism

$$\tilde{M}(\infty)/\sim \longrightarrow \partial_i \mathcal{C} / \sim.$$

**Theorem:**  $\Lambda = \rho(\pi_1(M))$  acts minimally on  $\partial_i \mathcal{C}$ .

**Corollary:** The geodesic flow associated to  $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{C}$  is topologically transitive.

## Proof - structure of non-geometric examples

**Theorem:** Any  $\rho$ -equivariant quasi-isometry  $\tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  extends to a  $\rho$ -equivariant homeomorphism

$$\tilde{M}(\infty)/\sim \longrightarrow \partial_i \mathcal{C} / \sim.$$

Key tool:

**Relative Fellow Traveller Property [Druţu-Sapir]:** Suppose  $(X, \text{dist})$  is relatively hyperbolic with respect to  $\mathcal{Y}$ . For  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ , then there exists  $L = L(\alpha, \beta) > 0$  with the following property: if  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$  and  $\sigma : [a', b'] \rightarrow X$  are  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -quasi-geodesics with the same endpoints, then there exist partitions

$$\begin{aligned} a &= t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{m+1} = b \\ a' &= t'_0 < t'_1 < \cdots < t'_{m+1} = b' \end{aligned}$$

where for all  $0 \leq i \leq m$

$$\text{dist}(\gamma(t_i), \sigma(t'_i)) \leq L$$

and either

1.  $\text{dist}^{\text{Haus}}(\gamma|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}, \sigma|_{[t'_i, t'_{i+1}]}) \leq L$  or
2.  $\gamma|_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}, \sigma|_{[t'_i, t'_{i+1}]} \subset \mathcal{N}(Y; L)$  for some  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ .

**Theorem:**  $\Lambda = \rho(\pi_1(M))$  acts minimally on  $\partial_i \mathcal{C}$ .



**Corollary:** The geodesic flow associated to  $\Lambda \backslash \mathcal{C}$  is topologically transitive.

**Proof sketch:** Modify the proof that the geodesic flow on a closed NPC manifold is topologically transitive if and only if the fundamental group acts minimally on the geodesic boundary.

The End