

DYNAMICS OF $\text{Aut}(F_n)$: ERGODICITY AND COMPACT, CONNECTED, SEMI-SIMPLE GROUPS

MASON CAI AND ROBIN TRUAX * • AUGUST 14, 2023

Abstract

In [Gel08], T. Gelander proved that the orbit of almost any point in $\text{Hom}(F_n, G)$ under the natural action of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ is dense, and furthermore that this action is ergodic. In this paper, we generalize this result to the Torelli subgroup, an important normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. That is, we prove that the orbit of almost any point in $\text{Hom}(F_n, G)$ under action of $\text{Tr}(n)$ is dense and that the action is ergodic.

1 Introduction

For this paper, let F_n be the free group of rank n , with standard generators $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. A word in the letters $\{x_i\}$ and their inverses is denoted $w\{x_i\}$. The automorphism group of the free group is denoted $\text{Aut}(F_n)$. Note that an automorphism $\phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ is determined by its image when applied to the tuple of standard generators (x_1, \dots, x_n) . The Nielsen moves, also referred to as product replacement moves, are the automorphisms that replace an element of the generating set with a product with another element:

replace the i -th coordinate with a product with the j -th or its inverse on the left

$$\begin{aligned} L_{ij}^{\pm} &: (x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_j^{\pm} x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) \\ R_{ij}^{\pm} &: (x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_i x_j^{\pm}, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) \\ P_{ij} &: (x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

It is a well-known fact from Nielsen's Theorem [MKS04] that $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ is generated by the set of all Nielsen moves. For a group G , the set $\text{Hom}(F_n, G)$ can be identified with G^n by fixing a set of free generators for F_n . Each $\phi \in \text{Aut}(F_n)$ maps the free generating set to an n -tuple in G^n ,

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \xrightarrow{\phi} (g_1, \dots, g_n)$$

and $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ acts on G^n by precompositions with ϕ . Under this identification $\text{Hom}(F_n, G) \cong G^n$, Nielsen moves can be considered as acting on n -tuples (g_1, \dots, g_n) .

*with the support of RTG grant 2136217 from the National Science Foundation

Let G be a compact, connected, and semi-simple Lie group. We note that G is an algebraic group over \mathbb{R} so it is equipped with the Zariski topology. T. Gelander proved in [Gel08] that for $n \geq 3$, and compact, connected, semi-simple Lie groups G , almost every point in G^n has a dense orbit under action by $\text{Aut}(F_n)$, and the action is ergodic. In this paper, we review the definition of a well-studied normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ called the Torelli group and provide a generating set to prove that under the same conditions, almost every point in G^n has a dense orbit under action by $\text{Tr}(n)$. This allows us to prove that the action is ergodic.

2 The Torelli Subgroup

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a group. Then, the commutator subgroup $[G, G]$ is characteristic; that is, for any $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, ϕ preserves the commutator subgroup:*

$$\phi([G, G]) = [G, G]$$

Since group automorphisms preserve the commutator subgroup, there is a natural map from an automorphism ϕ of F_n to an automorphism $\bar{\phi}$ of the abelianization $F_n/[F_n, F_n] \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\psi : \text{Aut}(F_n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ be the natural mapping outlined above, defined by $\psi(\phi) = \bar{\phi}$. The map ψ is a homomorphism. For $n \geq 3$, define the *Torelli subgroup* as the kernel of this map:

$$\text{Tr}(n) = \ker(\psi)$$

In particular, $\text{Tr}(n)$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$.

T. Gelander proved in [Gel08] that the action of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ on G^n is ergodic. We thus ask the question of whether subgroups of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ also act the same. In this paper, we will prove that $\text{Tr}(n)$ acts ergodically on G^n .

Lemma 2.3. *Automorphisms of the form*

$$\phi : (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i[w_1\{x_j, x_k\}, w_2\{x_j, x_k\}], \dots, x_n) \quad (1)$$

are elements of $\text{Tr}(n)$ for arbitrary words $w_1\{x_j, x_k\}, w_2\{x_j, x_k\}$ and $i \neq j \neq k$.

Proof. It suffices to show $\psi(\phi) = 1_{\text{Aut}(F_n/[F_n, F_n])}$.

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) \xrightarrow{\phi} (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i [w_1\{x_j, x_k\}, w_2\{x_j, x_k\}], \dots, x_n)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\psi} (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)$$

Since $\psi(\phi)$ is in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, this vanishes.

□

where ψ maps into $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, so the commutator $[w_1\{x_j, x_k\}, w_2\{x_j, x_k\}]$ vanishes (since \mathbb{Z}^n is abelian), making the action trivial. Thus $\psi(\phi) = 1_{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ and indeed, ϕ is an element of $\text{Tr}(n)$. Automorphisms of this form generate a subgroup $\langle\{\phi\}\rangle$ of $\text{Tr}(n)$. In order to show that the action of $\text{Tr}(n)$ on G^n is ergodic, it suffices to show that the action of this subgroup $\langle\{\phi\}\rangle$ on G^n is ergodic. To do this, we will use the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a compact, connected, semi-simple Lie group. Let $\Gamma \leq G$ be a dense subgroup of G . Then $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$, the commutator subgroup of Γ , is also dense in G .*

Proof. M. Goto proved in [Got49] that all compact, connected, semi-simple lie groups G are equal to their commutator subgroups; $G = [G, G]$. Every $g \in G$ can be written as a commutator $g = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. Since $\Gamma \leq G$ is dense in G , there are sequences x_n, y_n in Γ that converge to x, y respectively. Since taking inverses is a continuous operation, $x_n^{-1} \rightarrow x^{-1}$ and $y_n^{-1} \rightarrow y^{-1}$. Similarly, since multiplication is continuous,

$$x_n^{-1}y_n^{-1}x_ny_n \rightarrow x^{-1}y^{-1}xy = g$$

where $x_n^{-1}y_n^{-1}x_ny_n = [x_n, y_n]$ is a sequence in $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$. Thus $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ is dense in G . □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\Gamma \leq G$ be a dense subgroup. Then the right (resp. left) translate Γg (resp. $g\Gamma$) is dense.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq G$ be an open set. It suffices to show $\Gamma g \cap U$ is nonempty for all $g \in G$. Since multiplication is continuous on G , Ug^{-1} is open. Then $\Gamma \cap Ug^{-1}$ is nonempty. Therefore, $\Gamma g \cap U$ is nonempty for all $g \in G$. The proof for the left translate is similar. □

Remark. *It is a fact that compact, connected Lie groups, G are endowed with a unique natural measure called the Haar measure. In this paper, we naturally consider such groups as measure spaces with this measure.*

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a compact, connected Lie group. Let $\Gamma \leq G$ be a dense subgroup of G .*

Then, Γ acts ergodically on G by right (resp. left) translation.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq G$ is invariant under right translation by Γ . Then, the function $f = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ is also invariant under Γ . Notice that for any function $g \in L^1(G)$, $f * g$ is also invariant under Γ . Indeed, $f(k^{-1}h)g(h)$ is invariant for $k \in \Gamma$, by assumption, whence $(f * g)(k) = \int f(k^{-1}h)g(h)dh$ is invariant for $k \in \Gamma$, as desired. Then, consider a sequence of approximants to the identity g_1, g_2, \dots . For each i , $f * g_i \rightarrow f$ is continuous and Γ -invariant. Thus, since Γ is dense, $f * g_i$ is G -invariant for each i . Since the action of G on itself by right translation is obviously ergodic, this implies that $f * g_i$ is constant a.e. for each i ; since $f * g_i \xrightarrow{L^1} f$, this implies that f is constant a.e. whence \mathcal{A} is either null or conull, as desired. \square

3 The Action of $\text{Tr}(n)$ on G^n

Definition 3.1. Let $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$ and H be a group that acts on G^n . Define $\mathcal{O}_H(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ to be the orbit of (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) under action by H . For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, define $\mathcal{O}_H^i(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ to be the image of $\mathcal{O}_H(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ under the projection to the i th coordinate

$$\mathcal{O}_H^i(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \xrightarrow{\text{Proj}_i} G.$$

We proceed to prove in Proposition 3.3 that for almost any point in G^n , $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Tr}(n)}^k(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ is dense in G . This allows us to prove in Proposition 3.5 that the orbit of almost any point is dense in G^n .

3.1 Dense Orbits

Definition 3.2 (Pairs that Generate Dense Subgroups of G). T. Gelander proved in Lemma 1.4, in [Gel08] that, for compact, connected, semi-simple groups G , the set

$$U = \{(x_1, x_2) \in G \times G : \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \text{ is dense in } G\}$$

Unless otherwise noted, when we write the set U , we refer to the set described above.

This will be used to prove the following:

Proposition 3.3. Let G be a compact, connected semi-simple Lie group and $n \geq 3$. For $k, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, define $\Delta_k = \langle L_{ki}^{\pm} \rangle$ to be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ generated by all left Nielsen moves on the k^{th} coordinate. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_k \cap \text{Tr}(n)}^k(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \text{ is dense in } G \text{ for a.e. } (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in G^n \quad (*)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we will prove the claim for $k = n$, the projection to the last coordinate of the n -tuple. Let U be the same set as in Definition 3.2 which is open, dense, and of full measure. Since G is compact, connected, and semi-simple, $U \subseteq G \times G$ and a.e. $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$ is such that $(g_1, g_2) \in U$. Fix one such tuple.

Now, we consider the orbit of the action of $\Delta_n \cap \text{Tr}(n)$ on (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) . Let H be the subgroup of G generated by $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{n-1})$. H is dense in G . Let w_1, w_2 be arbitrary words in g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} and their inverses; we have $w_1, w_2 \in H$.

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) &\xrightarrow{\Delta_n \cap \text{Tr}(n)} (g_1, g_2, \dots, [w_1, w_2]g_n) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Delta_n \cap \text{Tr}(n)} (g_1, g_2, \dots, Wg_n) \text{ where } W \in [H, H] \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_n \cap \text{Tr}(n)}^n(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ is exactly the right translate $[H, H]g_n$. Since $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ is a subgroup of H , H is dense in G . By Lemma 2.4, $[H, H]$ is dense in G . By Lemma 2.5 $[H, H]g_n$ is dense in G . The proof is similar for any $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. \square

We now extend the density of $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_n \cap \text{Tr}(n)}^n(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ to prove that a.e. $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Tr}(n)}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ is dense in all of G^n . To do this, we construct a set $X \subseteq G$ that suits a similar purpose as $U \subseteq G \times G$ from Definition 3.2.

Lemma 3.4. *We construct the set X :*

$$X = \{g \in G : \text{the set } \{x \in G : \langle g, x \rangle \text{ is dense in } G\} \text{ has full measure in } G\}$$

Then, X has full measure.

Proof. It suffices to show that X^c is null. Let U be the same as in Definition 3.2. The complement of U

$$U^c = \{(x_1, x_2) \in G \times G : \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \text{ is not dense in } G\}$$

must have zero measure. Thus, by Fubini's theorem,

$$X^c = \{g \in G : \text{the set } \{x \in G : \langle g, x \rangle \text{ is not dense in } G\} \text{ has nonzero measure in } G\}$$

must have zero measure. \square

Remark. *Since G is a compact Lie group, it is endowed with a bi-invariant metric $\|\cdot\|$. The bi-invariant metric on G may be extended to a bi-invariant metric on G^n : the taxicab metric.*

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a compact, connected, semi-simple Lie group. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Tr}(n)}(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ is dense in G^n for a.e. $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$.*

Proof. Let $(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in G^n$ be an arbitrary n -tuple and let $\epsilon > 0$. It suffices to show there is $(g'_1, \dots, g'_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Tr}(n)}(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ within an open ϵ -ball of (h_1, \dots, h_n) , denoted $B_\epsilon(h_1, \dots, h_n)$ for a.e. (g_1, \dots, g_n) . For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we fix the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \{g \in G : \text{the set } \{x \in G : \langle g, x \rangle \text{ is dense in } G\} \text{ has full measure in } G\} \\ Y_i &= \{x \in G : \langle g_i, x \rangle \text{ is dense in } G\} \end{aligned}$$

$\xrightarrow{\text{a.e. } g_i \in X \text{ so with probability 1, } Y_i \text{ has full measure}}$

$\xrightarrow{X \text{ has full measure by Lemma 3.4}}$

Since X has full measure, almost any (g_1, \dots, g_n) is such that $g_1 \in X$. For such a tuple, the set Y_1 is full-measure; Y_1 is also open by Lemma 1.2 of [Gel08].

The set U from Definition 3.2 is dense, open, and has full measure, so $U \cap B_{\frac{\epsilon}{n}}(h_{n-1}, h_n)$ is open and non-empty. It contains an element $(x_{n-1}, x_n) \in U \cap B_{\frac{\epsilon}{n}}(h_{n-1}, h_n)$ and its open r -ball for some small $r > 0$; $B_r(x_{n-1}, x_n) \subseteq U \cap B_{\frac{\epsilon}{n}}(h_{n-1}, h_n)$.

Since Y_1 is open and has full measure, $B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_n) \cap Y_1$ is open and non-empty. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta \cap \text{Tr}(n)}^n(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ is dense in G , there exists (g_1, \dots, g'_n) in its orbit such that $g'_n \in B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_n) \cap Y_1$. Then, $\langle g_1, g'_n \rangle$ is dense by virtue of g'_n being an element Y_1 and by projecting to the $(n-1)$ -th coordinate, we have $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{n-1} \cap \text{Tr}(n)}^{n-1}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g'_n)$ is dense in G where $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_{n-1} \cap \text{Tr}(n)}^{n-1}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g'_n)$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Tr}(n)}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$.

In other words, there exists an element in the orbit of the starting tuple, $(g_1, \dots, g'_{n-1}, g'_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Tr}(n)}(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$ such that $g'_{n-1} \in B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x_{n-1})$.

Then, since $\|g'_n - x_n\| < r/2$ and $\|g'_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\| < r/2$, we have that $(g'_{n-1}, g'_n) \in B_r(x_{n-1}, x_n) \subseteq U \cap B_{\frac{\epsilon}{n}}(h_{n-1}, h_n)$.

Thus, (g'_{n-1}, g'_n) generates a dense subgroup and $\|g'_{n-1} - h_{n-1}\|, \|g'_n - h_n\| < \frac{\epsilon}{n}$. We get that for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta_i \cap \text{Tr}(n)}^i(g_1, \dots, g'_{n-1}, g'_n)$ is dense and thus there exists $(g'_1, \dots, g'_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{Tr}(n)}(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ such that $\|g'_i - h_i\| < \frac{\epsilon}{n}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus, $\|(g'_1, \dots, g'_n) - (h_1, \dots, h_n)\| < \epsilon$. \square

For $n \geq 4$, the argument above can be used without the construction of the sets X, Y_i . However, it is difficult to prove the statement in the case of $n = 3$ by appealing only to the set U from Definition 3.2. The construction of the set X allows the process used in the proof to be generalized

for all $n \geq 3$.

4 Ergodicity of $\mathrm{Tr}(n) \curvearrowright G^n$

T. Gelander proved in [Gel08] that the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ on G^n is ergodic using the fact that $\mathcal{O}_{\langle L_{k_i}, L_{k_j} \rangle}^k(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ coincides with the orbit under a dense subgroup $\langle g_i, g_j \rangle$ acting by left translation on G , $\mathcal{O}_{\langle g_i, g_j \rangle}^k g_k$, where $\langle g_i, g_j \rangle$ is dense for a.e. pair $(g_i, g_j) \in G \times G$. A similar argument can be made for $\mathrm{Tr}(n)$.

Namely, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Tr}(n)}^k(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ coincides with $\mathcal{O}_{[H, H]}^k g_k$. Thus, the proof of Theorem 4.1 is an adaptation of T. Gelander's proof of Theorem 1.6 in [Gel08].

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a compact, connected, semi-simple group, and $n \geq 3$. Then the action of $\mathrm{Tr}(n)$ on G^n is ergodic.*

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that the action is not ergodic. Then, there is some $\mathrm{Tr}(n)$ -invariant set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq G^n$ that is neither null nor conull. Since the action of G itself by right translation is ergodic, \mathcal{A} is not invariant under right translation by G on one of the coordinates, say the last factor. By Fubini's theorem, for a set of positive measure of $(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) \in G^{n-1}$, the set $\{g \in G : (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g) \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is neither null nor conull.

Note that a.e. (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) is such that $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ is dense in G (see Definition 3.2). Fix such a tuple (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}) and let $H = \langle g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} \rangle$; obviously, H is dense in G . The orbits of the action of $\mathrm{Tr}(n)$ on $\{(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g) : g \in G\}$ projected to the last coordinate coincide with the orbits of the action of $[H, H]$ on G . Now, consider $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{g \in G : (g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g) \in \mathcal{A}\}$ which is neither null nor conull by assumption. Since \mathcal{A} is $\mathrm{Tr}(n)$ -invariant, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Tr}(n)}(g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, g) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Thus, \mathcal{A}_1 is $[H, H]$ -invariant. Since $[H, H]$ is dense in G , it acts ergodically on G and this is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathrm{Tr}(n)$ acts ergodically on G^n . \square

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank our mentors Nir Avni and Tsachik Gelander for teaching and guiding me through this project. We also thank Aaron Peterson, Keith Burns, and the Northwestern University Math Department for running the program.

References

- [Gel08] Tsachik Gelander. On deformations of F_n in compact Lie groups. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 167(1):15–26, October 2008.
- [Got49] Morikuni Goto. A Theorem on compact semi-simple groups. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 1(3), December 1949.

- [MKS04] Wilhelm Magnus, Abraham Karrass, and Donald Solitar. *Combinatorial group theory: presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations*. Dover Publications, Mineola, N.Y, 2004.