There are two datasets which have been included in the pkzipped file nigeria.zip. They are:

Format of the dataset SUMMARY.TXT:

Each observation in this data file corresponds to a single household. There are 198 households and 78 variables per observation. This is an ascii dataset in fixed format. Each variable has a fixed format as described in the attached documentation. The position of each variable is also described in the documentation. Blanks are used to delimit variables. The missing value character is '.'. A short description of each of the variables is also attached. Each record is broken into 8 lines in order to restrict the maximum length of any line to 80 columns.

Format of the dataset SUMCRED.TXT:

The second dataset is SUMCRED.TXT. Each observation in this data file corresponds to a single loan. There are 96 variables per observation. Many households have more than one loan, and thus account for more than one observation in this data file. In those cases, the household-level variables are repeated in each of the relevant observations. This is an ascii dataset in fixed format. There are 821 records and 96 variables per record. Each variable has a fixed format as described in the attached document. The position of each variable is also described in that document. Blanks are used to delimit variables. The missing value character is '.'. A short description of each of the variables is also attached. Each record is broken into 8 lines in order to restrict the maximum length of any line to 80 columns.

All money values in both datasets are in current Naira. There is no adjustment for inflation.

BASS 85.32, Program File: toascii9.bpg 1-20-96 15:17 Page 1 Contents of BASS dataset: sumcred.txt Dataset label: Created: 1-20-96 15:20 Number of variables: 96 Number of observations: 821 Data Bytes per observation: 768 File space (bytes) used by header: 6006 File space (bytes) used by observations: 630528 Total size (bytes) of dataset: 636534 Dataset type: DATA List of Variables (Positional Order) # Variable Name Туре Length Position Dimension Format Label, if any NUMERIC 1 OLDLOAN 8 1 4.0 loannumber of original loan before splits 2 OLDROUND NUMERIC 8 9 4.0 round as originally recorded before splits 3 SPLIT NUMERIC 8 17 3.0 1 if this loan split from more complex original loan LOANVAL NUMERIC 8 25 9.2 the value of the loan in Naira net of dashes 5 LOANDAY NUMERIC 8 7.0 33 the date of the loan, # of days after 1/1/88 6 REPVAL NUMERIC 8 9.2 41 value of repayments made 7 REPDAY NUMERIC 8 49 7.0 date of repayment, # of days after 1/1/88 8 NOMEETINGS NUMERIC 8 57 3.0 # of meetings before loan was made AV_DIST 9 NUMERIC 8 65 4.0 average distance of meeting places (in klm) from home 10 INTEREST NUMERIC 8 73 9.2 % increase in promised payment over totalvalue 11 TOTALVALUE NUMERIC 9.2 8 81 value of initial loan 12 PVALUE NUMERIC 8 89 9.2 value of payments promised at disbursement of loan 13 DVALUE NUMERIC 8 97 9.2 value of any dashes made to get loan 14 CVALUE 9.2 NUMERIC 8 105 value of collateral pledged 15 HHNUM NUMERIC 4.0 8 113 1xx if gangara, 2xx if madobi, 3xx if marwa, 4xx if yakasai 16 RESPON_SEX NUMERIC 8 121 2.0 1 if respondant is male, 0 if female NUMERIC 17 LOAN IN 8 129 2.0 1 if initial loan is to hh, 0 if out from hh 18 MORETOCOME NUMERIC 8 137 2.0 1 there is an explicit promise to pay more 19 FIXTERM NUMERIC 8 145 2.0 1 if explicit repayment amount was set 20 COLLATERAL NUMERIC 8 153 2.0 1 if collateral has been pledged by borrower 21 INVILLAGE NUMERIC 8 161 2.0 1 if borrower and lender live in same village

22	REGULATED NUMERIC 8 169	2.0
23	1 if loan from N.T.C. or a bank INFAMILY NUMERIC 8 177	2.0
24	1 if borrower and lender are relatives INCREDIT NUMERIC 8 185	2.0
25	1 if borrower and lender have a history of credit dealsINGIFTSNUMERIC8193	2.0
26	1 if borrower and lender have a history of exchanging giftsBADPROBSNUMERIC8201	2.0
27	1 if other party experienced severe, unexpected problemLATEPROBSNUMERIC8209	2.0
28	1 if other party problem began after the loanKNOWSNUMERIC8217	2.0
29	1 if hh has knowledge of other partys shocksSEXNUMERIC8225	2.0
30	1 if other party is male, 0 if female FUTUREHELP NUMERIC 8 233	2.0
31	1 if future credit in same direction still possible COLLAT_DEF NUMERIC 8 241	2.0
	1 if any collateral has been defaulted	
32	LOANNUMBER NUMERIC 8 249 numbered for each round	3.0
33	ROUND NUMERIC 8 257 round during which loan first mentionned	3.0
34	GRAIN5 NUMERIC 8 265 value of grain stocks in round5	9.2
35	GRAIN1 NUMERIC 8 273 value of grain stocks in round1	9.2
36	CASH1 NUMERIC 8 281 value of cash holdings in round1	9.2
37	GRAIN8NUMERIC8289value of grain stocks in round8	9.2
38	Value of grain becche in foundoONFARM8NUMERIC8297value of crops still on farm during round8	9.2
39		9.2
40	NOMA4 NUMERIC 8 313 value of shanun noma owned in round4	9.2
41	OTHERLIVE4 NUMERIC 8 321	9.2
42	value of small livestock owned in round4 CATTLE2 NUMERIC 8 329	9.2
43	value of cattle owned in round2 NOMA2 NUMERIC 8 337	9.2
44	value of shanun noma owned in round2 OTHERLIVE2 NUMERIC 8 345	9.2
45	value of small livestock owned in round2 TRADESTK4 NUMERIC 8 353	9.2
46	value of goods owned for trading in round4 AREA_O_G_U NUMERIC 8 361	8.3
47	area of owned gona land used this season AREA_O_G_F NUMERIC 8 369	8.3
48	area of owned gona land left fallow this season AREA_O_G_L NUMERIC 8 377	8.3
49	area of owned gona land let out to others this season AREA_O_F_U NUMERIC 8 385	8.3
50	area of owned fadama land used this season AREA_O_F_F NUMERIC 8 393	8.3
	area of owned fadama land left fallow this season	

51	AREA_O_F_L NUMERIC 8 401	8.3
52	area of owned fadama land let out to others this season AREA_B_G_U NUMERIC 8 409	8.3
	area of borrowed gona land used this season	
53	AREA_B_G_F NUMERIC 8 417 area of borrowed gona land left fallow this season	8.3
54	AREA_B_G_L NUMERIC 8 425	8.3
ГГ	area of borrowed gona land let out to others this season	0 7
55	AREA_B_F_U NUMERIC 8 433 area of borrowed fadama land used this season	8.3
56	AREA_B_F_F NUMERIC 8 441	8.3
57	area of borrowed fadama land left fallow this season AREA_B_F_L NUMERIC 8 449	8.3
	area of borrowed fadama land let to others this season	
58	NUM_O_G_U NUMERIC 8 457	4.0
50	number of owned gona farms used this season	4 0
59	NUM_O_G_F NUMERIC 8 465	4.0
60	number of owned gona farms left fallow this season	1 0
60	NUM_O_G_L NUMERIC 8 473	4.0
C 1	number of owned gona farms let to others this season	
61	NUM_O_F_U NUMERIC 8 481	4.0
	number of owned fadama farms used this season	
62	NUM_O_F_F NUMERIC 8 489	4.0
	number of owned fadama farms left fallow this season	
63	NUM_O_F_L NUMERIC 8 497	4.0
	number of owned fadama farms let to others this season	
64	NUM_B_G_U NUMERIC 8 505	4.0
	number of borrowed gona farms used this season	
65	NUM_B_G_F NUMERIC 8 513	4.0
	number of borrowed gona farms left fallow this season	
66	NUM_B_G_L NUMERIC 8 521	4.0
	number of borrowed gona farms let to others this season	
67	NUM_B_F_U NUMERIC 8 529	4.0
60	number of borrowed fadama farms used this season	4 0
68	NUM_B_F_F NUMERIC 8 537	4.0
60	number of borrowed fadama farms left fallow this season	4 0
69	NUM_B_F_L NUMERIC 8 545	4.0
70	number of borrowed fadama farms let to others this season	0 0
70	ASSETVAL NUMERIC 8 553	9.2
D 1	value of household assets (excluding kayan ado) at round1	2 0
71	ROOMSZ NUMERIC 8 561	3.0
70	number of rooms roofing sheets ROOMSM NUMERIC 8 569	2 0
72		3.0
72	number of rooms with mud roofs	2 0
73	ROOMSG NUMERIC 8 577	3.0
74	number of rooms with grass roofs	2 0
74	WATER NUMERIC 8 585	3.0
75	0-river or public well;1-mixture;2-own well only	1 0
75	AGE NUMERIC 8 593	4.0
	age in years of hh head	4 0
76	PRIMARY NUMERIC 8 601	4.0
	number of years of western schooling completed by hhh	4 0
77	ISLAM NUMERIC 8 609	4.0
70	number of izu of hhh	9.2
78	MIGEARN NUMERIC 8 617	7.4
	earnings during migration last year	
70	earnings during migration last year	1 0
79	earnings during migration last year WIVES NUMERIC 8 625 number of wives in household	4.0

80	MARRIEDK		NUMERIC	8	633	4.0
	number	of	married sons in hh			
81	MARRIEDO		NUMERIC	8	641	4.0
	number	of	other married men in	hh		
82	AGWRKRK				649	4.0
	number	of	nonmarried sons betwee	een 10 and	60 in hh	
83	AGWRKRO		NUMERIC		657	4.0
	number	of	other nonmarried male		10 and 60 in hh	
84	FEMWRKRK		NUMERIC	8	665	4.0
01		of	daughters between 10	and 60 in		
85	FEMWRKRO		NUMERIC	8	673	4.0
00			other females between	-		1.0
86	FEMK	-			681	4.0
00			daughters under 10 in	-	001	1.0
87	FEMO		-	8	689	4.0
07			other females under	-	089	4.0
88		-	NUMERIC		697	4.0
00			sons under 10 in hh		097	4.0
89	MALEO	-		8	705	4.0
69	-			-	705	4.0
0.0			other males under 10		1 2	4 0
90			NUMERIC	8	713	4.0
0.1		ΟĬ	men over 60 in hh	0	501	
91		~	NUMERIC	8	721	4.0
			women over 60 in hh			
92			NUMERIC	8	729	4.0
			children out of hh b		-	
93			NUMERIC		737	4.0
			children out of villa			
94			NUMERIC	-	745	4.0
	number	of	generations (up to 4) hhh fami	ly has been in villa	age
95	GANDU		NUMERIC	8	753	4.0
	0-no ga	indi	u;1-with father;2-with	h brother;	3-with son	
96	SKILLS		NUMERIC	8	761	4.0
	number	of	hh menbers with spec	ial skills		
			-			

List of Variables (Alphabetical Order)

#	Variable Name Label, if any		Length	Position	Dimension	Format
75	AGE		8	593		4.0
0.0	age in years o		0	C 10		4 0
82	AGWRKRK		8	649		4.0
	number of nonm				n hh	
83	AGWRKRO	NUMERIC	8	657		4.0
	number of othe	r nonmarrie	d males be	tween 10 a	nd 60 in hh	
56	AREA B F F	NUMERIC	8	441		8.3
	area of borrow	ed fadama l	and left f	allow this	season	
57	AREA_B_F_L	NUMERIC	8	449		8.3
	area of borrow	ed fadama l	and let to	others th	is season	
55	AREA B F U	NUMERIC	8	433		8.3
	area of borrow	ed fadama l	and used t	his season		
53	AREA_B_G_F	NUMERIC	8	417		8.3
	area of borrow	ed gona lan	d left fal	low this s	eason	
54	AREA B G L	NUMERIC	8	425		8.3
	area of borrow	ed qona lan	d let out	to others	this season	
		2				

52		8.3
50	area of borrowed gona land used this season AREA_O_F_F NUMERIC 8 393	8.3
51	area of owned fadama land left fallow this season AREA_O_F_L NUMERIC 8 401	8.3
	area of owned fadama land let out to others this season	
49	AREA_O_F_U NUMERIC 8 385 area of owned fadama land used this season	8.3
47	AREA_O_G_F NUMERIC 8 369 area of owned gona land left fallow this season	8.3
48	AREA_O_G_L NUMERIC 8 377	8.3
46	area of owned gona land let out to others this season AREA_O_G_U NUMERIC 8 361	8.3
70	area of owned gona land used this season ASSETVAL NUMERIC 8 553	9.2
0	value of household assets (excluding kayan ado) at round1	4.0
9	AV_DIST NUMERIC 8 65 average distance of meeting places (in klm) from home	4.0
26	BADPROBS NUMERIC 8 201 1 if other party experienced severe, unexpected problem	2.0
36	CASH1 NUMERIC 8 281	9.2
50	value of cash holdings in round1	2.2
42	CATTLE2 NUMERIC 8 329 value of cattle owned in round2	9.2
39	CATTLE4 NUMERIC 8 305 value of cattle owned in round4	9.2
20	COLLATERAL NUMERIC 8 153	2.0
21	1 if collateral has been pledged by borrower	2 0
31	COLLAT_DEF NUMERIC 8 241 1 if any collateral has been defaulted	2.0
14	CVALUE NUMERIC 8 105	9.2
	value of collateral pledged	
13	DVALUENUMERIC897value of any dashes made to get loan	9.2
86	FEMK NUMERIC 8 681	4.0
	number of daughters under 10 in hh	
87	FEMO NUMERIC 8 689	4.0
	number of other females under 10 in hh	
84	FEMWRKRK NUMERIC 8 665 number of daughters between 10 and 60 in hh	4.0
85	FEMWRKRO NUMERIC 8 673	4.0
00	number of other females between 10 and 60 in hh	1.0
19	FIXTERM NUMERIC 8 145 1 if explicit repayment amount was set	2.0
30	FUTUREHELP NUMERIC 8 233	2.0
95	1 if future credit in same direction still possible GANDU NUMERIC 8 753	4.0
	0-no gandu;1-with father;2-with brother;3-with son	
35	GRAIN1 NUMERIC 8 273 value of grain stocks in round1	9.2
34	GRAIN5 NUMERIC 8 265	9.2
37	value of grain stocks in round5 GRAIN8 NUMERIC 8 289	9.2
~ 4	value of grain stocks in round8	4
94	HERELONG NUMERIC 8 745 number of generations (up to 4) hhh family has been in vill	4.0
15	HHNUM NUMERIC 8 113	4.0
	1xx if gangara, 2xx if madobi, 3xx if marwa, 4xx if yakasai	

BASS 85.32, Program File: toascii9.bpg 1-20-96 15:17 Page 6

24	INCREDIT NUMERIC 8 185 1 if borrower and lender have a history of credit deals	2.0
23	INFAMILY NUMERIC 8 177	2.0
25	1 if borrower and lender are relatives INGIFTS NUMERIC 8 193	2.0
10	1 if borrower and lender have a history of exchanging giftsINTERESTNUMERIC873	9.2
21	% increase in promised payment over totalvalue INVILLAGE NUMERIC 8 161	2.0
	1 if borrower and lender live in same village	
77	ISLAM NUMERIC 8 609 number of izu of hhh	4.0
93	KIDSFARNUMERIC8737number of children out of village	4.0
92	KIDSNEAR NUMERIC 8 729	4.0
28	number of children out of hh but in village KNOWS NUMERIC 8 217	2.0
27	1 if hh has knowledge of other partys shocks LATEPROBS NUMERIC 8 209	2.0
5	1 if other party problem began after the loan LOANDAY NUMERIC 8 33	7.0
-	the date of the loan, # of days after 1/1/88	
32	LOANNUMBER NUMERIC 8 249 numbered for each round	3.0
4	LOANVAL NUMERIC 8 25	9.2
17	the value of the loan in Naira net of dashesLOAN_INNUMERIC1 if initial loan is to hh, 0 if out from hh	2.0
88	MALEK NUMERIC 8 697	4.0
89	number of sons under 10 in hh MALEO NUMERIC 8 705	4.0
80	number of other males under 10 in hh MARRIEDK NUMERIC 8 633	4.0
81	number of married sons in hh MARRIEDO NUMERIC 8 641	4.0
78	number of other married men in hh MIGEARN NUMERIC 8 617	9.2
70	earnings during migration last year	.2
18	MORETOCOMENUMERIC81371 there is an explicit promise to pay more	2.0
43	NOMA2 NUMERIC 8 337	9.2
40	value of shanun noma owned in round2 NOMA4 NUMERIC 8 313	9.2
8	value of shanun noma owned in round4 NOMEETINGS NUMERIC 8 57	3.0
-	# of meetings before loan was made	
68	NUM_B_F_FNUMERIC8537number of borrowed fadama farms left fallow this season	4.0
69	NUM_B_F_L NUMERIC 8 545 number of borrowed fadama farms let to others this season	4.0
67	NUM_B_F_U NUMERIC 8 529 number of borrowed fadama farms used this season	4.0
65	NUM_B_G_F NUMERIC 8 513	4.0
66	number of borrowed gona farms left fallow this seasonNUM_B_G_LNUMERIC8521	4.0
64	number of borrowed gona farms let to others this seasonNUM_B_G_UNUMERIC8505number of borrowed gona farms used this season	4.0

62	NUM_O_F_F NUMERIC 8 489 number of owned fadama farms left fallow this season	4.0
63	NUM_O_F_L NUMERIC 8 497 number of owned fadama farms let to others this season	4.0
61	NUM_O_F_U NUMERIC 8 481	4.0
59	number of owned fadama farms used this season NUM_O_G_F NUMERIC 8 465	4.0
60	number of owned gona farms left fallow this seasonNUM_O_G_LNUMERIC8473	4.0
58	number of owned gona farms let to others this seasonNUM_O_G_UNUMERIC8457	4.0
	number of owned gona farms used this season	
1	OLDLOAN NUMERIC 8 1	4.0
90	loannumber of original loan before splits OLDMEN NUMERIC 8 713	4.0
90	number of men over 60 in hh	4.0
2	OLDROUND NUMERIC 8 9	4.0
	round as originally recorded before splits	
91	OLDWOMEN NUMERIC 8 721	4.0
	number of women over 60 in hh	
38	ONFARM8 NUMERIC 8 297	9.2
44	value of crops still on farm during round8 OTHERLIVE2 NUMERIC 8 345	9.2
44	value of small livestock owned in round2	9.2
41	OTHERLIVE4 NUMERIC 8 321	9.2
	value of small livestock owned in round4	2.2
76	PRIMARY NUMERIC 8 601	4.0
	number of years of western schooling completed by hhh	
12	PVALUE NUMERIC 8 89	9.2
~ ~	value of payments promised at disbursement of loan	0 0
22	REGULATED NUMERIC 8 169	2.0
7	1 if loan from N.T.C. or a bank REPDAY NUMERIC 8 49	7.0
/	date of repayment, # of days after 1/1/88	7.0
6	REPVAL NUMERIC 8 41	9.2
	value of repayments made	
16	RESPON_SEX NUMERIC 8 121	2.0
	1 if respondant is male, 0 if female	
73	ROOMSG NUMERIC 8 577	3.0
72	number of rooms with grass roofs ROOMSM NUMERIC 8 569	3.0
12	number of rooms with mud roofs	5.0
71	ROOMSZ NUMERIC 8 561	3.0
	number of rooms roofing sheets	
33	ROUND NUMERIC 8 257	3.0
	round during which loan first mentionned	
29	SEX NUMERIC 8 225	2.0
96	1 if other party is male, 0 if female SKILLS NUMERIC 8 761	4.0
20	number of hh menbers with special skills	1.0
3	SPLIT NUMERIC 8 17	3.0
	1 if this loan split from more complex original loan	
11	TOTALVALUE NUMERIC 8 81	9.2
. –	value of initial loan	a -
45	TRADESTK4 NUMERIC 8 353	9.2
74	value of goods owned for trading in round4 WATER NUMERIC 8 585	3.0
11	0-river or public well;1-mixture;2-own well only	5.0
	a first of Farito Active Withouters own well only	

79 WIVES NUMERIC 8 625 4.0 number of wives in household

1-20-96 15:24 Page 1 BASS 85.32, Program File: toascil0.bpg Contents of BASS dataset: summary.txt Dataset label: Created: 1-20-96 15:25 Number of variables: 78 Number of observations: 198 Data Bytes per observation: 624 File space (bytes) used by header: 4770 File space (bytes) used by observations: 123552 Total size (bytes) of dataset: 128322 Dataset type: DATA List of Variables (Positional Order) # Variable Name Type Length Position Dimension Format Label, if any 1HHNUMNUMERIC82GRAIN5NUMERIC8 1 4.0 9 9.2 value of grain stocks in round5 3 GRAIN1 NUMERIC 8 17 9.2 value of grain stocks in round1 4 CASH1 NUMERIC 8 25 9.2 value of cash holdings in round1 5 GRAIN8 NUMERIC 8 33 9.2 value of grain stocks in round8 6 ONFARM8 NUMERIC 8 41 9.2 value of crops still on farm during round8 7 CATTLE4 NUMERIC 8 49 9.2 value of cattle owned in round4 8 NOMA4 NUMERIC 8 57 9.2 value of shanun noma owned in round4 OTHERLIVE4 NUMERIC 8 65 9.2 value of small livestock owned in round4 10 CATTLE2 NUMERIC 8 73 9.2 value of cattle owned in round2 11 NOMA2 NUMERIC 8 81 9.2 value of shanun noma owned in round2 12 OTHERLIVE2 NUMERIC 8 89 9.2 value of small livestock owned in round2 13 TRADESTK4 NUMERIC 8 97 9.2 value of goods owned for trading in round4 14 AREA_O_G_U NUMERIC 8 105 8.3 area of owned gona land used this season 15 AREA O G F NUMERIC 8 113 8.3 area of owned gona land left fallow this season 16 AREA_O_G_L NUMERIC 8 121 8.3 area of owned gona land let out to others this season 17 AREA_O_F_U NUMERIC 8 129 8.3 area of owned fadama land used this season 18 AREA_O_F_F NUMERIC 8 137 8.3 area of owned fadama land left fallow this season 19 AREA O F L NUMERIC 8 145 8.3 area of owned fadama land let out to others this season 20 AREA B G U NUMERIC 8 153 8.3 area of borrowed gona land used this season 21 AREA B G F NUMERIC 8 161 8.3 area of borrowed gona land left fallow this season 22 AREA_B_G_L NUMERIC 8 169 8.3

22	area of borrowed gona land let out to others this season	0 0
23	AREA_B_F_U NUMERIC 8 177 area of borrowed fadama land used this season	8.3
24	AREA B F F NUMERIC 8 185	8.3
21	area of borrowed fadama land left fallow this season	0.5
25	AREA B F L NUMERIC 8 193	8.3
	area of borrowed fadama land let to others this season	
26	NUM_O_G_U NUMERIC 8 201	4.0
	number of owned gona farms used this season	
27	NUM_O_G_F NUMERIC 8 209	4.0
	number of owned gona farms left fallow this season	
28	NUM_O_G_L NUMERIC 8 217	4.0
~ ~	number of owned gona farms let to others this season	4 0
29	NUM_O_F_U NUMERIC 8 225	4.0
30	number of owned fadama farms used this season NUM O F F NUMERIC 8 233	4.0
30	number of owned fadama farms left fallow this season	4.0
31	NUM O F L NUMERIC 8 241	4.0
51	number of owned fadama farms let to others this season	1.0
32	NUM B G U NUMERIC 8 249	4.0
	number of borrowed gona farms used this season	
33	NUM_B_G_F NUMERIC 8 257	4.0
	number of borrowed gona farms left fallow this season	
34	NUM_B_G_L NUMERIC 8 265	4.0
	number of borrowed gona farms let to others this season	
35	NUM_B_F_U NUMERIC 8 273	4.0
	number of borrowed fadama farms used this season	
36	NUM_B_F_F NUMERIC 8 281	4.0
27	number of borrowed fadama farms left fallow this season NUM B F L NUMERIC 8 289	4.0
37	NUM_B_F_L NUMERIC 8 289 number of borrowed fadama farms let to others this season	4.0
38	ASSETVAL NUMERIC 8 297	9.2
50	value of household assets (excluding kayan ado) at round1	2.2
39	ROOMSZ NUMERIC 8 305	3.0
	number of rooms roofing sheets	
40	ROOMSM NUMERIC 8 313	3.0
	number of rooms with mud roofs	
41	ROOMSG NUMERIC 8 321	3.0
	number of rooms with grass roofs	
42	WATER NUMERIC 8 329	3.0
43	0-river or public well;1-mixture;2-own well only AGE NUMERIC 8 337	4.0
45	age in years of hh head	4.0
44	PRIMARY NUMERIC 8 345	4.0
	number of years of western schooling completed by hhh	1.0
45	ISLAM NUMERIC 8 353	4.0
	number of izu of hhh	
46	MIGEARN NUMERIC 8 361	9.2
	earnings during migration last year	
47	WIVES NUMERIC 8 369	4.0
	number of wives in household	
48	MARRIEDK NUMERIC 8 377	4.0
4.0	number of married sons in hh	4 0
49	MARRIEDO NUMERIC 8 385 number of other married men in hh	4.0
50	AGWRKRK NUMERIC 8 393	4.0
20	number of nonmarried sons between 10 and 60 in hh	1.0
51	AGWRKRO NUMERIC 8 401	4.0

F 0	number of other nonmarried males between 10 and 60 in hh	1 0
52	FEMWRKRK NUMERIC 8 409 number of daughters between 10 and 60 in hh	4.0
53	FEMWRKRO NUMERIC 8 417	4.0
55	number of other females between 10 and 60 in hh	1.0
54	FEMK NUMERIC 8 425	4.0
	number of daughters under 10 in hh	
55	FEMO NUMERIC 8 433	4.0
	number of other females under 10 in hh	
56	MALEK NUMERIC 8 441	4.0
	number of sons under 10 in hh	
57	MALEO NUMERIC 8 449	4.0
	number of other males under 10 in hh	
58	OLDMEN NUMERIC 8 457	4.0
59	number of men over 60 in hh OLDWOMEN NUMERIC 8 465	4.0
59	number of women over 60 in hh	4.0
60	KIDSNEAR NUMERIC 8 473	4.0
00	number of children out of hh but in village	1.0
61	KIDSFAR NUMERIC 8 481	4.0
	number of children out of village	
62	HERELONG NUMERIC 8 489	4.0
	number of generations (up to 4) hhh family has been in villa	age
63	GANDU NUMERIC 8 497	4.0
	0-no gandu;1-with father;2-with brother;3-with son	
64	SKILLS NUMERIC 8 505	4.0
65	number of hh menbers with special skills	
65 66	WT_FULANINUMERIC8513GRAING88NUMERIC8521	9.2
00	value of gona output in 88	9.2
67	GRAINF88 NUMERIC 8 529	9.2
•	value of fadama output in 88	
68	COSTG88 NUMERIC 8 537	9.2
	cost of gona production in 88	
69	COSTF88 NUMERIC 8 545	9.2
	cost of fadama production in 88	
70	GRAING87 NUMERIC 8 553	9.2
-1	value of gona output in 87	
71	GRAINF87 NUMERIC 8 561	9.2
72	value of fadama output in 87 COSTG87 NUMERIC 8 569	9.2
12	cost of gona production in 87	9.2
73	COSTF87 NUMERIC 8 577	9.2
15	value of fadama production in 87	2.2
74	GPROB NUMERIC 8 585	5.1
	number of self-reported problems on gona fields	
75	FPROB NUMERIC 8 593	5.1
	number of self-reported problems on fadama fields	
76	NGONA NUMERIC 8 601	5.1
	number of gona fields on which problem data were collected	
77	NFADAMA NUMERIC 8 609	5.1
70	number of fadama fields on which problem data were collected	
78	OLDWEALTH NUMERIC 8 617 value of livestock, grain, trade stocks at last marriage	9.2
	VALUE OF IIVESLOCK, GLAIN, LTADE SLOCKS AT LAST MARTIAGE	

List of Variables (Alphabetical Order)

#	Variable Name Type Length Position Dimension Label, if any	Format
43	AGE NUMERIC 8 337	4.0
50	age in years of hh head AGWRKRK NUMERIC 8 393	4.0
51	number of nonmarried sons between 10 and 60 in hhAGWRKRONUMERIC8401number of other nonmarried males between 10 and 60 in hh	4.0
24	AREA_B_F_F NUMERIC 8 185 area of borrowed fadama land left fallow this season	8.3
25	AREA_B_F_LNUMERIC8193area of borrowed fadama land let to others this season	8.3
23	AREA_B_F_U NUMERIC 8 177 area of borrowed fadama land used this season	8.3
21	AREA_B_G_F NUMERIC 8 161 area of borrowed gona land left fallow this season	8.3
22	AREA_B_G_LNUMERIC8169area of borrowed gona land let out to others this season	8.3
20	AREA_B_G_U NUMERIC 8 153 area of borrowed gona land used this season	8.3
18	AREA_O_F_F NUMERIC 8 137 area of owned fadama land left fallow this season	8.3
19	AREA_O_F_L NUMERIC 8 145 area of owned fadama land let out to others this season	8.3
17	AREA_O_F_U NUMERIC 8 129 area of owned fadama land used this season	8.3
15	AREA_O_G_F NUMERIC 8 113 area of owned gona land left fallow this season	8.3
16	AREA_O_G_L NUMERIC 8 121 area of owned gona land let out to others this season	8.3
14	AREA_O_G_U NUMERIC 8 105 area of owned gona land used this season	8.3
38	ASSETVAL NUMERIC 8 297 value of household assets (excluding kayan ado) at round1	9.2
4	CASH1 NUMERIC 8 25 value of cash holdings in round1	9.2
10	CATTLE2 NUMERIC 8 73 value of cattle owned in round2	9.2
7	CATTLE4NUMERIC849value of cattle owned in round4	9.2
73	COSTF87NUMERIC8577value of fadama production in 87	9.2
69	COSTF88NUMERIC8545cost of fadama production in 88	9.2
72	COSTG87NUMERIC8569cost of gona production in 87	9.2
68	COSTG88NUMERIC8537cost of gona production in 88	9.2
54	FEMKNUMERIC8425number of daughters under 10 in hh	4.0
55	FEMO NUMERIC 8 433 number of other females under 10 in hh	4.0
52	FEMWRKRKNUMERIC8409number of daughters between 10 and 60 in hh	4.0
53	FEMWRKRO NUMERIC 8 417 number of other females between 10 and 60 in hh	4.0

BASS 85.32, Program File: toasci10.bpg 1-20-96 15:24 Page 5

75	FPROB NUMERIC 8 593	5.1
63	number of self-reported problems on fadama fields GANDU NUMERIC 8 497	4.0
74	0-no gandu;1-with father;2-with brother;3-with son GPROB NUMERIC 8 585	5.1
3	number of self-reported problems on gona fields GRAIN1 NUMERIC 8 17	9.2
3	value of grain stocks in round1	
2	GRAIN5 NUMERIC 8 9 value of grain stocks in round5	9.2
5	GRAIN8 NUMERIC 8 33 value of grain stocks in round8	9.2
71	GRAINF87 NUMERIC 8 561	9.2
67	value of fadama output in 87 GRAINF88 NUMERIC 8 529	9.2
	value of fadama output in 88	
70	GRAING87 NUMERIC 8 553 value of gona output in 87	9.2
66	GRAING88 NUMERIC 8 521	9.2
00	value of gona output in 88	J.2
62	HERELONG NUMERIC 8 489	4.0
02	number of generations (up to 4) hhh family has been in villa	
1	HHNUM NUMERIC 8 1	4.0
45	ISLAM NUMERIC 8 353	4.0
15	number of izu of hhh	1.0
61	KIDSFAR NUMERIC 8 481	4.0
01	number of children out of village	1.0
60	KIDSNEAR NUMERIC 8 473	4.0
	number of children out of hh but in village	
56	MALEK NUMERIC 8 441	4.0
	number of sons under 10 in hh	
57	MALEO NUMERIC 8 449	4.0
10	number of other males under 10 in hh	4 0
48	MARRIEDK NUMERIC 8 377	4.0
4.0	number of married sons in hh	4 0
49	MARRIEDO NUMERIC 8 385	4.0
	number of other married men in hh	a a
46	MIGEARN NUMERIC 8 361	9.2
	earnings during migration last year	
77	NFADAMA NUMERIC 8 609	5.1
	number of fadama fields on which problem data were collected	
76	NGONA NUMERIC 8 601	5.1
	number of gona fields on which problem data were collected	
11	NOMA2 NUMERIC 8 81	9.2
	value of shanun noma owned in round2	
8	NOMA4 NUMERIC 8 57	9.2
	value of shanun noma owned in round4	
36	NUM_B_F_F NUMERIC 8 281	4.0
~ -	number of borrowed fadama farms left fallow this season	
37	NUM_B_F_L NUMERIC 8 289	4.0
-	number of borrowed fadama farms let to others this season	
35	NUM_B_F_U NUMERIC 8 273	4.0
	number of borrowed fadama farms used this season	
33	NUM_B_G_F NUMERIC 8 257	4.0
	number of borrowed gona farms left fallow this season	
34	NUM_B_G_L NUMERIC 8 265	4.0
	number of borrowed gona farms let to others this season	
32	NUM_B_G_U NUMERIC 8 249	4.0

	number of borrowed gona farms used this season	
30		4.0
	number of owned fadama farms left fallow this season	
31	NUM_O_F_L NUMERIC 8 241	4.0
	number of owned fadama farms let to others this season	
29	NUM_O_F_U NUMERIC 8 225	4.0
	number of owned fadama farms used this season	
27	NUM_O_G_F NUMERIC 8 209	4.0
	number of owned gona farms left fallow this season	
28	NUM_O_G_L NUMERIC 8 217	4.0
	number of owned gona farms let to others this season	
26	NUM_O_G_U NUMERIC 8 201	4.0
	number of owned gona farms used this season	
58	OLDMEN NUMERIC 8 457	4.0
	number of men over 60 in hh	
78	OLDWEALTH NUMERIC 8 617	9.2
	value of livestock, grain, trade stocks at last marriage	
59	OLDWOMEN NUMERIC 8 465	4.0
	number of women over 60 in hh	
6	ONFARM8 NUMERIC 8 41	9.2
	value of crops still on farm during round8	
12	OTHERLIVE2 NUMERIC 8 89	9.2
	value of small livestock owned in round2	
9	OTHERLIVE4 NUMERIC 8 65	9.2
	value of small livestock owned in round4	4 0
44	PRIMARY NUMERIC 8 345	4.0
47	number of years of western schooling completed by hhh	2 0
41	ROOMSG NUMERIC 8 321	3.0
40	number of rooms with grass roofs ROOMSM NUMERIC 8 313	3.0
40	number of rooms with mud roofs	5.0
39	ROOMSZ NUMERIC 8 305	3.0
59		5.0
64	number of rooms roofing sheets SKILLS NUMERIC 8 505	4.0
04	number of hh menbers with special skills	4.0
13	TRADESTK4 NUMERIC 8 97	9.2
13	value of goods owned for trading in round4	9.2
42	WATER NUMERIC 8 329	3.0
ТZ	0-river or public well;1-mixture;2-own well only	5.0
47	WIVES NUMERIC 8 369	4.0
1/	number of wives in household	1.0
65	WT_FULANI NUMERIC 8 513	
05		

Description of the variables included in the data file SUMMARY.TXT:

2. GRAIN5 - Naira value of grain stocks held in household during round 5 of the survey.

3. GRAIN1 - Similarly for round 1.

4. CASH1 - Currency held in household during round 1 of the survey. (This number is probably the least reliable of all the data collected during the survey).

5. GRAIN8 - Similar to (2) and (3) but for round 8.

6. ONFARM8 - Estimated value of all crops still standing on the household's fields during round 8 of the survey.

7. CATTLE4 - The value of all cattle (other than those used for plowing) owned by members of the household during round 4. This figure includes cattle that are in the care of herders outside the household. There is likely to be substantial undercounting of the number of owned cattle in the care of herders outside the household.

8. NOMA4 - The value of all shanun noma owned during round 4. Shanun noma are bullocks used for plowing.

9. OTHERLIVE4 - Other livestock include goats, sheep, chickens, ducks, rabbits, etc...

10, 11, 12 - Similar figures for animals owned during round 2.

13. TRADESTK4 - Value during round 4 of inventory of goods owned by members of the household for trading.

14 - 25. AREA_?_?? - These fields contain the area in hectares of various plots owned by members of the household at the start of the survey year. The first _?_ differentiates between land owned by someone in the household ('O') and land that has been "borrowed" by someone in the household from someone outside the household ('B'). The tenurial arrangements included in this category are: 1. *aro*, in which the land is received in exchange for a token payment (this accounts for the overwhelming majority of plots categorized as 'B'); or 2. *haya*, in which the land is rented. The second _?_ differentiates between upland ('G' for *gona*) and lowland ('F' for *fadama*) plots. The third _? differentiates between plots that were farmed by the sample household during the survey year ('U' for used), plots that were left fallow during the survey year ('F') and plots the were let out to someone outside the household during the survey year ('L'). The contracts under which plots were let out were either *aro* or *haya*. Once again, *aro* was the predominant mode.

26 - 37. NUM_?_?_? - These are similar to 46-57, except that they contain the number of plots which fall into each category for each household, rather than their area.

38. ASSETVAL - The value of miscellaneous household assets at the start of the survey year. This includes farming equipment, tools used for non-farming activities, furniture, bicycles (in one instance a car), etc... It does not include *kayan ado*, the decorative plates, bowls, and jewelry of the wives of the household.

39. ROOMSZ - The number of rooms in the household compound which are roofed with metal roofing sheets at the start of the survey.

40. ROOMSM - Number of rooms in the household compound roofed with beams/adobe.

41. ROOMSG - Number of rooms in the household compound with thatched roofs.

42. WATER - The source of the household's drinking water at the start of the survey.

44. PRIMARY - Number of years of western schooling completed by the head of the household.

45. ISLAM - Number of izu of the koran known by the household head.

46. MIGEARN - Net earnings by the household head if he migrated for work during the previous year.

- 47. WIVES Number of wives of the household head who are members of the household.
- 48. MARRIEDK Number of married sons of household head who are members of the household.
- 49. MARRIEDO Number of other married men who are members of the household.
- 50. AGWRKK Number of non-married sons of household head aged 10-60 who are members of the household.
- 51. AGWRKRO Number of other non-married males aged 10-60 who are members of the household.
- 52. FEMWRKRK Number of (non-married) daughters aged 10-60 who are members of the household.
- 53. FEMWRKRO Number of other non-married females aged 10-60 who are members of the household.
- 54. FEMK Number of daughters under 10 who are members of the household.
- 55. FEMO Number of other females under 10 who are members of the household.
- 56. MALEK Number of sons under 10 who are members of the household.
- 57. MALEO Number of other males under 10 who are members of the household.
- 58. OLDMEN Number of men over 60 who are members of the household.
- 59. OLDWOMEN Number of women over 60 who are members of the household.
- 60. KIDSNEAR Number of children who live in the village but who are not members of the household.
- 61. KIDSFAR Number of children who are not members of the household and who live outside the village.
- 62. HERELONG Number of generations (up to 4) since the first ancestor of the household head moved to this village.
- 63. GANDU 0 if the household head does not acknowledge being part of a *gandu* arrangement. 1, 2, 3 differentiate between different types of *gandu*. See Hill (1972) for a discussion of *gandu*.
- 64. SKILLS The number of members of the household with some special skill. Examples of such skills include tailoring, weaving, carpentry, religious teaching, driving.
- 65. WT_FULANI Equal to zero if the household considers itself fulani.
- The following 8 variables must be used with caution. Each is based on plot level data collected after the completion of the relevant crop season. They are based on long recall and therefore are unreliable.
- 66. GRAING88 Reported value of output on all upland farms used by members of the household in the 1988 crop year, valued at 1988 prices.
- 67. GRAINF88 Reported value of output on all lowland farms used by members of the household in the 1988 crop year, valued at 1988 prices.
- 68. COSTG88 Estimated cost of producing on all upland farms used by members of the household in the 1988 crop year, valued at 1988 prices. This includes all purchased inputs and the value of family labor time.
- 69. COSTF88 Estimated cost of producing on all lowland farms used by members of the household in the 1988 crop year, valued at 1988 prices. This includes all purchased inputs and the value of family labor time.
- 70. GRAING87 Reported value of output on all upland farms used by members of the household in the 1987 crop year,

valued at 1987 prices.

71. GRAINF87 - Reported value of output on all lowland farms used by members of the household in the 1987 crop year, valued at 1987 prices.

72. COSTG87 - Estimated cost of producing on all upland farms used by members of the household in the 1987 crop year, valued at 1987 prices. This includes all purchased inputs and the value of family labor time.

73. COSTF87 - Estimated cost of producing on all lowland farms used by members of the household in the 1987 crop year, valued at 1987 prices. This includes all purchased inputs and the value of family labor time.

74. GPROB - Number of self-reported adverse events on uplands fields being farmed by a member of the household during the survey year. This is a simple count of the number of such events. The most common such event during the survey year was flooding. Other events included wind lodging and animal invasion.

75. FPROB - A similar count of such events on lowland fields.

76. NGONA - The number of upland fields on which 'problem' data were collected.

77. NFADAMA - The number of lowlands fields on which 'problem' data were collected.

78. OLDWEALTH - The value of livestock, grain, and trading stocks which the household head says he owned at the time of his most recent marriage. These are valued in 1988 Naira.

Description of the variables included in the data file SUMCRED.TXT:

1. OLDLOAN - This is the loan number of the original loan before it was broken up into subloans. The original questionnaires recorded <u>all</u> loan transactions between a given pair of individuals. For instance, a sequence of transactions in which a loan was made, paid back, and then another made would have been recorded on a single record. In this data base, such transactions have been split into their component parts. In order to permit the reconstruction of the original sequence of transactions, this field contains the loan number of the original transaction.

2. OLDROUND - The round number in which the original sequence of transactions was first recorded.

4. LOANVAL - This is in current Naira. Any items which were transferred in kind are valued at their current market price (only rarely were non-cash transfers made). If a bribe was needed to get the loan, it is deducted from the value here (only relevant to the few loans from the formal sector).

6. REPVAL - In current Naira. In kind items are valued as in (4).

8. NOMEETINGS - Records the number of times the two parties met to discuss the loan before it was transacted.

9. AV_DIST - The mean distance (in kilometers) between the home of the respondent and the location of the meetings recorded in (8).

10. INTEREST - Value of explicit interest to be paid on loan, if a promise is acknowledged at the time of the initial interview.

11. TOTALVALUE - Same as (4), except the conversions of in-kind items are done by the respondent at the time of the interview, rather than by using market price data.

12. PVALUE - Value of repayments to be made, if such payments are explicitly acknowledged at the time of the initial interview.

13. DVALUE - Value of any bribe (dash) made in order to get the loan.

14. CVALUE - Value of any collateral that is pledged in exchange for the loan.

15. HHNUM - of respondent.

17. LOAN_IN - Dummy variable. 1 if sample household was the borrower, 0 if sample household is the lender.

18. MORETOCOME - Dummy variable. 1 if at the end of the survey period the borrower still has an obligation to repay more to the lender.

19. FIXTERM - Dummy variable. 1 if explicit repayment terms had been set at the time of the initial interview.

22. REGULATED - Dummy variable. 1 if the lender was the Nigerian Tobacco Company or a bank.

23. INFAMILY - "Relative" is as defined by the respondent. Corresponds to dan'uwa in Hausa.

26. BADPROBS - Respondents were asked about events on their transaction partner's farm, and about "unusual" and "unexpected" events in their transaction partner's households. This dummy variable is 1 if there was an unexpected adverse event on the transaction partner's farm, or if a household member became sick or injured. The most common adverse event on transaction partners' farms was flooding.

27. LATEPROBS - Dummy variable. 1 if the problem referred to in (26) occurred after the loan was first extended.

28. KNOWS - Dummy variable. 1 if the respondent did <u>not</u> respond "I don't know" to the questions which form the basis of (26) and (27).

30. FUTUREHELP - This is the response to a question asked at the close of the survey. Respondents who were borrowers were asked if they would ever be able to borrow from this particular lender again. Respondents who were lenders were asked if they would ever be willing to lend to this particular borrower again. This variable is 1 when the respondent said that future loans (in the same direction) between the two parties could occur.

31. COLLAT_DEF - Dummy variable. 1 if at the end of the survey any collateral has been transferred to the lender as a result of default.

34. GRAIN5 - Naira value of grain stocks held in household during round 5 of the survey.

36. CASH1 - Currency held in household during round 1 of the survey. (This number is probably the least reliable of all the data collected during the survey).

38. ONFARM8 - Estimated value of all crops still standing on the household's fields during round 8 of the survey.

39. CATTLE4 - The value of all cattle (other than those used for plowing) owned by members of the household during round 4. This figure includes cattle that are in the care of herders outside the household. There is likely to be substantial undercounting of the number of owned cattle in the care of herders outside the household.

40. NOMA4 - The value of all shanun noma owned during round 4. Shanun noma are bullocks used for plowing.

41. OTHERLIVE4 - Other livestock include goats, sheep, chickens, ducks, rabbits, etc...

42, 43, 44 - Similar figures for animals owned during round 2.

45. TRADESTK4 - Value during round 4 of inventory of goods owned by members of the household for trading.

46 - 57. AREA_?_? - These fields contain the area in hectares of various plots owned by members of the household at the start of the survey year. The first _?_ differentiates between land owned by someone in the household ('O') and

land that has been "borrowed" by someone in the household from someone outside the household ('B'). The tenurial arrangements included in this category are: 1. *aro*, in which the land is received in exchange for a token payment (this accounts for the overwhelming majority of plots categorized as 'B'); or 2. *haya*, in which the land is rented. The second _?_ differentiates between upland ('G' for *gona*) and lowland ('F' for *fadama*) plots. The third _? differentiates between plots that were farmed by the sample household during the survey year ('U' for used), plots that were left fallow during the survey year ('F') and plots the were let out to someone outside the household during the survey year ('L'). The contracts under which plots were let out were either *aro* or *haya*. Once again, *aro* was the predominant mode.

58 - 69. NUM_?_?_? - These are similar to 46-57, except that they contain the number of plots which fall into each category for each household, rather than their area.

70. ASSETVAL - The value of miscellaneous household assets at the start of the survey year. This includes farming equipment, tools used for non-farming activities, furniture, bicycles (in one instance a car), etc... It does not include *kayan ado*, the decorative plates, bowls, and jewelry of the wives of the household.

71. ROOMSZ - The number of rooms in the household compound which are roofed with metal roofing sheets at the start of the survey.

72. ROOMSM - Number of rooms in the household compound roofed with beams/adobe.

73. ROOMSG - Number of rooms in the household compound with thatched roofs.

74. WATER - The source of the household's drinking water at the start of the survey.

76. PRIMARY - Number of years of western schooling completed by the head of the household at the start of the survey.

77. ISLAM - Number of izu of the koran known by the household head.

78. MIGEARN - Net earnings by the household head if he migrated for work during the previous year.

79. WIVES - Number of wives of the household head who are members of the household (see discussion in attached description of survey methods for definition of household).

80. MARRIEDK - Number of married sons of household head who are members of the household.

81. MARRIEDO - Number of other married men who are members of the household.

82. AGWRKK - Number of non-married sons of household head aged 10-60 who are members of the household.

83. AGWRKRO - Number of other non-married males aged 10-60 who are members of the household.

84. FEMWRKRK - Number of (non-married) daughters aged 10-60 who are members of the household.

85. FEMWRKRO - Number of other non-married females aged 10-60 who are members of the household.

86. FEMK - Number of daughters under 10 who are members of the household.

87. FEMO - Number of other females under 10 who are members of the household.

88. MALEK - Number of sons under 10 who are members of the household.

89. MALEO - Number of other males under 10 who are members of the household.

90. OLDMEN - Number of men over 60 who are members of the household.

91. OLDWOMEN - Number of women over 60 who are members of the household.

92. KIDSNEAR - Number of children who live in the village but who are not members of the household.

93. KIDSFAR - Number of children who are not members of the household and who live outside the village.

94. HERELONG - Number of generations (up to 4) since the first ancestor of the household head moved to this village.

95. GANDU - 0 if the household head does not acknowledge being part of a *gandu* arrangement. 1, 2, 3 differentiate between different types of *gandu*. See Hill (1972) for a discussion of *gandu*.

96. SKILLS - The number of members of the household with some special skill. Examples of such skills include tailoring, weaving, carpentry, religious teaching, driving.

THE FIELD SETTING AND SURVEY METHODS

A. The Setting.

From February 1988 to February 1989 I undertook a survey designed to extend contemporary research on economic behavior in the absence of perfect information and complete markets to the analysis of rural credit in Africa. The survey was conducted in four villages near the city of Zaria in Kaduna State of northern Nigeria. The Zaria region is a part of Hausaland, an ethnically diverse region spanning southern Niger and northern Nigeria which contains 15 to 20 million Hausa-speakers.¹ Despite the ethnic diversity of the area (which is about the size of a united Germany) the "underlying uniformity" of Hausaland has been noted by outside observers and is an important referent for many residents of the region.² This "uniformity" is expressed by broad cultural similarities, a common religion, extensive economic interaction and historical political unity under the 19th century Sokoto Caliphate, as well as by a common language.³

The vast majority of the population of Hausaland is Muslim; a fact which may have particular importance for this study of rural credit relations. Islamic law (*shari'a*) prohibits the use of fixed interest charges on loans. Investment income is prohibited if the investor does not share in the risks of the enterprise. Hence an equity investment is legal, while lending with a fixed interest rate is not. Fixed repayment periods are also prohibited: 'And if the debtor is in difficulty, then [there should be] postponement to a time of ease' (Koran 2:280).

The four randomly chosen villages included in the survey are Gangara, Madobi, Marwa and Yakasai, all within sixty kilometers of downtown Zaria. The old walled city of Zaria has been a political, military and commercial power for hundreds of years.⁴ The Emir of Zaria has significant authority over the affairs of the Emirate (which includes the

¹There are an additional 10 million or so people around the periphery o f this region who speak Hausa as a second language and who share many of the cultural attributes of the population of Hausaland itself.

²Forde (1964), cited by Watts (1979, vol. 2, p. 21).

³A wealth of detail on the population of Hausaland is available in a n extensive literature. Classic ethnographies with strong emphases on economics are available in M.G. Smi th (1955) and Hill (1972). Callaway (1987) provides a valuable study of Hausa women. A collection of essential historical essays is contained in A. Smith (1987). Detailed descriptions of the local area near Zaria are available in Mortimore (1970) and Norman, Simmons and Hays (1982).

 $^{{}^4\!\}mathrm{M.G.}$ Smith (1960) and Abdullahi Smith (1970) provide deta $\,$ ils on the history of Zaria.

four survey villages) from his palace in the heart of the old city. The central mosque draws a huge crowd for Friday prayers and many of the richest traders of the region reside in the old city. However, over the past century a newer and larger city has grown up outside the ancient walls of Zaria. The commercial heart of Zaria is now Sabon Gari (literally, "new town").

It is in the center of Zaria's Sabon Gari that arrangements are made for transportation to any of the four survey villages. Trucks of varying sizes, from small pickups to tractor-trailers, along with their drivers and laborers to load and unload them, are available for hire. Regular (albeit unscheduled) passenger transportation in the form of mini-vans is also available from Sabon Gari to each of the four survey villages.

Vans leave Sabon Gari along the important Zaria-Jos road several times an hour. Any of these vans will stop at the survey village of Yakasai, a village of 196 households (population 1003) about twenty kilometers from Sabon Gari. The survey village of Marwa is a community of 168 households with an estimated population of 910. To reach Marwa from Zaria, one can exit the van at Yakasai and hire a motorcyclist to travel the final 10 kilometers on a good laterite road recently constructed as part of the rural feeder roads component of the Kaduna State Agricultural Development Project. Alternatively, there is direct van service from Sabon Gari to Marwa and the other villages along this new feeder road several times a day.

The survey village Gangara is a large village of 916 households with an estimated population of 5972. It is on the main Zaria-Sokoto road, sixty kilometers from the middle of Sabon Gari, Zaria. Gangara is linked with the major markets of Funtua, Giwa, Samaru (the site of Ahmadu Bello University) and Sabon Gari by vans which leave every ten to twenty minutes.

Madobi is a small village of 138 households (estimated population 635) located about ten kilometers off of the old Zaria-Kano road.⁵ Madobi is more difficult to reach than the other three survey villages. Vans leave Sabon Gari to travel along the old Kano road several times a day. To reach Madobi, one leaves the van at the village of Hunkuyi and walks or hires a motorcycle to travel the remaining 10-12 kilometers to Madobi. The trails which connect Madobi with Hunkuyi are motorable with difficulty during the dry season and all but impassable to four-wheel vehicles during much of the rainy season. Truck transportation is rare; most produce is transported by motorcycle, bicycle or headload.

⁵Madobi is adjacent to Dan Mahawayi, which was included as the remot e village in Norman's (1972) important study.

Madobi includes a small *maguzawa* hamlet, which consists of a number of dispersed homesteads outside of the remains of the wall which surrounds the main town.⁶

When travelling from Zaria to any of the four villages one eventually leaves behind the urban accumulation of buildings, traffic and people to enter into a landscape of flat or gently rolling parkland with scattered trees. The natural savanna woodlands which would surround Zaria have given way in large part to permanently cultivated (or shortfallowed) farmland with isolated stands of trees. Some of the farms along the roads are huge; often these are the absentee holdings of rich Zaria residents. Closer to villages, the plots are irregular and smaller (under one hectare) and laced with footpaths radiating out from the villages. The villages themselves are tightly nucleated clusters of houses, surrounded by scatterings of dispersed homesteads. Each house is surrounded by a wall of some sort. A two to three meter high mud wall is the most common method of achieving privacy. Poorer families make do with fences of sorghum stalks; richer families plaster the mud with cement. Access to the compound formed by this wall is through the zaure ("entrance room"), which is the public room of the head of the compound, in which he conducts much of his business (and in which male survey participants were interviewed). Men who are not kin are rarely permitted to pass beyond the zaure into the compound, because the secluded women of the household are inside. Virtually all women of child-bearing age are in seclusion (kulle); they do not leave their compounds during the course of a normal day. Some visit friends and relatives during the evening hours, participate in festivals and travel (in groups) to hospitals and clinics for medical treatment for themselves and their children. As a rule, however, their world is their compound. Within this world, secluded women lead surprisingly active economic lives. Almost all married women have small businesses; they trade, sell prepared food, or thresh or pound grain for other households. They commonly use their children as agents to contact customers or suppliers.7

Each compound may contain one or more households, sometimes with a physical partition to reflect a division into multiple households.⁸ The households can be either simple *iyali* composed of a married man, his wives, children

 $^{{}^{6}\!}Maguzawa$ generally means "non-Mu slim people." In this instance the hamlet is Christian.

 $^{^{7}}$ On the practice of *kulle* and the economic activities of women see M. Smith (1954), Hill (1969), Simmons (1976b) and Callaway (1987).

⁸As discussed in chapter 1, for the purposes of this study a household is defined to be a group of individuals who regularly consume food together.

and unmarried relatives, or a *gandu* (pl. *gandaye*) composed of a father and married son(s) or married brothers. *Gandaye* are rare in three of the four survey villages, with only five percent of the households involved in a *gandu*. In one village (Madobi) more than one-quarter of the sample households reported that they are members of a *gandu*. By contrast, in the three Zaria area villages studied by Norman and his colleagues in the late 1960s (Norman [1972]), half of all households were members of a *gandu*. This observation accords with the hypothesis that the prevalence of *gandu* household organization is declining.⁹ Nevertheless, some households remain in *gandu*, the exceptional flexibility of that organization requires that particular care be exercised in any statistical procedures involving household demographics. Table 1 provides summary data concerning land holdings and household demographics for the four villages in the survey.

There is a rich history of detailed socio-economic fieldwork in northern Nigeria, much by the staff of the Institute for Agricultural Research (I.A.R.). Norman and his colleagues at the I.A.R. surveyed three villages near Zaria in 1966-67 (Norman [1967; 1972]). Ega (1984; 1988) surveyed four villages near Zaria in 1978-79. Matlon (1977) studied three villages in southern Kano State in 1974-75. These villages are less than 50 kilometers from Madobi, one of the four villages in my sample. Longhurst (1985) conducted a survey in 1975-77 in the village of Dayi in southern Katsina State, about 70 kilometers north of Gangara, another of the villages in my sample. Hill's (1972) classic study took place in 1967-69 in Batagawara in northern Katsina state, about 200 kilometers north of Zaria. Finally, Norman and his colleagues (Norman et al. [1976]) also surveyed three villages in Sokoto State in 1974-75, about 300 kilometers northwest of Zaria. Table 2 summarizes some of the general physical and economic characteristics of the nineteen villages.¹⁰

The Zaria area is in the heart of one of the most dynamic and promising agricultural regions of West Africa. It is located in the Guinea Savanna ecological zone. It receives an average 1,100 millimeters of rain per year during a wet season that lasts for approximately four months. The total amount of rainfall received over the year, its distribution over the rainy season and the dates of onset and termination of the rainy season, however, are highly variable. For example, the 90 percent confidence region for total rainfall is 820 millimeters to 1360 millimeters.¹¹

⁹See the references in chapter 1, section A.6.

¹⁰Table 2 follows the example of and takes many of its entries fro m Longhurst (1985; Tables 1.1-1.4).

 $^{^{\}rm 11}{\rm Further}$ detail on the climate of the region is available in Kowal (1972) and Agboola (1979).

In April, before the rainy season begins, work on the fields commences. Debris from the previous year's crop is cleared and burnt and organic fertilizer is spread on the fields. The main cropping season begins immediately after the rainy season is established, usually in mid-May. With the ground softened by the first rains, the heavy labor of making long ridges by hand for planting begins.¹² Through the first few months of the rainy season, farmers continually adjust their cropping strategies as weather patterns reveal themselves. False starts to the rainy season are not uncommon and seeds may have to be replanted as a result. Depending on the success of germination, various different crops might be interplanted with the first. Extremely complex crop mixtures can emerge as a consequence. Agronomic evidence supports the claims made by farmers that this "adaptive management" reduces income variation caused by variable rainfall.¹³ Every household in the research villages operates a farm, usually composed of multiple plots (an average of 5 plots per household) interspersed with those of other village residents. Two to five different crops are generally interplanted on each plot, so each household may farm up to 8 to 10 different crops. By July the cropping patterns of the fields are mostly finalized. The first and second weeding of the crops is in progress and the seasonal rise in the price of foodstuffs is nearing its peak. During the survey year (and, apparently, most years) the dominant topic of conversation turned to the inability to obtain chemical fertilizer at the controlled government price. By the end of August, earlymaturing millets can be harvested, but sorghum is not harvested until November-December and cotton remains in the fields until January.

There is also dry-season farming on lowlands bordering streams (*fadama*). *Fadama* land is typically heavier than upland soil (*tudu*, or when cultivated, *gonar daji*) and is often waterlogged during the rainy season. The water table remains close enough to the surface of *fadama* that it can often be farmed without irrigation during the dry season. About thirteen percent of the cultivated land in the four villages covered by this survey was *fadama* land, a somewhat higher proportion than in most of the villages previously studied in northern Nigeria (see Table 2).

The average size of the farms of the sample households is 3.8 hectares, which is comparable to the sizes

 $^{^{12}}$ See Kowal and Stockinger (1973) for a discussion of the agronomics o fridging in northern Nigeria.

¹³See Watts (1979; chapter 3) and Balcet and Candler (1982) for vivi d descriptions of the adaptation of farming strategi es to the unfolding pattern of the rainy season. See Ab alu (1976) and Norman (1975) for further discussion of the practice of interplanting different crops on a single plot. Interplanting has a number of functions in addition to reducing income uncertainty. Fo r example, it permits a more stable level of labor demand over the year.

observed in earlier studies. There are 8.5 residents per household in this sample, which is similar to the size generally observed in Hausaland in the past.¹⁴ Cultivated area per capita, therefore, is comparable in these villages to those surveyed in earlier studies.

There have been significant changes in cropping patterns and technologies over the past two decades. In particular, there has been a marked shift to the use of hybrid varieties of maize and a dramatic expansion of the use of chemical fertilizers (Balcet and Chandler [1982]; Yayock et al. [1978]). The use of bullock and tractor plowing has become more prevalent, though most farmers still rely entirely on manual cultivation. Neither animal traction nor tractor plowing was in use during Norman's 1966-67 survey of three villages in the same area (Norman, 1972). Currently, among households in my sample, 15% of cultivated area (7% of plots) is plowed at least once by a tractor, and another 14% (9%) by bullock plow. Longhurst (1985) found heavier use of animal traction (45% of cultivated area) in his 1976 survey of Dayi, near this area.

There is a moderate degree of involvement in the market both for the purchase of agricultural inputs and the sale of output. 73 percent of the sample households produce vegetables and non-food cash crops for the market and 95 percent of cultivated land is treated with modern chemical fertilizers. 53 percent of all labor used on the sample household farms is wage labor, a higher proportion than was found in earlier studies (Table 2). For example, on farms within the boundaries of the Funtua Agricultural Development Project, hired labor accounted for only 24 percent of total farm labor in 1976-78.¹⁵

Despite the statutory prohibition on land sales and rental, land markets are available.¹⁶ Only 49 percent of the area farmed in the sample villages was inherited by its cultivator. 25 percent was purchased, 17 percent was borrowed in exchange for token payments (*aro*) and the remaining 8 percent was cleared from bush by the cultivator, rented, received as a gift, or transferred as collateral for a loan. Villages studied in the past tended to have higher proportions of land acquired through inheritance (Table 2).

A large variety of non-agricultural occupations also exists. These include trading, the provision of transport

 16 Land tenure is discussed briefly in chapter 1. See also Ega (1984).

 $^{^{14}\}mbox{The}$ definition of "household" is the same for $\$ each of the studies reported in Table 2.

¹⁵Balcet and Candler (1982; table 2.6). One of my sample villages (Gangara) lies just outside those boundaries.

services (via vans, motorcycles, bicycles, or donkeys), and small-scale industries such as food preparation, carpentry, house building, and tailoring. In their 1966-67 survey of three Zaria area villages, Norman and his colleagues found that off-farm employment accounted for 39 percent of the days work by adult males and virtually all of the work of married females (Norman, Simmons and Hays [1982, p.121]).¹⁷ Matlon found that off-farm work accounted for 16 percent of total labor time in his 1974-75 survey of three Kano State villages (Matlon [1977, p. 293]). The amount of time spent on non-agricultural activities is countercyclical to the seasonal labor demands of farming, allowing some leveling of work effort over the course of the year.

B. Sampling and Survey Methods.

The design of an appropriate approach to fieldwork is conditioned by the particular issues under investigation. The goal of this project is an understanding of credit relations in northern Nigeria. The discussion of Udry (1991, chapter 2) however, shows that the literature on rural Hausaland contains no consensus as to the institutional form of the credit market. As a result of this ambiguity regarding the particular instruments of credit which exist, fieldwork cannot begin with a fixed notion of even the qualitative shape of credit transactions (as, for instance, it could in parts of India). The inventory of alternative means of obtaining credit that can be garnered from the literature does not provide a firm foundation for beginning a survey.

In addition to the lacuna in the empirical literature, there are a variety of practical and procedural difficulties associated with field research on credit in northern Nigeria. These include the limited access to adult females by male researchers, the scarcity of trained field assistants, the sensitivity of many issues of surrounding credit and, above all, the vast cultural and linguistic distance between the researcher and respondent. There is a real danger of collecting data which is badly contaminated by systematic non-sampling error. The interview is a social event: extensive greetings are exchanged, food may be served, decorum maintained. The politeness of the host, however, is required by the norms of proper behavior. Her actions therefore provide little information concerning her true attitudes towards participation in the survey. She will surely answer the questions, for not to do so would be rude. However, she may put little effort into the responses and may have a variety of motivations to answer with less than complete accuracy. She may attempt to provide answers which she thinks the interviewer wants to hear, or those which will shorten the interview. She may

¹⁷The practice of *kulle* prohibits women from working on the fields.

provide answers which accord with a norm of proper behavior (exaggerating the size of gifts given, for instance). She may boast or be overly modest. Research based on a participant-observer methodology is subject to the same difficulties, but there is greater scope for establishing direct trust between the researcher and respondent with such qualitative field methods than in a survey. This has led some to conclude that survey methods are inappropriate for the study of a wide range of phenomena in northern Nigeria. Smith (1955), Hill (1982) and especially Watts (1983) forcefully raise these concerns. The different objections are all similar, and involve the degree of contact and familiarity between the researcher and the individuals whose actions, opinions, and knowledge form the foundation of the study. Whether the distance is caused by the use of enumerators to ask prepared questions or by periodic absence from a village (for instance, to allow periodic residence in other villages), it is this distance that creates obstacles.

A paradox therefore arises out of the issues under consideration. The survey method itself is indispensable it lies at the core of the statistical methods to be used to investigate credit transactions in northern Nigeria. Thus, some distance between myself and individual respondents is required even to begin the investigation of the quantitative issues at hand. However, based on his own field experience, Watts is very pessimistic about the feasibility of investigating these very issues at such a distance:

[w]ith respect to indebtedness and food sales, it quickly became clear that survey methods using sampling techniques were wholly inappropriate. Reliable data could be obtained only from a small number of individualshouseholders whom the author knew well- painfully compiled over a long period. Accordingly, what emerges is a small, patchy and perhaps unrepresentative picture; yet to resort to large-scale sampling on such sensitive matters would, in my opinion, magnify the error factor to a wholly intolerable degree....numbers of young girls are regularly underestimated as are adopted children; grain sales tend to be very sensitive; numbers of large livestock and manure sales are invariably fictive; and various forms of land tenure, especially pledging, rental, and sales, are difficult to document either through obfuscatory use of language or through verbal agreement. Credit, moneylending, and loans are all fundamentally off limits. (1983, pp. 35-36)

Hill and M.G. Smith would second this view. At least three researchers with extensive field experience in northern Nigeria are thus very skeptical of the possibility of utilizing survey methods. These concerns, without doubt, are well founded. My response, detailed below, was continuous attempts to reduce the distance between myself and the respondents as much as possible without sacrificing the basic objectives of the study.

Hill (1982, p.133) strongly objects to sampling on other, less persuasive grounds:

Among the many objections to sampling in research of this type are: first, that any method of stratifying the sample in advance presupposes that the salient variables are already known--as is unlikely; second, that uniquely interesting households may happen to be omitted; and that there are apt to be unexpected advantages from the possibility of relating everybody to everybody else.

This critique is misguided, at least with regard to this study. First, the sample is unstratified except with respect to

village, an explicitly salient variable. Second, it is no more likely that interesting households may be omitted by sampling from several villages than by exclusive attention to a single village. Finally, while there indeed could be unexpected advantages from "relating everybody to everybody else," these advantages are less likely to appear in this study, which is concerned, in significant part, with potential interactions across village boundaries. It surely would be improvident to discard the considerable advantages of sampling on such grounds.

I made a preliminary six-week visit to the Institute for Agricultural Research in Zaria in August-September 1986 with the dual purpose of beginning to build a qualitative portrait of what institutions of credit are available and generating fieldwork procedures which would ensure high quality data on these notoriously sensitive matters. The visit also proved vital in gaining formal affiliation with the Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology of the I.A.R. This affiliation was a formal necessity (and an intellectual and practical blessing) before survey work could begin.

During the preliminary visit, I conducted interviews with the residents of several villages in the survey area in order to begin to clarify the characteristics of the credit markets in the region. These interviews were informal and relatively unstructured and were conducted in groups of two to four informants. The discussions focused on the existence of various institutions of credit rather than on individuals' use of these institutions. It was often through disputes between the informants over the meaning of a word, or the appropriate procedure to be followed in a particular contractual situation that the most valuable information was gathered. I was able to confirm the virtual non-existence of regulated credit outside of the city of Zaria itself. I also received the first indications that credit-product market interlinkages in the form of forward sales of standing crops are unimportant. Most importantly, I developed a glossary of local usage of vocabulary about credit, a glossary which proved invaluable when developing questionnaires. I made little progress during the preliminary research, however, toward narrowing down the inventory of potentially available contractual forms of credit. The large variety of institutions described in the literature seemed to exist in the survey area; it would require formal survey research to make judgements concerning the quantitative importance of the alternative mechanisms.

The staff of the I.A.R. represents one of the highest concentrations of researchers experienced in socioeconomic fieldwork in Africa. I was able to take advantage of this expertise while formulating specific plans for the main period of fieldwork which would minimize the danger of non-sampling error caused by the particular difficulties of survey work in northern Nigeria. During the preliminary visit I received generous and valuable assistance in setting the timetable for research, preparing a sample frame of potential survey villages, planning for the selection and training of enumerators, drafting guidelines for interviewing and field measurement procedures, and generating outlines and drafts of the questionnaires to be used.

1. Survey Period.

I arrived in Zaria to begin the main period of fieldwork in February 1988. I conducted the survey from April 1988 to February 1989. The one-year survey period was required by the dramatic seasonality of the agricultural economy of northern Nigeria. By having enumerators conduct monthly interviews over the course of the year, I was able to gather contemporaneous data on stocks at a number of different points in time and on transactions throughout the year. The length of the survey enabled me to collect contemporaneous data both on the size and contractual terms of loans and on actual repayments of the loans. The seasonal pattern of many loan transactions (borrowing during planting, repayment after harvest) meant that the critically sensitive questions concerning loan repayments were generally asked toward the end of the survey, after the enumerators had built a relationship with the respondents.

2. Village Selection and Sample Size.

The survey method and the multi-village character of the research were indispensable, but the distance between myself and respondents had to be minimized. Therefore, I kept the size of the survey and the number of villages included as small as possible. I decided to survey four villages, with fifty households per village. The small sample size enabled me to meet all of the male respondents over the course of the year and I was able to immediately intervene when an enumerator sensed that a respondent was becoming less cooperative. I was able to spend a day each week in each of the four villages and thus kept a relatively high profile during the course of the survey. I was in regular contact with the leadership of each village and was readily accessible to any of the respondents. This was particularly important when (rare) conflicts arose between the enumerators and respondents or other village residents.

I began by compiling a list of villages within the Zaria Local Government area (excluding those in the peri-urban area of Zaria itself) and classifying them into on- and off-main road categories. After choosing two villages from each category, I went to visit. If the village leadership seemed cooperative, it remained in the sample. Otherwise, I selected a nearby village to replace it.¹⁸ In three of the four villages, current tax lists were used as the sample frame. In one village the tax list was unreliable so the enumerators conducted a census. A random sample of fifty households was

¹⁸This procedure economized on my (extremely limited) transportatio n resources and on the time of those who volunteered to guide me to the villages.

selected in each village.¹⁹ After a public meeting with the sample households in each village in which we explained the purpose and procedures of the project, the interviews began.²⁰

3. Enumerators.

Two enumerators (one male and one female) lived in each village for the duration of the survey. The male was responsible for interviewing each of the fifty household heads once a month, the female interviewed each of their wives each month. There was a ninth enumerator who was responsible for measuring one or two of the plots of each household and administering a questionnaire on the use and history of each of the plots owned or used by each household. The process through which they were selected and trained was conventional except in one respect; they participated fully in translating the questionnaires into Hausa. I retained final responsibility for the wording, but we all discussed each question. These discussions gave the enumerators an in-depth exposure to the reasoning behind each question even before we began mock interviews. It also began the process (which continued throughout the period of the survey) of feedback from the enumerators to me concerning the usefulness and clarity of the questions.

4. Interviews.

The core of the survey process is the interview between the enumerator and respondent, and it is at this point that non-sampling error is most likely to arise. The small sample size enabled me to play a very active role in supervising interviews. I spent a day a week in each village, usually attending interviews with the male enumerator. Therefore, I was able to monitor closely the enumerators' relationships with male respondents and could evaluate the quality of the interviews. The practice of *kulle* or wife-seclusion, however, prevented me from attending interviews with the Hausa female respondents. I therefore, by necessity have less confidence in the data from women than I do in the data from men; unfortunately this seems to be an inevitable outcome of being a male researcher in Hausa society.

¹⁹The names were publicly drawn from a hat by the village heads.

 $^{^{20}}$ The meetings tended to be long and full of questions, but as I late r learned not all doubts were put to rest. For example, in Madobi my initial guide to the village knew its location because he had helped build a church in th е maguzawa hamlet. Furthermore, in a coincidence of which I was then unaware , that hamlet received a shipment of aid from urban churches on the same day that Т A rumor spread that the survey was aimed at converting arrived in the village. everyone to Christianity, but no one mentioned this to me during the meeting It was only through the timely It was only a week later that I heard the rumor. and vital intervention of Alhaji Sabo Giade, a staff member of the I.A.R. and a highly respected teacher and scholar, that the rumor was laid to rest.

Each month the female enumerators interviewed the wives of each household head. These interviews were held separately, in the interior of the compound away from the household head. I had expected that these independent interviews would provide an important check on the accuracy of responses from both women and men. On many matters, however, the responses concerned only the individuals' own dealings. A significant amount of information would have been lost had I limited interviews to a single sex. The small degree of overlap is unfortunate, however, because it limited my ability to check the accuracy of responses from female respondents.

5. Revision of Data and Questionnaires.

Responses from each interview were entered into a database within a few days of the interview. A number of internal consistency checks were built into the questionnaires and database program. This constant and immediate review of the completed questionnaires was particularly valuable. I returned any questionnaire responses which included inconsistencies or ambiguities to the enumerator for immediate re-interview. During the first round of the survey I sent back about fifty percent of questionnaires for re-interviewing. That proportion dropped to about fifteen percent as the enumerators became more skilled interviewers and gained a better rapport with the respondents.

These procedures enabled me to heed Hill's (1982) call for a close interaction between theory construction and detailed personal observation at the village level. As a result of the constant review of incoming data and frequent observation of interviews, I made regular changes in interview procedures and in the questionnaires themselves as the survey progressed. Many of these changes were minor, intended to clarify questions to avoid ambiguous or misleading responses. In addition, however, I was able to design new questionnaires and revise old questions in accordance with theoretical insights that emerged from my close involvement in the interviews. One particularly important example of this arose out of the regular side comments from respondents that loan repayments were unpredictable and depended upon the success of farming activities. In response to these comments, which could not have been encoded on a rigid questionnaire (for I had not anticipated responses along such lines) I was able to create questionnaires to collect information on the realization of random production shocks by all borrowers and lenders. Udry (1991), in large measure, consists of an exploration of the relationship between loan repayments and these random events.

6. Questionnaires.

The questionnaires were designed to yield a complete picture of each household's asset and debt position; an account of its credit, product, asset and asset-rental transactions over the previous month; and a range of demographic

and background data. The questionnaires were written in Hausa and the responses were recorded by the enumerators in longhand. The longhand responses were then entered directly into the survey database. No coding errors could emerge in this process, for no coding took place. Misspellings and typographical errors were corrected at a later stage and never were so severe that the original answer could not be detected. There were a total of eighteen questionnaires (Table 3 details the frequency with which each questionnaire was included in the monthly interviews). 'M' and 'F' after each questionnaire name indicate that the questionnaire was administered to the household head and to each of his wives, respectively.

1. A household roster which lists the members of the household (HH Roster) (MF).

2. A household members component which provides data on the education, migration and work histories of each of the household members, as well as an accounting of children ever born to each wife (HH Members) (MF).

3. An asset holdings component which lists the miscellaneous household goods, farming equipment, and other miscellaneous assets of each household. This questionnaire also provides information concerning the housing and water supply for each household (Misc. Assets) (MF).

4. An account of the grain (and other produce) holdings of the household, as well as information concerning holdings of agricultural inputs and cash and participation in rotating credit and savings associations (*adashi*) (Grain Stocks) (MF).

5. A livestock transactions component, which details the sale, purchase, rental, lending, slaughter, or death of any livestock over the previous month. This also includes an inventory of current household ownership of livestock and fowl (HH Livestock) (MF).

6. An additional questionnaire concerning the ownership of livestock by individuals in the household (Indiv. Livestock) (MF).

7. An inventory of trading stocks (Trade Stocks) (MF).

8. A description of any land owned by females, and the use to which it is put (Female Land) (F).

9. A land survey which details the characteristics, history and use of each plot owned or farmed by each household. This includes estimates of the area of each plot (Land Survey) (M).²¹

²¹None of the respondents had previously had ther farms measured. For this study, one enumerator (Adex Adisa) undertook the responsibility of measuring one to two plots of each respondent. He carried out this task using an optical range

10. A listing of relatives not living with the household, their occupations and residences (Relatives) (MF).

11. A plot production component which provides an accounting of the use of inputs (including family labor) and output on each plot over the sample year. An account of special problems arising on each plot is included in this component. This questionnaire was used only once (at harvest), so the data are retrospective over the entire crop year (Land Use) (M).

12, 13. Two separate questionnaires concerning the individual assets owned by household heads and their wives currently and at the time of marriage (Male Assets (M); Female Assets (F)).

14. A labor component detailing all transactions involving labor over the previous month (Labor) (MF).

15. A product market component concerned with the sale or purchase of farm output and inputs (such as seeds or chemicals) over the previous month (Product) (MF).

16. A land transactions component providing an accounting of the purchase, sale, rental, inheritance, gift, or borrowing (*aro*) of plots of land over the previous month (Land Trans.) (MF).

17. An account of gifts given or received over the previous week (Gifts) (MF).

18. A component providing details of all borrowing or lending over the previous month, of repayments of any loans, and of any contacts between the borrower and lender of an outstanding loan (Credit) (MF).

The large number of questionnaires notwithstanding, I made every effort to restrict data collection to those matters directly relevant to the investigation of credit transactions. In particular, I made no attempt to collect data concerning income or consumption. Both the receipt of income and expenditure on consumption are continuous processes which cannot, I believe, be recovered reliably with a one-month recall (Norman [1972]; Lipton and Moore [1972]). I felt that a study of rural credit could proceed without data on income and consumption, so I declined to modify the survey procedures to permit their collection.

REFERENCES

Balcet, J., and W. Candler. 1982. Farm Technology Adoption in Northern Nigeria. Washington, D.C.: World Bank.

finder and a compass. Areas of the remaining plots of each household wer e estimated relative to the measured plots. This comparison was made through the household head's estimate of the number of man-days required to ridge and t o weed each plot, as well as his direct estimate of the relative size.

- Ega, L.A. 1983. "Societal Formation and the Evolution of Land Tenure System in the Zaria Emirate of Northern Nigeria." <u>Agricultural Administration</u>, 13.
- Ega, L.A. 1984. "Land Acquisition and Land Transfer in Zaria Villages in Nigeria." Agricultural Administration, 15.
- Ega, L.A. 1988. "Landholding Patterns and Related Problems of Agricultural Development in Zaria Villages." Manuscript, Department of Agricultural Economics and Rural Sociology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.
- Hill, P. 1972. Rural Hausa. Cambridge: Cambridge University.
- Hill, P. 1982. Dry Grain Farming Families. Cambridge: Cambridge University.
- Longhurst, R. 1985. "Farm Level Decision Making in a Northern Nigerian Village." Samaru Miscellaneous Papers no. 106, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.
- Matlon, P. 1977. "The Size Distribution, Structure, and Determinants of Personal Income among Farmers in the North of Nigeria." Ph.D. dissertation, Cornell University.
- Matlon, P. 1978. "Income Distribution and Patterns of Expenditure, Savings, and Credit Among Farmers in the North of Nigeria." Occasional Paper No. 96, Department of Agricultural Economics, Cornell University.
- Matlon, P. 1979. "Income Distribution Among Farmers in Northern Nigeria." African Rural Economy Paper no. 18, Michigan State.
- Norman, D. 1972. "An Economic Survey of Three Villages in Zaria Province: 2. Input-Output Study, Vols. i. text and ii. Basic Data and Survey Forms." Samaru Miscellaneous Papers no. 37, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.
- Norman, D., J. Fine, A. Goddard, W. Kroeker, and D. Pryor. 1976. "A Socio-Economic Survey of Three Villages in the Sokoto Close-Settled Zone. 3: Input-Output Study. i. Text." Samaru Miscellaneous Papers no. 64, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.
- Norman, D., E. Simmons and H. Hays. 1982. <u>Farming Systems in the Nigerian Savanna: Research and Strategies for</u> <u>Development</u>. Boulder, Co.: Westview Press.
- Simmons, E. 1976. "Rural Household Expenditures in Three Villages of Zaria Province." Samaru Miscellaneous Papers no. 55, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.
- Smith, M.G. 1955. <u>The Economy of the Hausa Communities of Zaria</u>. London: Colonial Social Science Research Council.
- Udry, C. 1991. "Rural Credit in Northern Nigeria." Ph.D. dissertation, Yale University.
- Watts, M. 1979. "A Silent Revolution: The Nature of Famine and the Changing Character of Food Production in Nigerian Hausaland." Ph.D. dissertation, University of Michigan.