ECON 481-3 LECTURE 11: UNIFORMITY OF THE t-TEST

Ivan A. Canay Northwestern University



LAST CLASS

- Uniformity and definition of "Size"
- The Bahadur-Savage (1956) Problem
- Extension by Romano (2004)
- Applications



- Dist. with compact support
- **Dist.** with $2 + \delta$ moments
- Uniformity of the t-test
- Power of the t-test





INTRODUCTION

- Last class: covered the important result by Bahadur and Savage, and some generalizations.
- The class of distributions that satisfy the conditions of their theorem is large.
- Example: the class of distributions with finite second moment satisfies the requirements of the theorem, as does the class of distributions with infinitely many moments.
- We concluded that the failure of the *t*-test is not special to the *t*-test; in this setting, there simply exist no "reasonable" tests.
- **Problem**: the mean $\mu(P)$ is quite sensitive for the tails of *P*, and one sample yields little information about the tails.
- **TODAY**: Let's study conditions to **save** our good friend the *t*-test.

DISTRIBUTIONS WITH COMPACT SUPPORT

- Observation: restricting attention to distributions with compat support does not save the t-test.
- Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be i.i.d. P.
- ▶ *t*-test: one sided-version $\phi_n = I\{\sqrt{n}X_n > \hat{\sigma}_n z_{1-\alpha}\}$ for testing $\mu(P) = 0$ versus $\mu(P) > 0$.
- Let P be the set of distributions supported on [-1, 1], and $P_0 \subseteq P$ those with mean 0. Using the same exact arguments as in the previous class, we obtain

 $\sup_{P\in\mathbf{P}_0}E_P[\phi_n]=1,\quad\forall n\geqslant 2\;.$

Proof: Fix n > 1 and any c < 1. Then, choose $p_n > 0$ so that $(1 - p_n)^n = c$. Let $P = P_{n,c}$ be the distribution that places mass $1 - p_n$ at p_n and mass p_n at $-(1 - p_n)$, so that $\mu(P) = 0$. (exactly the same example as before)

SKEWNESS

The problem here is that we have no control over the **skewness** in the class $P_{n,c}$.

$$\frac{E_{P_{n,c}}[X^3]}{\sigma^3(P_{n,c})} = \frac{p_n^2 + (1-p_n)^2}{\sqrt{p_n(1-p_n)}} \to \infty \; .$$

- ▶ In fact, this problem appears the moment we exceed the second moment: " $2 + \delta$ "
- Let $\delta > 0$ and note that,

$$\frac{E_{P_{n,c}}[|\mathbf{X}|^{2+\delta}]}{\sigma^{2+\delta}(P_{n,c})} = \frac{p_n^{1+\delta} + (1-p_n)^{1+\delta}}{(\sqrt{p_n(1-p_n)})^{\delta}} \to \infty \; .$$

This condition that will be meaningful in the next section.

On the Example: Before moving on, let's make the previous example more convincing to ensure that the underlying distribution is continuous and the observations are distinct.

EXTENDING THE EXAMPLE

Let $X_{n,i}^* = X_{n,i} + U_{n,i}$, where $X_{n,i} \sim P_{n,c}$ as before and, independently, $U_{n,i} \sim U[-\tau_n, \tau_n]$ with

$$\tau_n < \frac{\sqrt{n}p_n}{\sqrt{n} + z_{1-\alpha}}$$

EXTENDING THE EXAMPLE

With prob. at least c: 1 $\bar{X}_{n,n}^* > \tau_n z_{1-\alpha} / \sqrt{n}$ and 2 $\hat{\sigma}_n^{*2} \leq \tau_n^2$





DISTRIBUTIONS WITH $2 + \delta$ Moments

Good news: the *t*-test is uniformly consistent over certain large subfamilies of dist. with two finite moments.

▶ Uniform Integrability: consider the family of distributions P on the real line satisfying,

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \sup_{P \in \mathbf{P}} E_P \left[\frac{|X - \mu(P)|^2}{\sigma^2(P)} I \left\{ \frac{|X - \mu(P)|}{\sigma(P)} > \lambda \right\} \right] = 0 \; .$$

(...)

▶ $2 + \delta$ moments: let $P^{2+\delta}$ be the set of distributions satisfying

$$\mathbf{P}^{2+\delta} = \left\{ P : E_P \left[\frac{|X - \mu(P)|^{2+\delta}}{\sigma^{2+\delta}(P)} \right] \leqslant M \right\} ,$$

for some $\delta > 0$ and $M < \infty$. Result: $\mathbf{P}^{2+\delta} \subseteq \mathbf{P}$.

UNIFORMITY OF THE T-TEST

Let \mathbf{P}_0 be the set of distributions in \mathbf{P} with $\mu(P) = 0$.

For testing $\mu(P) = 0$ versus $\mu(P) > 0$, the *t*-test $\phi_n = I\{T_n > z_{1-\alpha}\}$, where

$$T_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n}{\hat{\sigma}_n}$$

is uniformly asymptotically level α over \mathbf{P}_0 as shown in the next theorem.

THEOREM

Suppose $X_{n,1}, \ldots, X_{n,n}$ are i.i.d. with distribution $P_n \in \mathbf{P}$, where \mathbf{P} satisfies (\clubsuit). Then, under P_n

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_{n,n}-\mu(P_n))}{\hat{\sigma}_{n,n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) \; .$$

In addition, for testing $\mu(P) = 0$ versus $\mu(P) > 0$, the *t*-test is uniformly asymptotically level α over \mathbf{P}_0 ; that is,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{P\in\mathbf{P}_0}E_P[\phi_n]=\alpha\;.$$

$$\frac{n^{1/2}(\bar{X}_{n,n}-\mu(P_n))}{\hat{\sigma}_{n,n}} = \frac{n^{1/2}(\bar{X}_{n,n}-\mu(P_n))}{\sigma(P_n)} \times \frac{\sigma(P_n)}{\hat{\sigma}_{n,n}} \quad \text{ and let } \quad Y_{n,i} = \frac{X_{n,i}-\mu(P_n)}{\sigma(P_n)} \;.$$

$$\frac{n^{1/2}(\bar{X}_{n,n}-\mu(P_n))}{\hat{\sigma}_{n,n}} = \frac{n^{1/2}(\bar{X}_{n,n}-\mu(P_n))}{\sigma(P_n)} \times \frac{\sigma(P_n)}{\hat{\sigma}_{n,n}} \quad \text{ and let } \quad Y_{n,i} = \frac{X_{n,i}-\mu(P_n)}{\sigma(P_n)} \;.$$

Complete the proof by showing that

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{P\in\mathbf{P}_0}E_P[\phi_n]=\alpha\;.$

Note that, if the result failed, one could extract a subsequence $\{P_n\}$ with $P_n \in \mathbf{P}_0$ such that,

 $E_{P_n}[\phi_n] \to \alpha' \neq \alpha$.

This would contradict T_n being asymptotically standard normal under P_n .

Lesson: to prove the theorem all we need is a CLT for triangular arrays and a law of large numbers for triangular arrays. The latter is handled by the following two lemmas.





Two Lemmas - LLNs

LEMMA (1)

Let $Y_{n,1}, \ldots, Y_{n,n}$ be i.i.d. with cdf G_n and finite mean $\mu(G_n)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{\beta \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} E_{G_n} \Big[|Y_{n,i} - \mu(G_n)| I\{ |Y_{n,i} - \mu(G_n)| \ge \beta \} \Big] = 0.$$

Let $\bar{Y}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{n,i}$. Then, under G_n , $\bar{Y}_n - \mu(G_n) \to 0$ in probability.

LEMMA (2)

Let **P** be a family of distributions satisfying (\clubsuit). Suppose $X_{n,1}, \ldots, X_{n,n}$ are i.i.d. $P_n \in \mathbf{P}$ and $\mu(P_n) = 0$. Then, under P_n ,

$$rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n rac{X^2_{n,i}}{\sigma^2(P_n)} o 1$$
 in probability .

The proof of Lemma 2 follows from applying Lemma 1 to

$$Y_{n,i} = \frac{X_{n,i}^2}{\sigma^2(P_n)} - 1 \; .$$

We therefore only prove Lemma 1.

(♠)

STEP 1: for $Z_{n,i} = Y_{n,i}I\{|Y_{n,i}| \le n\}$ show that $P\{|\bar{Y}_n - m_n| > \epsilon\} \le P\{|\bar{Z}_n - m_n| > \epsilon\} + nP\{|Y_{n,i}| > n\}$.

STEP 2 : show that
$$P\{|\dot{Y}_n - m_n| > \epsilon\} \leqslant \epsilon^{-2} \kappa_n(n) + \tau_n(n)$$

where

$$au_n(t) = tP\{|Y_{n,i}| > t\}$$
 and $\kappa_n(t) = \frac{1}{t}E[Y_{n,i}^2I\{|Y_{n,i}| \le t\}]$.

Proof

STEP 3:
$$\tau_n(t) = tP\{|Y_{n,i}| > t\}$$
 and $\kappa_n(t) = \frac{1}{t}E[Y_{n,i}^2|\{|Y_{n,i}| \le t\}]$.

Claim: using integration by parts it is possible to show that

$$\kappa_n(t) = -\tau_n(t) + \frac{2}{t} \int_0^t \tau_n(x) dx \; .$$

Therefore, in order to show that $P\{|\bar{Y}_n - m_n| > \epsilon\} \rightarrow 0$, it suffices to argue that

$$rac{2}{n}\int_0^n au_n(x)dx o 0 \quad ext{ and } \quad au_n(n) o 0 \; .$$

Easy: $\tau_n(n) \rightarrow 0$ by (\spadesuit).

$$\mathsf{STEP 4}: \frac{2}{n} \int_0^n \tau_n(x) dx \to 0 \quad \text{ where } \quad \tau_n(t) = t P\{|Y_{n,i}| > t\} \leqslant E[|Y_{n,i}|I\{|Y_{n,i}| > t\}] \; .$$

FINISH THE ARGUMENT

STEP 5: from
$$P\{|\bar{Y}_n - m_n| > \epsilon\} \leq \epsilon^{-2} \kappa_n(n) + \tau_n(n) \to 0 \text{ to } \bar{Y}_n - \mu(G_n) \xrightarrow{G_n} 0$$





Power of the *t*-test

- So far we know that the t-test behaves uniformly well across a fairly large class of distributions.
- We now study some power properties of the *t*-test.
- In particular, we will show that the t-test is uniformly consistent in level, and derive a limiting power calculation. The result is summarized in the following Theorem.

THEOREM

Let **P** be a family of distributions satisfying (**♣**) and let **P**₀ be the set of distributions in **P** with $\mu(P) = 0$ (assumed non-empty). Then, for testing $\mu(P) = 0$ versus $\mu(P) > 0$, the limiting power of the *t*-test against $P_n \in \mathbf{P}$ with $n^{1/2}\mu(P_n)/\sigma(P_n) \rightarrow \delta$ is given by

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E_{P_n}[\phi_n] = 1 - \Phi(z_{1-\alpha} - \delta) .$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\inf_{\{P\in\mathbf{P}:n^{1/2}\mu(P)/\sigma(P)\geqslant\delta\}}E_P[\phi_n]=1-\Phi(z_{1-\alpha}-\delta)\;.$$

PROOF (LAST ONE!)

Let $X_{n,1}, \ldots, X_{n,n}$ be i.i.d. with distribution P_n and consider the *t*-statistic $T_n = \bar{X}_{n,n}/\hat{\sigma}_{n,n}$. Write

$$T_n = \frac{n^{1/2}(\bar{X}_{n,n} - \mu(P_n))}{\hat{\sigma}_{n,n}} + \frac{n^{1/2}\mu(P_n)/\sigma(P_n)}{\hat{\sigma}_{n,n}/\sigma(P_n)}$$

- By Theorem 1 the first term converges weakly to N(0, 1) under P_n , and by the proof of the same Theorem, the denominator of the second term converges to 1 in probability under P_n .
- ▶ It follows that $T_n \xrightarrow{d} N(\delta, 1)$ under P_n and so (4) follows.
- To prove the second part, argue by contradiction and assume there exists a subsequence $\{P_n\}$ with $n^{1/2}\mu(P_n)/\sigma(P_n) \ge \delta$ such that

$$E_{P_n}[\phi_n] \to \gamma < 1 - \Phi(z_{1-\alpha} - \delta)$$
.

This, however, would violate the first part if $n^{1/2}\mu(P_n)/\sigma(P_n)$ has a limit. If it does not have a limit, pass to any convergent subsequence and apply the same argument.

