In Vivo, Transcutaneous Glucose Sensing Using Surface-Enhanced Spatially Offset Raman Spectroscopy: Multiple Rats, Improved Hypoglycemic Accuracy, Low Incident Power, and Continuous Monitoring for Greater than 17 Days
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ABSTRACT: This paper presents the latest progress on quantitative, in vivo, transcutaneous glucose sensing using surface enhanced spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (SESORS). Silver film over nanosphere (AgFON) surfaces were functionalized with a mixed self-assembled monolayer (SAM) and implanted subcutaneously in Sprague–Dawley rats. The glucose concentration was monitored in the interstitial fluid of six separate rats. The results demonstrated excellent accuracy and consistency. Remarkably, the root-mean-square error of calibration (RMSEC) (3.6 mg/dL) and the root-mean-square error of prediction (RMSEP) (13.7 mg/dL) for low glucose concentration (<80 mg/dL) is lower than the current International Organization Standard (ISO/DIS 15197) requirements. Additionally, our sensor demonstrated functionality up 17 days after implantation, including 12 days under the laser safety level for human skin exposure with only one time calibration. Therefore, our SERS based sensor shows promise for the challenge of reliable continuous glucose sensing systems for optimal glycemic control.

The high sensitivity and selectivity of surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) make it an ideal method for the detection and characterization of low-concentration analytes in a complex biological environment. The advancement in fabrication of reproducible, large area, high enhancement substrates has paved the way for biologically relevant small molecule sensing via SERS. The recent emergence of spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (SORS) has provided significant increases in depth penetration and high depth resolution Raman signals. Medically relevant applications of SORS range from bone disease diagnosis to cancer detection. Currently, the approach of combining SERS and SORS (SESORS) has opened new pathways for in vivo, continuous sensing of metabolic analytes.

The use of SERS for in vivo sensing mostly relies on injection of SERS nanoparticles because the background signals from the complex biological environment can mask direct signals from analytes of interest. An early report of in vivo SERS detection was given by the Nie group where PEGylated gold nanoparticles functionalized with a tumor-targeting ligand were used to identify cancerous areas. Later, the Gambhir group showed a step forward with in vivo multiplexed SERS imaging in a nude mouse. The problem associated with these studies is that SERS signals can be acquired only from the nanoparticles accumulating at the surface of the animal. Recently, the Matousek group has pursued the application of SESORS as a potential in vivo SERS detection tool for low concentration small molecule targets that are deeply buried within tissues. The capability of multiplexed SESORS imaging of SERS nanoparticles in porcine tissue has also been demonstrated. However, the majority of the in vivo SERS detection work performed to date are qualitative analyses providing only molecular identification, and all of these rely on injection of SERS nanoparticles. The consequence of introducing nanoparticles into the body remains an active area of research.

One important area for in vivo small molecule sensing is glucose detection due to its intimate connection with diabetes, which affects 11.3% Americans over the age of 20 and 26.9% of those over the age of 65. Prevention of hypoglycemia is a critical component of diabetes management since it is the leading limiting factor in glycemic management. Given sufficient insulin doses, patients with diabetes can hold plasma glucose concentrations at nondiabetic levels, yet they are likely to suffer iatrogenic hypoglycemia at other times. Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) is particular useful in those with hypoglycemia unawareness and/or frequent episodes of hypoglycemia. However, all of the glucose sensing techniques available today...
including commercially available electrochemical methods of CGM suffer from inaccuracy in the low concentration range (<80 mg/dL). The fear of hypoglycemia hinders strict glycemic control in both diabetic and critically ill patients.

The CGM devices available on the market today can function for up to 7 days. For these CGM sensors, multiple calibrations with optimal timing are needed to ensure the reliability and accuracy of the glucose measurements. Much effort has been applied to develop long-term implantable sensors. Armour et al. has demonstrated a sensor placed in the vena cava functioning up to 10 months with a weekly basis calibration. However, this sensor requires increased invasiveness. Another long-term sensor implanted in the subcutaneous tissue has functioned up to 6 months and operated over 100 days without the need for calibration. However, the sensor did not function well during the first 3–4 weeks after implantation. Clearly, there is a need to develop a glucomonoring device that can give an improved assessment of glycemic variations, especially the detection of hypoglycemia, over a longer period.

In order to maintain euglycemia while avoiding debilitating hypoglycemia and intermittent hyperglycemia, optical glucose detection has been explored as a useful technique. Among various optical techniques, Raman spectroscopy stands out because it relies on the unique vibrational signatures of each molecule, which allows direct and selective identification of glucose. Although Raman spectroscopy has been promising for noninvasive glucose sensing, the inherently weak Raman signal of glucose makes it difficult to apply this technique in vivo. SERS has the advantage of greatly enhancing the signal strength. The Van Duyne lab has made great strides in developing a continuous, direct, and quantitative in vivo glucose sensor based on SERS. The ability of the decanethiol (DT)/6-mercaptop-1-hexanol (MH) functionalized silver film over nanosphere (AgFON) substrates to detect glucose has been studied extensively both in vitro and in vivo.

Our previous work reached a key milestone by demonstrating the capability of in vivo transcutaneous glucose sensing via SESORS. In this report, we significantly extend the SESORS approach by demonstrating its reliability, accuracy, and long-term stability for in vivo glucose sensing in multiple animals. Further, our data show a RMSEC of 3.6 mg/dL and a RMSEP 13.7 mg/dL in the hypoglycemic range, which is lower than the present International Organization Standard (ISO/DIS 15197) and meets the requirements for intensive care unit (ICU) patients. Additionally, our results demonstrate that our SERS sensor is capable of monitoring glucose in vivo transcutaneously for up to 17 days without multiple calibrations and under the laser power safety level for human skin exposure.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

### Materials

All the chemicals were reagent grade or better and used as purchased. Silver pellets (99.99%) were purchased from the Kurt J. Lesker Co. (Clairton, PA). Titanium was obtained from McMaster-Carr (Chicago, IL) and cut into 0.5 mm thick, 8 mm diameter disks. NH₄OH (28–30% in H₂O), H₂O₂ (30% in H₂O), and ethanol were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Fairlawn, VA) for cleaning substrates. Silica nanosphere solution (600 nm ± 10–15% diameter, 10.2% solid) was purchased from Bangs Laboratories, Inc. (Fishers, IN). Only ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹) from a Millipore system (Marlborough, MA) was used for substrate preparation. Glucose, albumin from bovine serum (BSA), decanethiol (DT), and 6-mercapto-1-hexanol (MH) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Insulin (100 U/mL) was acquired from Eli Lilly (Indianapolis, IN).

### AgFON Fabrication and Incubation Procedure

The titanium substrates were cleaned by sonication in a 5:1:1 H₂O/H₂O₂/NH₄OH solution. In previous studies, the nanosphere solution was directly drop-coated onto the titanium substrate. Here, an improved fabrication technique was employed where silica nanospheres were first isolated from solution by centrifugation and removal of the supernatant. The nanospheres were then dispersed in ultrapure water and sonicated to disperse particle aggregates. This procedure ensures that a more uniform close-packed array of nanospheres will form on the titanium substrate surface. Approximately 20 µL of nanosphere solution was drop-coated onto each clean titanium substrate and allowed to dry under ambient conditions. An Ag film (200 nm thick) was deposited over the nanosphere mask using a home-built thermal deposition system to form a silver film over nanosphere (AgFON) substrates. The substrates were incubated in 1 mM DT in ethanol for 45 min and transferred to 1 mM MH in ethanol for at least 12 h to form a mixed DT/MH SAM. The AgFONs were kept in the 1 mM MH solution until used.

### Instrumentation

The SESORS system previously described was used in the present study. The only change was a new 785 nm diode laser (Renishaw, RL785, 300 mW, < 1 cm⁻¹).

### Surgical Implantation

All surgical procedures followed protocols filed with the Northwestern University IACUC. Male Sprague–Dawley rats (300–500 g, N = 6) were anesthetized with isoflurane (1.5–3%) throughout the surgical procedure and the duration of the experiment. The animal was checked for pain reactions by toe-tug and blink tests. None were observed. After the anesthetic had taken effect, the surgical areas were prepared by removal of hair (shaving and chemical depilatory) and cleaning. The femoral vein and artery were cannulated using PE 50 tubing for drug/glucose injections and blood glucose measurements, respectively. An incision was made in the skin and a pocket was blunt dissected into the subcutaneous space. A single DT/MH AgFON was placed in the pocket. All incisions were closed with surgical clips. The rats were thermally stabilized by an electric heating pad throughout the course of the surgery and experiment. Following the experiment, the animals were sacrificed with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital (150 mg/kg) and bilateral thoracotomy.

### Experimental Procedure and Spectroscopic Measurement

The rats were placed in the SESORS apparatus. The glucose concentration in the rats was increased through intermittent intravenous infusion (1 g/mL in sterile saline) and decreased by IV insulin injection (0.2 mL of 2 U/mL) over the course of the experiment. A droplet of blood was drawn from the rats, glucose level was measured with the OneTouch Ultra 2 home blood glucometer, and corresponding SESORS measurements were taken (λₜₐₛ = 785 nm, Pₛₑₐₐₜₚ = 50 mW, tₛₑₐₐₚ = 2 min). To keep the osmotic pressure of the rats at normal physiological...
levels, a volume of BSA (0.8% in sterile saline) equal to the blood removed was injected following each blood glucose measurement via a femoral cannula. The data were collected and analyzed by the partial least-squares leave-one-out (PLS-LOO) method described in our previous papers.3,13,38 The calculations were performed with MATLAB (MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA) and PLS_Toolbox (Eigenvector Research, Inc., Manson, WA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reliability and Hypoglycemic Accuracy of SESORS in Vivo Glucose Sensing. Over the past 20 years, the Clarke error grid has become the most common standard for evaluating the accuracy and performance of glucose sensors in clinically relevant concentration ranges.41,42 The grid is divided into five zones with measured concentrations on the x-axis and predicted concentrations on the y-axis. Predictions that fall in these zones lead to the following: (A) clinically accurate measurements and treatment, (B) benign errors or no action, (C) unnecessary action, (D) a lack of action, and (E) actions that are opposite to those that are clinically necessary. Accurate measurements only result in data points within the A and B zone of the grid.43 Five separate in vivo transcutaneous SESORS glucose experiments are presented on Clarke error grids in Figure 1. Measurements were taken from multiple spots of the implanted sensor due to movement of the body of the rat as it breathed. The relative motion between the sensor and the SORS probe did not cause consistent problems due to the spatially averaged collection of the annular fiber bundle. The results of the five in vivo experiments are summarized in Table 1. For each experiment, all points of the calibration

---

**Table 1. Quantitative in Vivo Transcutaneous Glucose Detection Using PLS Calibration for Five Rats**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rat number</th>
<th>points used for calibration</th>
<th>predicted conc. (mg/dL)</th>
<th>measured conc. (mg/dL)</th>
<th>RMSEC</th>
<th>RMSEP</th>
<th>MARDC (%)</th>
<th>MARDV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20.4 mg/dL (1.1 mM)</td>
<td>28.4 mg/dL (1.6 mM)</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.6 mg/dL (1.1 mM)</td>
<td>83.2 mg/dL (4.6 mM)</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35.3 mg/dL (2.0 mM)</td>
<td>28.8 mg/dL (1.6 mM)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.6 mg/dL (0.2 mM)</td>
<td>13.7 mg/dL (0.8 mM)</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>43.1 mg/dL (2.4 mM)</td>
<td>40.0 mg/dL (2.2 mM)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** In vivo transcutaneous SESORS glucose calibration (blue ●) and validation (red ●) data sets on five rats. All data points were acquired with λ_ex = 785 nm, P_ex = 50 mW, t_acq = 2 min.
and validation fall in the zone A and B range, indicating high sensor accuracy. Note that all points for rats 1–4 fall only within zone A. Both the mean absolute relative difference values for calibration and validation (MARDC and MARDV) and root-mean-square error for calibration and prediction (RMSEC and RMSEP) are lower than the previous in vivo transcutaneous results and comparable to the previous windowed chamber results.3,40 These improved results may be due to a refined fabrication technique for preparing the SERS substrates that has been developed in the Van Duyne laboratory.44 The new fabrication procedure for preparing AgFONs yields a more uniform, robust, and reproducibly close-packed array of nanoparticles. This, in turn, yields a spatially more uniform surface plasmon resonance, higher SERS enhancement factors, and improved S/N for the SERS glucose sensor. For our previous in vivo studies, the AgFONs used provided enhancement factors of 10^6.3,39,40 The refined process developed in the Van Duyne lab has increased the enhancement factors to the mid-10^7 level and possibly to 10^9 under optimal conditions (unpublished results).

The error can be further improved by increasing the number of data points in the calibration.40 Ideally, the calibration model would be created with a large number of data points spanning the entire range of physically relevant glucose concentration (0–450 mg/dL). However, since only a limited number of data points can be collected from each rat due to the lifetime of the rat during an experiment, we use a specific ratio of calibration points to validation points to create a robust model. The ratio of calibration to validation points that is used in our studies is between 2:1 and 3:1. On the basis of our previous experiments,40 a ratio in this range builds a relatively accurate calibration model for validation. In the five in vivo transcutaneous SESORS glucose experiments, the data from rat 5 has a greater number of calibration points than the other four rats but has higher error. This is because in rat 5 glucose concentrations span approximately 2 times the range of the other rats. A wider range of concentrations results in more variation in the spectra which leads to greater error in the PLS-LOO calibration model. This error can be reduced by including even more data points in the calibration model. Nevertheless, the results from the five experiments show that our SESORS glucose sensor can make accurate and consistent in vivo transcutaneous glucose measurements.

Strict glycemic control benefits both diabetic and ICU patients.28 Reliable CGM plays a key role in optimal glycemic control.28,30 To date, most commercially available CGM devices have 14–20% error range, and none of them can achieve 100% accuracy in terms of the Clarke error grid analysis. In comparison, our SERS based glucose sensor shows great promise for an accurate CGM sensor. In total, 100% of measurements from all the rats are in the clinically acceptable range (zone A and B range). Moreover, the experiment with rat 4 demonstrated high accuracy for low glucose concentrations (31–79 mg/dL). At the center of diabetes management is prevention of hypoglycemia.26 However, all of the sensors available today have lower accuracy at low glucose levels than they do at higher levels, causing unreliable detection of hypoglycemia.28–30 The ISO/DIS 15197 requires that the sensor should detect a result within 15 mg/dL (0.83 mmol/L) for reference glucose values ≤75 mg/dL.
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Table 2. Quantitative in Vivo Transcutaneous Glucose Detection Using PLS Calibration for 5-Day Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>day</th>
<th>measurement number</th>
<th>daily RMSEP (mg/dL)</th>
<th>daily MARDV (%)</th>
<th>overall RMSEP (mg/dL)</th>
<th>overall MARDV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.5 (0.8 mM)</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>13.5 (0.8 mM)</td>
<td>8.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.4 (1.0 mM)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>15.6 (0.9 mM)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33.3 (1.9 mM)</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>23.0 (1.3 mM)</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph showing SESOR spectra from days 6 to 20, after implant, in vivo rat skin, and DT/MH-functionalized AgFON.](image)

**Figure 4.** Comparison of SESOR spectra from days 6–20, after implant, in vivo rat skin, and DT/MH-functionalized AgFON. $\lambda_{ex} = 785$ nm, $P_{ex} = 2$ mW, $t_{acq} = 2$ min.

(4.2 mmol/L), and the sensor should be within 20% for reference glucose values ≥75 mg/dL. Clearly, our SERS based sensor show the potential to meet and possibly exceed the requirements of the standard.

**Long-Term Stability of SESORS In Vivo Glucose Sensing.**

An implantable glucose sensor must be stable for at least 3 days for continuous in vivo glucose sensing. Herein, the stability of the DT/MH functionalized AgFON for transcutaneously monitoring glucose was studied over a period of 20 days in a randomly chosen rat. For days 1–5, SESOR spectra were captured every hour for 12 h a day from the same implanted sensor in the same rat with a laser beam at 785 nm yielding 50 mW at the sample ($t_{acq} = 2$ min). One of the acquired SESOR spectra from each day is shown in Figure 2. The DT/MH peaks are clearly present among the peaks of the rat skin in each day’s spectrum as compared to the spectrum of in vivo rat skin and DT/MH AgFON. Representative peaks can be seen at 1434, 1124, 1068, 891, and 714 cm$^{-1}$. Peaks in the region between 1050 and 700 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the skin and hair of the rat. Their positions and intensities varied across different days due to the regrowth of hair. Otherwise, the spectral band positions and intensities of each day’s spectrum in other region did not vary significantly over the course of 5 days. To evaluate the accuracy

![Graph showing in vivo transcutaneous SESORS glucose calibration and validation data sets on one rat.](image)

**Figure 5.** In vivo transcutaneous SESORS glucose calibration and validation data sets on one rat for (A) a 12-day period with (B) an enlarged plot of the data (0–200 mg/dL). Measurements from days 6 and 7 (blue *) were used for calibration sets. Measurements from days 8 (red ◆), 10 (red ○), 13 (red +), 14 (red ▲), 15 (red ◈), 16 (red ×), 17 (red ■), 20 (green ◤), and in vivo rat skin (green ◤) were used for validation sets. All data points were acquired in vivo transcutaneously with $\lambda_{ex} = 785$ nm, $P_{ex} = 2$ mW, $t_{acq} = 2$ min.
Table 3. Quantitative in Vivo Transcutaneous Glucose Detection Using PLS Calibration for 12-Day Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>day</th>
<th>measurement number</th>
<th>daily RMSEP</th>
<th>daily MARDV (%)</th>
<th>overall RMSEP</th>
<th>overall MARDV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.2 mg/dL (0.6 mM)</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>11.2 mg/dL (0.6 mM)</td>
<td>6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.5 mg/dL (0.7 mM)</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.9 mg/dL (0.7 mM)</td>
<td>8.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.1 mg/dL (1.1 mM)</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>15.1 mg/dL (0.8 mM)</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.7 mg/dL (0.4 mM)</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>14.6 mg/dL (0.8 mM)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.4 mg/dL (1.3 mM)</td>
<td>20.4%</td>
<td>15.6 mg/dL (0.9 mM)</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>15.9 mg/dL (0.9 mM)</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.4 mg/dL (0.6 mM)</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>15.5 mg/dL (0.9 mM)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and performance of our sensor over the first 5 days, the in vivo transcutaneous SESORS glucose measurements were analyzed by Clarke error grid as shown in Figure 3. The measurements from the first 2 days were used as a calibration set and those from the rest of the 3 days were used as a validation set. All calibration and validation points fall in zones A and B, showing excellent accuracy. The RMSEC and MARDC are 2.3 mg/dL (0.1 mM) and 1.42%, respectively. The results of the validation measurements for the remaining 3 days are summarized in Table 2. Both RMSEP and MARDV did not show a significant increase over the 3 day period, indicating good sensor stability.

For this SESORS technique to ever be used as a practical approach in glucose sensing, the incident laser power on the skin must be below the safety level for skin exposure. To demonstrate that meaningful data can be collected at low laser power, starting on day 6 the incident power on the sample was attenuated to 2 mW, which is approximately an order of magnitude below the safe level for skin illumination in the NIR spectral region. For day 6–13, SESOR spectra were captured every hour for 12 h each day from the same implanted sensor in the same rat ($I_{ex} = 785$ nm, $t_{inc} = 2$ min). After day 13, four spectra were acquired each day (except day 16). Figure 4 presents the representative SESOR spectra acquired from each day. As time progressed, the daily spectrum showed diminished DT/MH features and increased skin features. One DT/MH peak (891 cm$^{-1}$) does disappear after lowering the laser power due to obfuscation by hair and skin peaks, but this is somewhat expected with the lower signal intensity. The data collection was stopped at day 20 due to the significant change of spectral band positions and intensities. Glucose measurements from days 6 and 7 were used a calibration set, and the measurements from the rest of days were used as a validation set (see Figure 5). Measurements from days 6 to 17 fall in zones A and B. Data from day 20 fell in zone C, indicating that our SESORS glucose sensor functioned properly up to at least 17 days. In order to prove that the SESORS sensor read glucose signals rather than random noises, 10 SESOR spectra were taken from an area not over the implanted sensor. These 10 measurements are also presented in Figure 5. In total, 9 of the 10 measurements fall in zone D, demonstrating our glucose sensor indeed detects glucose signal. The RMSEC and MARDC of 2 mW measurements are 4.2 mg/dL (0.2 mM) and 3.69%, respectively. The results of the validation measurements of the 2 mW illumination power for the rest of the days are summarized in Table 3. Again, both RMSEP and MARDV did not show a significant increase over the 12 day period.

Overall, our SERS glucose sensor showed excellent accuracy and reliability over a period of 17 days. The longest life span of CGM sensors currently available on the market is 7 days.28,30 Although some long-term implanted sensors showed longer functional time, they do not function well during the first 3–4 weeks after implantation due to the foreign body response.34 In contrast, our SERS sensor functions immediately after implantation, indicating that the foreign body response does not affect the glucose sensing ability of the sensor. Furthermore, our SERS sensor was calibrated just once during both the 5-day and 12-day measurements. One of the main disadvantages of current CGM devices is that repeated calibration is needed for obtaining reliable glycomic profiles.28 Most of the devices need calibration at least four times a day.28 The accuracy of the sensor is greatly affected by the number and timing of the calibrations.12 Our SERS sensor showed consistent accuracy during the multiple days measurement period with only one calibration at the initial stage of sensor utilization.

**CONCLUSIONS**

These experiments show a significant step forward in our quantitative in vivo transcutaneous glucose sensing work.46 Most notably, the sensor system is now able to perform more accurately over lower glucose concentrations over a long period of time, and over multiple rats. The high accuracy, consistent, and stable glucose measurements provide promise for an implantable, real time, continuous glucose sensor based on SERS. Our SESORS approach allows us to detect glucose directly with high accuracy especially in the low glucose concentration range as well as over a long period of time with only a one time calibration. We have shown it is also possible to achieve this under the laser safety level for human skin exposure. To date, none of the commercially available devices can achieve enough accuracy in the hypoglycemic range and can function for more than 7 days. Currently, we are actively developing the next generation of SERS substrates with higher enhancement.10 This will further increase the accuracy of our SERS based glucose sensor. With high enough accuracy, our sensor could serve as a research tool for investigating the role of glycemic control in ICU patients.28,30 Furthermore, new partition layers are being developed in our lab to expand the biological targets accessible to in vivo SERS from glucose to those included in the Chem 7 panel and others. Looking to the future, we believe that our SESORS sensor approach will have important applications in both opening up new areas of fundamental research and treatment and care of diabetic and ICU patients.
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