

PORTFOLIO CHOICE AND OPTIMAL MONETARY POLICY

GUIDO LORENZONI

- A simple model of portfolio choice based on “Monetary Policy, Capital Controls and International Portfolios,” Fanelli 2017
- Two periods $t - 1$ and t
- Tradable and non-tradable goods

$$c_t = (c_t^T)^\omega (c_t^N)^{1-\omega}$$

- Preferences

$$\log c_t - \frac{\psi_t}{1+\phi} n_t^{1+\phi}$$

- Shocks to labor supply ψ_t
- Endowment of tradables

$$y_t^T$$

- Production of non-tradables

$$y_t^N = n_t$$

- Budget constraint at $t - 1$, country can only take long and short positions in domestic and foreign bonds that pay nominal returns R and R^* , respectively

$$B_t^* + B_t = 0$$

- Budget constraint at t

$$P_t^T c_t^T + P_t^N c_t^N = P_t^T y_t^T + W_t n_t + R^* \mathcal{E}_t B_t^* + R B_t$$

- Optimal portfolio in economy with no capital controls gives

$$E_{t-1} \left[\frac{1}{P_t^T} u'(c_t) \left(\frac{R}{\mathcal{E}_t} - R^* \right) \right] = 0$$

- Foreign investors are risk neutral so R must satisfy

$$E_{t-1} \left[\frac{R}{\mathcal{E}_t} - R^* \right] = 0$$

or

$$R = \frac{R^*}{E_{t-1} \left[\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_t} \right]}$$

- Price of tradables pinned down by the world price (normalized to 1) so

$$P_t^T = \mathcal{E}_t$$

- Price of NT pinned down by optimality of firms

$$P_t^N = W_t$$

- Rigid wages W_t (can be modeled with labor service monopolists)

- Relative price of non-tradables

$$p_t \equiv \frac{P_t^N}{P_t^T} = \frac{W_t}{\mathcal{E}_t}$$

- Demand for non-tradables conditional on tradable demand

$$\frac{\omega}{1-\omega} \frac{c_t^N}{c_t^T} = \frac{P_t^T}{P_t^N}$$

which gives

$$c_t^N = \frac{1-\omega}{\omega} \frac{c_t^T}{p_t}$$

- First best, with complete markets

$$c_t^T = E_{t-1} [y_t^T]$$

constant across states of the world

- Substituting budget constraint at $t-1$ we get

$$P_t^T c_t^T + P_t^N c_t^N = P_t^T y_t^T + W_t n_t + (R - R^* \mathcal{E}_t) B_t$$

which, using market clearing in NT, becomes

$$c_t^T = y_t^T + \left(\frac{R}{\mathcal{E}_t} - R^* \right) B_t$$

- To keep c_t^T constant we need \mathcal{E} to go down when y_t^T goes down
- That is, appreciate domestic currency in bad states to provide insurance
- First best allocation of N satisfies

$$(1-\omega) \frac{1}{c_t^N} = \psi_t n_t^\phi$$

$$1-\omega = \psi_t n_t^{1+\phi}$$

so we need n_t to move with ψ

$$\frac{\omega}{1-\omega} \frac{c_t^N}{c_t^T} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_t}{W_t}$$

exchange rate must depreciate when ψ_t is lower so we produce and consume more non-tradables

- Result: if agents can trade complete set of state contingent claims at $t-1$, then optimal monetary policy achieves the first best
- If agents can only trade the 2 bonds denominated in the 2 currencies, in general, we have incomplete markets
- With incomplete markets optimal monetary policy needs to trade-off insurance vs optimal allocation
- Rewrite objective of monetary policy as

$$E_{t-1} \left[\omega \log c_t^T + (1-\omega) \log (c_t^N) - \frac{1}{1+\phi} \psi_t (c_t^N)^{1+\phi} \right]$$

and let's solve the relaxed planner problem in which the only constraints faced by the planner are

$$c_t^T = y_t^T + \left(\frac{\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_t}}{E_{t-1} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_t}} - 1 \right) R^* B_t$$

and

$$\frac{\omega}{1-\omega} \frac{c_t^N}{c_t^T} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_t}{W_t}$$

- Since W_t is pre-set at $t-1$ we can write

$$\frac{\frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_t}}{E_{t-1} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_t}} = \frac{p_t}{Ep_t}$$

- Also, use the notation $b = R^* B_t$, drop time subscripts and make explicit dependence on state of the world s to get the problem in the following form
- Choose $z(s), b$ to maximize

$$\sum \pi(s) \left[\omega \log c^T(s) + (1-\omega) \log (c^N(s)) - \frac{1}{1+\phi} \psi(s) (c^N(s))^{1+\phi} \right]$$

subject to

$$c^T(s) = y^T(s) + \left(\frac{p(s)}{\sum \pi(\tilde{s}) p(\tilde{s})} - 1 \right) b$$

$$\omega c^N(s) p(s) = (1-\omega) c^T(s)$$

- Optimality

$$\frac{\omega}{c^T(s)} = \lambda(s) - (1-\omega) \mu(s)$$

$$\frac{1-\omega}{c^N(s)} - \psi(s) (c^N(s))^\phi = \omega \mu(s) p(s)$$

$$\lambda(s) \frac{b}{\sum \pi(\tilde{s}) p(\tilde{s})} - \sum \pi(s) \frac{\lambda(s) p(s)}{(\sum \pi(\tilde{s}) p(\tilde{s}))^2} b = \omega \mu(s) c^N(s)$$

$$E \lambda(s) \left(\frac{p(s)}{\sum \pi(\tilde{s}) p(\tilde{s})} - 1 \right) = 0$$

- Rearranging we can write

$$\mu(s) = \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{1}{p(s)} \left[\frac{1-\omega}{c^N(s)} - \psi(s) (c^N(s))^\phi \right]$$

$$\lambda(s) = \frac{\omega}{c^T(s)} + \frac{1-\omega}{\omega} \frac{1}{p(s)} \left[\frac{1-\omega}{c^N(s)} - \psi(s) (c^N(s))^\phi \right]$$

- We can omit dependence on s from now on
- Optimality for b yields, after rearranging,

$$E[\lambda z] = E[\lambda] E[z]$$

and optimality for z yields

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{1}{c^N} \left[\lambda - \frac{E[\lambda z]}{E[z]} \right] \frac{b}{E[z]} = \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{1}{c^N} (\lambda - E[\lambda]) \frac{b}{E[z]}$$

- So we end up with three conditions

$$\lambda = \frac{\omega}{c^T} + \frac{1-\omega}{\omega} \frac{1}{z} \left[\frac{1-\omega}{c^N} - \psi(c^N)^\phi \right]$$

$$(\lambda - E[\lambda]) \frac{b}{E[z]} = \frac{c^N}{z} \left[\frac{1-\omega}{c^N} - \psi(c^N)^\phi \right]$$

$$E[(\lambda - E[\lambda]) z] = 0$$

- Marginal value of tradable resources is

$$\lambda = \frac{\omega}{c^T} + \frac{1-\omega}{\omega} \frac{1}{z} \left[\frac{1-\omega}{c^N} - \psi(c^N)^\phi \right]$$

which captures direct effect plus an “aggregate demand externality” term which reflects the fact that when consumption of traded goods increases, for a given real exchange rate p , the consumption and production of NT also increases, which may be good or bad, from a social welfare perspective, depending on the sign of the efficiency (output-gap) wedge

- Notice that a weighted average of μ is zero because

$$E[c^N \mu] = \frac{1}{\omega} E(\lambda - E[\lambda]) \frac{b}{E[z]} = 0$$

this reflects the fact that we can always scale p by a constant factor, helping to fix the allocation of NT on average, and keeping the allocation of T unchanged, as the latter only depends on $p/E[p]$

Exercise 1. Choose a discrete set of states S and choose a distribution $\pi(s)$ and functions $y^T(s)$ and $\psi(s)$. Find $b, p(s)$ that maximize the planner allocation. You can try a direct maximization algorithm that just sets

$$c^T(s) = y^T(s) + \left(\frac{p(s)}{\sum \pi(\tilde{s}) p(\tilde{s})} - 1 \right) b$$

$$c^N(s) = \frac{1-\omega}{\omega} \frac{c^T(s)}{p(s)}$$

and maximizes the planner’s objective, or try to use the optimality conditions derived above (or use one method to check the other!)