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Sequence-selective DNA detection has become increasingly important as scientists unravel the genetic basis of disease and use this new information to improve medical diagnosis and treatment. Commonly used heterogeneous DNA sequence detection systems, such as Southern blots and combinatorial DNA chips, rely on the specific hybridization of surface-bound, single-strand capture oligonucleotides to complementary targets. Both the specificity and sensitivity of these assays are dependent on the dissociation properties of capture strands hybridized to perfect and to mismatched complements. Recently, we developed a nanoparticle-based detection scheme that uses two gold particle probes with covalently bound oligonucleotides that are complementary to a target of interest (1–3). When encountering target strands, these particle probes are polymerized and form network structures composed of thousands of particles. In addition, the polymerization process is accompanied by a red-to-blue color change, providing a means of detection. These network structures exhibit exceptionally sharp melting profiles; the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) for the first derivatives of these melting transitions is as low as 2°C. Sharp melting transitions allow one to differentiate a perfectly matched target from a strand with a single base mismatch, regardless of position on a 24-nucleotide sequence. The sharp melting transitions of these assay systems are in part due to: (i) cooperative effect due to the multiple duplex interconnects between particles in the network structure, and (ii) the monitoring of a nanoparticle optical signature that is sensitive to interparticle distance and particle aggregate size rather than a DNA base signature. Here we report that the use of single-nanoparticle probes in recognizing DNA segments immobilized on a chip affords substantially sharper and higher temperature melting profiles than those obtained with analogous, conventional fluorophore-based systems. This observation, combined with (i) the development of a quantitative signal amplification method based on nanoparticle-promoted reduction of silver(I) (4) and (ii) the use of a conventional flatbed scanner as a reader, have allowed us to develop a new “scannometric” chip-based detection system for DNA that has single mismatch selectivity and a sensitivity that, at present, is 100 times greater than that of conventional, analogous fluorescence-based assays as monitored by confocal fluorescence microscopy.

Gold nanoparticles modified with oligonucleotides (3) were used to indicate the presence of a particular DNA sequence hybridized on a transparent substrate in a three-component sandwich assay format (Scheme 1). In a typical experiment, target-active substrates were fabricated by attaching 3'-thiol-modified capture oligonucleotides to the surface of float glass microscope slides (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania) according to procedures from the
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A method for analyzing combinatorial DNA arrays using oligonucleotide-modified gold nanoparticle probes and a conventional flatbed scanner is described here. Labeling oligonucleotide targets with nanoparticle rather than fluorophore probes substantially alters the melting profiles of the targets from an array substrate. This difference permits the discrimination of an oligonucleotide sequence from targets with single nucleotide mismatches with a selectivity that is over three times that observed for fluorophore-labeled targets. In addition, when coupled with a signal amplification method based on nanoparticle-promoted reduction of silver(I), the sensitivity of this scanometric array detection system exceeds that of the analogous fluorophore system by two orders of magnitude.
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found that our scanometric detection method not only enabled very low surface coverages of nanoparticle probes to be visualized by a simple flatbed scanner or by the naked eye (Fig. 1, C and F) but also permitted the quantification of target hybridization on the basis of the imaged grayscale of the darkened area (Fig. 1H). In the absence of target or in the presence of noncomplementary target, no darkening of the surface was observed (Fig. 1G), demonstrating that neither nonspecific binding of nanoparticles to the surface nor nonspecific silver formation on bare glass occurs. Low nonspecific binding (in fact, undetectable with this technique) is an unusual feature of these heavily functionalized, oligonucleotide-nanoparticle conjugates (bearing approximately 220 oligonucleotides per 13-μm-diameter particle) (8), which enables ultrasensitive detection of DNA sequences.

We have found that oligonucleotide-functionalized nanoparticles exhibit unique hybridization properties that can lead to improved selectivity in assays on oligonucleotide arrays (or “gene chips”) (9). The relative ratios of targets hybridized to different elements of an oligonucleotide array determine the effectiveness of the array in discriminating between different target sequences. In principle, the greater the difference in binding affinity at a given temperature between a complex containing a perfect target and one with a mismatched base, the higher this ratio and the better the discrimination. As a test of the relative selectivities of fluorophore and nanoparticle probes, we carried out experiments in which melting curves were obtained for surface-bound complexes comprising matched or mismatched capture oligonucleotides, a target oligonucleotide, and probes bearing either fluorophore or nanoparticle reporting groups (Scheme 1) (10). Although the fluorescein-labeled complexes dehybridized over a very broad temperature range (first derivative FWHM = 18°C), as expected for a complex containing a relatively small number of base pairs, the surface-bound complexes containing identical oligonucleotides but nanoparticle reporter groups melted over a very narrow temperature range (first derivative FWHM = 3°C). The sharp melting curves of the nanoparticle probe translate into high recognition selectivity, because a temperature can be selected so that a high proportion of labeled probe remains hybridized to perfectly matched capture strands while most of the probe is dehybridized from mismatched capture strands. (For the specific example in Fig. 2, 81% of the target was retained for the matched capture strand and only 19% for the mismatched capture strand at the stringency temperature shown.) The midpoint temperatures in the melting curves (Tm values) for the complexes with the nanoparticle probes also were significantly higher than the corresponding values for the fluorophore probes. This feature should increase the sensitivity achievable in the assay, because an increase in the melting temperature of the surface complex lowers the critical concentration below which the complex spontaneously melts at room temperature.

To evaluate the effectiveness of nanoparticles as scanometric indicators for oligonucleotide arrays, a synthetic target was hybridized to different capture strands immobilized onto glass chips and was then assayed separately with fluorophore and nanoparticle probes. The test arrays, the oligonucleotide target, and the Cy3 label strand (indodicarboxyanine 3; Cy3 is a trademark of Biological Detection Systems, now part of Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden) were made according to published protocols (4, 11). Arrays contained four elements corresponding to each of the four possible nucleotides at position 5 of the target. The arrays were designed to evaluate discrimination between an A:T complement (X = A) and a G:T “wobble” pairing (X = G), a particularly difficult task for conventional fluorophore-based assays (12, 13). The synthetic target and either fluorophore or nanoparticle probes were hybridized successively to arrays in hybridization buffer, and each step was followed with a stringency buffer wash (14). After the second wash, the arrays treated with nanoparticle probes were immersed in the silver am-
plification solution for 5 min. Silver amplification darkened the array elements so that the 200-μm–diameter elements could be easily imaged with a flatbed scanner or even the naked eye.

Arrays challenged with the target and nanoparticle labels and amplified with the silver solution exhibited more selective hybridization to complementary array elements and higher signal intensity than did arrays challenged with target and fluorophore labels (Fig. 3). For both nanoparticle- and fluorophore-based arrays, a low-temperature wash did not dissociate the target from mismatched array elements and produced hybridization signal at all four elements. As the stringency temperature was increased, target dissociated from the different elements in the order of the predicted stability of the Watson-Crick base pairs (15): C:T and T:T mismatches first, followed by the G:T wobble pair, and finally by the A:T complement. It is clear that, as predicted by the dissociation curves (Fig. 2), these transitions occur much more sharply for the nanoparticle-labeled arrays than for the Cy3-labeled arrays (Fig. 3) (16). This sharpening has two important consequences with respect to the hybridization signal obtained at the optimum stringency temperature (shown by the dark boxes in Fig. 3). First, the relative signal intensity at the complementary (A) element versus the wobble (G) element is much greater for the nanoparticle-labeled array (Fig. 3, left) than for the fluorophore-labeled array (Fig. 3, right). This demonstrates the higher sequence selectivity of the array detection system based on nanoparticle probes. Although the fluorophore-based system shows 2.6:1 selectivity for the perfect complement at 35°C (the optimum stringency temperature), the nanoparticle-based system shows over 10:1 selectivity at 50°C (the optimum stringency temperature). Second, the absolute signal intensity at the complementary (A) element is greater for the nanoparticle array at the temperature of optimum stringency. As optimum stringency is approached with fluorophore probes, most of the hybridized target and label are dissociated from the array, leaving little signal to image. Note the decrease in signal as the temperature is increased from 15° to 35°C (Fig. 3, right). With nanoparticle probes, on the other hand, over 80% of the nanoparticle label is retained at the complementary element at stringency (Fig. 3, left, 50°C). Hybridization signal could be resolved at the X = A elements at target concentrations as low as 50 fm (5); this represents a 100-fold increase in sensitivity over that of Cy3-labeled arrays imaged by confocal fluorescence microscopy, for which target concentrations of 5 pM or greater were required. The higher melting temperatures observed for nanoparticle-target complexes immobilized on surfaces undoubtedly contributed to the sensitivity of the array. The greater stability of the probe/target/surface-oligonucleotide complex in the case of the nanoparticle system as compared with the fluorophore system presumably results in less target and probe lost during washing steps.

We expect that scanometric DNA array detection will be useful in applications such as rapid target identification for disease diagnostics and other applications requiring high speed and accuracy.
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Systematic efforts are currently under way to construct defined sets of cloned genes for high-throughput expression and purification of recombinant proteins. To facilitate subsequent studies of protein function, we have developed miniaturized assays that accommodate extremely low sample volumes and enable the rapid, simultaneous processing of thousands of proteins. A high-precision robot designed to manufacture complementary DNA microarrays was used to spot proteins onto chemically derivatized glass slides at extremely high spatial densities. The proteins attached covalently to the slide surface yet retained their ability to interact specifically with other proteins, or with small molecules, in solution. Three applications for protein microarrays were demonstrated: screening for protein-protein interactions, identifying the substrates of protein kinases, and identifying the protein targets of small molecules.

Historically, genome-wide screens for protein function have been carried out with random cDNA libraries. Most frequently, the libraries are prepared in phage vectors and the expressed proteins immobilized on a membrane by a plaque lift procedure. This method has been effective for a variety of applications (1–4), but it has several limitations. Most clones in the library do not encode proteins in the correct reading frame, and most proteins are not full-length. Bacterial expression of eukaryotic genes frequently fails to yield correctly folded proteins, and products derived from abundant transcripts are overrepresented. Moreover, because plaque lifts are not amenable to miniaturization on the micrometer scale, it is hard to imagine screening all the proteins of an organism hundreds or thousands of times by this approach.

With the advent of high-throughput molecular biology, it is now possible to prepare large, normalized collections of cloned genes. UniGene sets in the form of polymerase chain reaction products have been used extensively over the past decade to construct DNA microarrays for the study of transcriptional regulation (5). Recently, spatially segregated clones in expression vectors were used to study protein function in vivo using the yeast two-hybrid system (6) and in vitro using biochemical assays (7). We have built on these efforts by developing microarray-based methods to study protein function.

To accomplish these goals, it is necessary to immobilize proteins on a solid support in a way that preserves their folded conformations. One group has described methods of arraying functionally active proteins, using microfabricated polyacrylamide gel pads to capture their samples and microelectrophoresis to accelerate diffusion (8). In contrast, we have immobilized proteins by covalently attaching them to the smooth, flat surface of glass microscope slides. One of our primary objectives in pursuing this approach was to make the technology easily accessible and compatible with standard instrumentation. We use a variety of chemically derivatized slides that can be printed and imaged by commercially available arrayers and scanners. For most applications, we use slides that have been treated with an aldehyde-containing silane reagent (9). The aldehydes react readily with primary amines on the proteins to form a Schiff’s base linkage. Because typical proteins display many lysines on their surfaces as well as the generally more reactive α-amine at their N-terminal, they can attach to the slide in a variety of orientations, permitting different sides of the protein to interact with other proteins or small molecules in solution.

To fabricate protein microarrays, we use a high-precision contact-printing robot (10) to deliver nanoliter volumes of protein samples to the slides, yielding spots about 150 to 200 μm in diameter (1600 spots per square centimeter). The proteins are printed in phosphate-buffered saline with 40% glycerol included to prevent evaporation of the nanodroplets. It is important that the proteins remain hydrated throughout this and subsequent steps to prevent denaturation. After a 3-hour incubation, the slides are immersed in a buffer containing bovine serum albumin (BSA). This step not only quenches the unreacted aldehydes on the slide, but also forms a molecular layer of BSA that reduces nonspecific binding of other proteins in subsequent steps.

Although appropriate for most applications, aldehyde slides cannot be used when peptides
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