A Functional Genomic Fingerprint of Chronic Stress in Humans: Blunted Glucocorticoid and Increased NF-κB Signaling


Background: Chronic stressors are known to increase vulnerability to medical illness, but the mechanisms underlying this phenomenon are poorly understood.

Methods: To identify transcriptional control pathways that are modified by chronic stress, we conducted genomewide expression microarrays on familial caregivers of brain-cancer patients (n = 11) and matched control subjects (n = 10). Analyses were conducted on peripheral blood monocytes, which are cells that have the ability to initiate and maintain many inflammatory responses. Salivary cortisol was collected over the course of 3 days as volunteers went about normal activities.

Results: Caregivers’ patterns of cortisol secretion were similar to those of matched control subjects. However, their monocytes showed diminished expression of transcripts bearing response elements for glucocorticoids, and heightened expression of transcripts with response elements for NF-κB, a key pro-inflammatory transcription factor. Caregivers also showed relative elevations in the inflammatory markers C-reactive protein and interleukin-1 receptor antagonist.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that even in the absence of excess adrenocortical output, stress brings about functional resistance to glucocorticoids in monocytes, which enables activation of pro-inflammatory transcription control pathways. This persistent activation of inflammatory mechanisms may contribute to stress-related morbidity and mortality.
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M}ounting evidence indicates that chronic psychological stressors—such as caring for a demented family member, having a severely troubled marriage, or working in a hostile environment—contribute to the development and progression of medical illnesses (1). Stressed persons are prone to viral infections; more frequent and severe flare-ups of asthma, multiple sclerosis, and arthritis; and to developing premature coronary disease (2–7).

The mechanisms responsible for this phenomenon are not well understood. There has been much speculation regarding the contribution of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) axis, which releases cortisol into circulation following exposure to many life stressors (8–9). In leukocytes, cortisol ligation of cytosolic glucocorticoid receptors (GR), and these complexes translocate to the nucleus, where they inhibit activity of several immunoregulatory transcription control pathways, including nuclear-factor kappa-B (NF-κB), activator-protein 1 (AP-1), and JAK-STAT factors (10). Because of cortisol’s ability to inhibit a broad array of cellular immune functions, a prevailing assumption has been that it contributes to stress-evoked disease through immunosuppressive mechanisms.

However, with increasing recognition that inflammation is a key pathogenic mechanism in many infectious, autoimmune, cardiovascular, and psychiatric diseases (11–13), the adequacy of this explanation has been called into question (14–15). This is because when taken to its logical end, this hypothesis suggests a paradoxical and inaccurate conclusion: that in boosting cortisol output and slowing immune activity, chronic stressors should ameliorate the symptoms of inflammation-related diseases. Of course, this conclusion is at odds with the excess morbidity and mortality documented in chronically stressed individuals (1).

To resolve this paradox, researchers have advanced an alternative hypothesis focusing on cellular resistance to cortisol-mediated signaling (14,16–18). It specifies that chronic stressors elicit sustained elevations in cortisol that, over time, prompt immune cells to undergo a compensatory downregulation of GR activity. This adaptively limits cortisol’s ability to further dampen immune responses. However, in cells such as monocytes that are tightly regulated by cortisol, this dynamic also diminishes the potency of an important hormonal constraint, which acts to tonically inhibit NF-κB, AP-1, and other pro-inflammatory transcriptional control pathways (10). The long-term result of this process is mild, low-grade inflammation, fostered by monocytes that have acquired resistance to cortisol. The resulting persistent inflammation is hypothesized to contribute to the infectious, autoimmune, and cardiac diseases to which stress is linked.

Support for this account has accrued in studies of humans and animals (14,17,19,20) in which chronic stressors have been shown to diminish the capacity of glucocorticoids to suppress endotoxin-stimulated cytokine production. Although these findings provide encouraging support for the glucocorticoid-resistance hypothesis, it is difficult to draw definitive conclusions from them, because they rely on ex vivo methods, synthetic analogs of cortisol, high doses of endotoxin to activate monocytes, or a combination of these. A further problem is that existing research has relied on culture systems that interrogate...
only a single activation pathway, which involves toll-like receptor 4 and the MyD88 adaptor molecule (21). Glucocorticoids regulate monocyte behavior through modulation of multiple signaling pathways (22), so a thorough evaluation of the resistance hypothesis requires a model system that fully captures these dynamics in vivo.

Here we address these problems by conducting genomewide transcriptional surveys on the monocytes of two groups of volunteers: those in the midst of a severe chronic stressor—acting as caregiver for a family member with malignant brain cancer—and a matched sample of healthy control subjects. When used in concert with promoter-based bioinformatics techniques (23), these genomewide transcriptional profiles reveal how strongly cortisol signals are being registered across the entire transcriptome. On the basis of the glucocorticoid-resistance hypothesis, we expected that the stress of caregiving would diminish glucocorticoid-mediated transcription in monocytes and at the same time enhance transcription of pro-inflammatory mRNAs. The latter outcome was expected to be especially pronounced for genes controlled by NF-κB, which is subject to potent counterregulation by GR-dependent mechanisms (10). Because monocytes initiate and maintain inflammatory responses to many pathogenic stimuli, we also expected these stress-related dynamics to be accompanied by higher systemic concentrations of inflammatory molecules such as C-reactive protein and interleukin-6.

Methods and Materials

Subjects

The subjects were from a larger project exploring the psychological and immunologic consequences of caregiving that ran from January 2005 to December 2007. This report focuses on a subgroup of volunteers who participated between November 2005 and August 2006. The caregivers were recruited from the central nervous system tumor clinics at the British Columbia Cancer Agency, Vancouver Centre. All were primary familial caregivers for patients being treated for glioblastoma multiforme, the most common and aggressive primary brain tumor, with 5-year survival rates of approximately 10%–20% (24). Control subjects were recruited from the broader Vancouver, Canada, community using advertisements in newspapers. To be eligible, they had to 1) match an enrolled caregiver on age, sex, ethnicity, and marital status and 2) be free of major stressors such as divorce, bereavement, unemployment, and family illness during the previous year. The project was approved by the Research Ethics Boards of the University of British Columbia and the British Columbia Cancer Agency, and all subjects provided written informed consent before participating.

Psychological Distress

Distress was assessed with the Perceived Stress Scale (25), the Satisfaction with Life Scale (26), and a modified version of the Profile of Mood States (27), which focused on feelings of anxiety, anger, guilt, vigor, contentment, and joy. These instruments have been extensively validated and showed excellent psychometrics in our sample, with Cronbach’s alphas greater than .76.

Monocyte Gene Expression

To conduct genomewide expression microarrays, 20 mL of blood was drawn by antecubital venipuncture into Vacutainer Cell Preparation Tubes (Becton-Dickinson, Oakville, Ontario). After isolation of mononuclear cells through density-gradient centrifugation, monocytes were captured through immunomagnetic positive selection with antibodies against CD14 (Millenyi Biotec, Auburn, California). RNA was subsequently extracted using RNAlater/RNeasy (Qiagen, Valencia, California). Five micrograms of the resulting RNA was the assayed using Affymetrix (Santa Clara, California) U133A high-density oligonucleotide arrays (28) in the UCLA DNA Microarray Core as previously described (29,30). Robust Multiarray Averaging (31) was applied to quantify expression of the 22,283 assayed transcripts, and differentially expressed genes were identified as those showing a 50% or greater difference in mean expression levels between caregivers and control subjects (corresponding to a false discovery rate of 10%) (32). The raw data are deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (accession number: GSE7893).

To identify upstream signal transduction pathways that drive differential gene expression in leukocytes from stressed versus control individuals, we used a two-sample variant of the Transcription Element Listening System (TELiS; http://www.telis.ucla.edu) (23). TELiS analyzes differential gene expression data in terms of the prevalence of transcription factor-binding motifs (TFBMs) within the promoters of differentially expressed genes. This approach can accurately identify the activation of specific hormone or cytokine signaling pathways based on the resulting pattern of gene induction that occurs selectively in genes bearing TFBMs responsive to transcription factors activated through that pathway (23). The analyses described here assessed glucocorticoid receptor activity using the TRANSFAC VSGR_Q6 DNA motif, and NF-κB/REL transcription factor activity using the VSCREL_01 motif (which was characterized by binding of the p50/p65 cRel heterodimer, but can also bind RelB and other NF-κB/Rel family proteins) (33). p values were calculated using an independent sample t test with Welch’s correction for heteroscedasticity (34). Primary analyses used default parameter settings shown to be optimal in previous studies (analysis of ~600 bp sequence upstream of transcription start site, with a .90 MatInspector match stringency (25).

Confirmation by Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction

A subset of transcripts identified as differentially expressed in microarray analyses were independently assayed by quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) using TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California). Eleven genes involved with immune response were chosen for analysis. Assays for each sample were carried out in triplicate using an iCycler instrument (Biorad, Hercules, California), Quantitect Probe RT-PCR enzymes (Qiagen), and the manufacturer’s recommended one-step thermal cycling protocol. Threshold cycle numbers for each analyze were normalized to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase for analysis. A general linear model with sample (i.e., replicate) nested within persons was used to evaluate differential expression of each individual transcript.

Biomarkers of Systemic Inflammation

Systemic immune activation was assessed through serum levels of three widely used protein biomarkers of inflammation: C-reactive protein, interleukin-1 receptor antagonist, and interleukin-6. C-reactive protein was measured using a high-sensitivity, chemiluminescent technique on an IMMULITE 2000 (Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, California). This assay has an interassay coefficient of variation of 2.2% and a lower detection threshold of .20 mg/L. Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist is a molecule released by monocytes to neutralize the
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Patterns of Cortisol Output

Diurnal output of cortisol was assessed by having subjects collect saliva as they went about 3 days of normal activities. To facilitate the collection process, we lent them a handheld computer (Palm Zire 21; Sunnyvale, California) that signaled them to collect saliva at waking, and at 5, 1, 4, 9, and 14 hours after waking. Collection was performed by chewing on a cotton dental roll (Salivet; Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). To ensure compliance with the protocol, the computer flashed a three-digit code each time the alarm sounded. Subjects recorded the codes on collection containers. When the containers were returned to the lab, the codes on them were matched with those displayed by the computer. Samples marked incorrectly were excluded from analysis. The containers were then centrifuged. After saliva had been aspirated, it was frozen at –30°C until assay.

Cortisol was measured using a commercially available chemiluminescent technique (IBL-Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany) at the Technical University of Dresden. This assay has a sensitivity of .16 ng/mL and intra- and interassay coefficients of variation less than 12%. After cortisol values had been log-transformed, each day’s data were used to create indices of morning response (output over the first hour) and total daily secretion using area-under-the-curve calculations. An index of diurnal rhythm was also computed by simple linear regression of cortisol output over the diurnal cycle and total daily secretion using area-under-the-curve calculations. An index of diurnal rhythm was also computed by simple linear regression of cortisol output over the first hour and total daily secretion using area-under-the-curve calculations. The mean interday correlations were .68 for total volume, .46 for diurnal rhythm, and .27 for morning response.

Potential Confounders

A number of potential differences between caregivers and control subjects could contribute to transcriptional disparities. Through a validated battery of questions (35–37) we solicited information on the most likely demographic (age, gender, ethnicity, and educational background), behavioral (use of cigarettes and alcohol, exercise and sleeping tendencies) and biomedical (body mass index, self-rated health, functional limitations, personal history of major diseases) confounders.

Table 1. Demographic, Behavioral, and Biomedical Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Caregivers (n = 11) Mean ± SEM or %</th>
<th>Control Subjects (n = 10) Mean ± SEM or %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at Entry, Years</td>
<td>52.5 ± 4.0</td>
<td>55.6 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, % Male/Female</td>
<td>37.3/72.7</td>
<td>50.0/50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, % Caucasian</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>80.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, % University Degree</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette Smoking, % Daily Smokers</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise, Minutes Weekly</td>
<td>130.9 ± 35.6</td>
<td>152.5 ± 41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol Consumption, Drinks Weekly</td>
<td>7.0 ± 3.5</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index, kg/m²</td>
<td>25.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>25.9 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Rated Sleep Quality, Poor (0)–Good (3)</td>
<td>1.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>1.2 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Limitations, None (1)–Serious (6)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal History Cardiovascular Disease, %</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

Preliminary Analyses

Table 1 describes the sample’s demographic, behavioral, and biomedical characteristics. The sample consisted of 11 subjects caring for a family member with malignant brain cancer, and 10 control subjects who were demographically similar but free of major stressors. None of them had a personal history of cancer, autoimmune conditions, liver or kidney disease, HIV/AIDS, or tuberculosis. The groups were similar in terms of age, sex, ethnicity, cigarette and alcohol use, exercise and sleep habits, body mass index, functional limitations, and history of cardiovascular disease (all ps > .17 by independent samples t test; Table 1). Caregivers family members had received their brain cancer diagnosis about 8 months before study entry (mean = 31.5 ± 5.3 weeks).

Figure 1 presents disparities between caregivers and control subjects in terms of psychological distress. Scores on the Perceived Stress Scale were significantly higher in caregivers (t = 3.31, p = .003), indicating that they found life stressful, overwhelming, and unpredictable. Indeed, their scores were at the 80th percentile of the U.S. population distribution (38). Caregivers also reported decreased satisfaction with their lives (t = −2.23, p = .04) and less frequently experienced positive emotions such as joy, vigor, and contentment (ps < .004). They did not, however, report a higher frequency of negative emotions such as anger, guilt, and anxiety than control subjects (ps > .16).

Chronic Stress and Transcriptional Control

Figure 2 presents the “transcriptional fingerprint” of chronic stress in monocytes, with red intensity indicating the magnitude of a gene’s relative overexpression in caregivers versus control subjects, and green intensity denoting the magnitude of underexpression. A total of 614 transcripts were differentially expressed (Table S1 in Supplement 1), representing 542 distinct named human genes; 127 (21%) were overexpressed in caregivers and 488 (79%) were underexpressed, reflecting a net suppressive effect of chronic stress (p < .0001 by binomial test).

We used the TEliS bioinformatics analysis to quantify the prevalence of transcription factor-binding motifs (TFBMs) in the promoters of differentially expressed genes. Results indicated that among caregivers versus control subjects, there was a relative downregulation of genes bearing one or more glucocorticoid response elements. Specifically, glucocorticoid receptor TFBMs occurred at 23.3% lower prevalence in regulatory sequences of genes overexpressed by caregivers versus those overexpressed by control subjects (TRANSFAC VS$GR_Q6 motif: www.sobp.org/journal
2.13 ± .21 vs. 2.77 ± .11 sites/promoter for caregivers and control subjects; \( p = .007 \) by independent-samples \( t \)-test. These findings suggest a stress-linked diminution of GR-mediated transcription (Figure 3A).

Consistent with expectations about increased inflammatory signaling, TELiS identified a parallel upregulation of genes bearing NF-κB response elements among caregivers. There was a 1.54-fold greater prevalence of NF-κB/Rel TFBMs in promoters of genes overexpressed by caregivers relative to those overexpressed by control subjects (TRANSFAC V$CREL_01 motif; \( 1.66 \pm .19 \) vs. \( 1.08 \pm .06 \) sites/promoter for caregivers and control subjects; \( p = .005 \); Figure 3B). The coupling of increased NF-κB/Rel activity (1.54-fold change) and decreased GR activity (.77-fold change) resulted in a net 2.01-fold skew in the structure of promoter TFBMs distributions across genes overexpressed in caregivers versus control subjects.

To evaluate the sensitivity of the TELiS analyses to technical variations, we repeated them using parametric variations of promoter length (−300 bp, −600 bp, −1000 to +200 bp) and scan stringency (MatSim = .80, .90, .95). Of the six parametric combinations that were evaluable, chronic stress was associated with a 1.72-fold net skew in the relative prevalence of NF-κB/CRE TFBMs, which was statistically significant at \( p = .0042 \). We also used RT-PCR to independently verify microarray analysis results for 11 genes involved in inflammatory and immune processes. The results were concordant with the microarray in 9/11 instances (Figure S1 in Supplement 1), confirming stress-related upregulation of the \textit{RUNX1}, \textit{PTGES}, \textit{VEGF}, \textit{HIG2}, \textit{TNF}, \textit{ADM}, and \textit{ARL4C} genes (all \( ps < .001 \)), and stress-regulated downregulation of \textit{GBP1}, \textit{HDAC1}, and \textit{TNFSF10} (all \( ps < .05 \)). Although the groups showed differential expression of \textit{STAT1} and \textit{IL8} by microarray, their values were similar in RT-PCR analyses (\( ps > .35 \)).

Because circulating monocytes can have either “resident” or “inflammatory” phenotypes, we considered the possibility that caregiving-related differences in their distributions could explain our findings. However, microarray results indicated that caregivers and control subjects expressed similar quantities of mRNA for surface markers that differentiate these phenotypes (e.g., CD14, CD16, CCR1, CCR4, CCR7, \( ps > .11 \)). These findings suggest that disparities in the proportion of inflammatory to resident monocytes are not responsible for our findings.

### Protein Biomarkers of Inflammation

Consistent with the skew toward stress-related monocyte activation, caregivers had about twice as much of the inflammatory biomarker C-reactive protein in circulation as control subjects (3.14 ± .65 vs. 1.62 ± .54 mg/L; \( t = 2.09, p = .05 \); Figure 3C). They also had more than twice as much serum interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (433.21 ± 61.87 vs. 203.56 ± 29.19 pg/mL; \( t = 3.25, p = .005 \); Figure 3D), a molecule released by monocytes to neutralize the pro-inflammatory activities of interleukin-1. There were no caregiving-related differences in serum interleukin-6 (1.18 ± .20 vs. .96 ± .14 pg/mL in caregivers vs. control subjects; \( t = .88, p = .39 \)). However, much of the interleukin-6 found in circulation derives from adipose tissue (30), so any stress-related effects on monocytes are likely to have been obscured.

### Potential Underlying Mechanisms

To identify mechanisms linking chronic stress and transcriptional control, we compared the diurnal output cortisol of caregivers and control subjects. Subjects collected saliva six times daily for a 5-day period, according to a schedule that captures the hormone’s diurnal rhythm. Figure 4 illustrates that caregivers and control subjects displayed similar patterns of cortisol secretion...
Functional limitations, personal history of cardiac disease). Group differences in plasma C-reactive protein and interleukin-1 receptor antagonist also persisted following adjustment for these potential confounders. None of the volunteers had a history of other medical conditions (cancers, respiratory conditions, autoimmune disorders, persistent infections) that could bias the findings.

**Exploratory Analyses**

In addition to the primary hypotheses of altered GR/NF-κB signaling equilibrium, exploratory bioinformatics analyses also evaluated whether other transcription-control pathways were altered under chronic stress. Four patterns consistently emerged across variations in analysis parameters: 1) caregivers displayed relative upregulation of genes responsive to the EGR1 control pathway (62.9% increase in promoter TFBM prevalence; \( p = .019 \)), which, like NF-κB, heightens expression of transcripts involved with chemotaxis, angiogenesis, and inflammation; 2) caregivers exhibited diminished expression of genes bearing response elements for interferon regulatory factor 1 (IRF1; 49.6% decline, \( p = .006 \)), which mediates innate antiviral responses by activating interferon-responsive genes; 3) caregivers showed diminished activity of genes bearing response elements for the ELK1 transcription factor mediating mitogen-activated protein kinase-induced transcription (43.2% reduction, \( p = .002 \)); and 4) caregivers showed diminished activity of genes bearing response elements for the Octamer (Oct) family of transcription factors (average 51.7% reduction, \( p = .012 \)).

To identify common functional characteristics of differentially expressed genes, we conducted additional exploratory Gene Ontology analyses using GOSTat (http://gostat.wehi.edu.au). Gene Ontology categories overrepresented among genes upregulated in caregivers included wound healing (e.g., THBS1, EREG; GO:0042060), chemotaxis (e.g., VEGF, IL8; GO:00050918), and angiogenesis (e.g., VEGF, EREG; GO:0001525). Functional characteristics of downregulated genes included involvement in catabolism (e.g., PSMB5, PRDX3; GO:009056), lytic activity (e.g., ASAHL, LIP4; GO:0000323), and immune defense (e.g., TLR1, HLA-DAO1; GO:000952). These patterns mirror the results of the TELiS analyses in suggesting that chronic stress generally activates pro-inflammatory genes but may simultaneously inhibit some genes involved in specific microbial-defense operations.

**Figure 3.** Transcriptional activity of glucocorticoid receptors (GR) and nuclear factor kappa-B (NF-κB) signaling pathways and expression of inflammatory biomarkers in circulation. In Transcription Element Listening System (TELiS) bioinformatics analysis of response element prevalence in promoters of differentially expressed genes, (A) GR response elements are underrepresented in genes upregulated in stressed caregivers, whereas (B) transcripts bearing response elements for NF-κB are overrepresented. In serum, caregivers display significantly higher concentrations of the inflammatory biomarkers (C) C-reactive protein and (D) interleukin-1 receptor antagonist.

over the day. Though caregivers showed higher cortisol than control subjects 4 hours after waking (\( t = 4.19, p = .029 \)), there were no significant differences at other times of day, and the groups were similar on global indices such as the diurnal rhythm of secretion and total output over the day (\( p > .59 \)). We also considered whether transcriptional differences were attributable to reduced GR expression in caregivers. However, the groups expressed similar quantities of GR mRNA in monocytes (by microarray, 9.80 ± .12 vs. 10.05 ± .18 log2 relative gene expression units, \( p = .29 \); by RT-PCR, 4.88 ± .92 vs. 4.65 ± .66 log2 GAPDH-normalized relative expression units, \( p = .12 \)).

To evaluate the possibility that demographic, behavioral, and biomedical disparities between caregivers and control subjects were responsible for the differential transcription patterns, we employed analysis of covariance to remove any variance in gene expression profiles attributable to a potential confounder prior to TFBM analysis (29). Caregivers continued to exhibit higher NF-κB:GRE activity ratios (all \( p < .04 \)) following adjustment for demographic characteristics (age, gender, ethnicity, and educational background), as well as behavioral characteristics (use of cigarettes and alcohol, exercise and sleeping tendencies) and biomedical characteristics (body mass index, self-rated health,
Discussion

Biobehavioral research has long struggled to resolve the paradox that chronic stressors accentuate vulnerability to inflammatory diseases while simultaneously enhancing secretion of immune-dampening glucocorticoid hormones. One hypothesis attempting to reconcile these apparently conflicting observations postulates that chronic stressors bring about functional resistance to cortisol-mediated signaling (14,16–18). Initial support for this proposition has emerged in a series of studies in which chronic stressors have been shown to diminish the capacity of glucocorticoids to suppress ex vivo inflammatory cytokine production (14,17,19,20).

Here we build on this work using genomewide transcriptional profiling and functional bioinformatics techniques to assess GR-mediated gene regulation in vivo. Our results identify an in vivo transcriptional fingerprint of chronic stress in humans and do so in a cell type that drives inflammatory pathology in many common diseases. This profile suggests a scenario in which long-term stress brings about a functional resistance to glucocorticoid signal transduction in monocytes, which reduces inhibition of NF-κB and EGR1 and thereby fosters the kind of pro-inflammatory dynamics that ultimately promote chronic diseases, including diabetes, coronary disease, autoimmune disorders, chronic infections, and some cancers (11–13). Notably, resistance to glucocorticoids and mild, systemic inflammation have also been implicated in the pathogenesis of depression (15,40–42), suggesting that the dynamics observed herein may help explain the affective difficulties often found among caregivers (43).

These findings converge with evidence from studies of rodents, which experimentally manipulate exposure to stressors, and find that it diminishes sensitivity to glucocorticoid-mediated signaling, both in the immune and nervous systems (19,44). They also converge with a recent microarray profile of socially isolated individuals, which documented a similar pattern of diminished GR- and heightened NF-κB-dependent transcription (29). Collectively, these studies suggest that long-term stressor exposure interferes with the transduction of cortisol-mediated signaling and, in doing so, fosters pro-inflammatory dynamics. This may in turn serve as a common biological pathway by which psychosocial risk factors contribute to the development and progression of medical illness (1,45).

The mechanisms responsible for diminished glucocorticoid-mediated transcription in stressed persons remain unclear. We did not observe caregiving-related disparities in the output of cortisol. However, subjects had been caregiving for an average of 8 months, and the lack of difference in cortisol is consistent with evidence that HPA output rebounds to normal (and later below normal) during long-term chronic stress (8). We also considered the possibility that transcriptional disparities were attributable to reduced GR expression in caregivers. However, the groups expressed similar quantities of GR mRNA. Together, these findings suggest that although caregivers are secreting normal volumes of cortisol and have sufficient GR available to transduce hormone signals, this message is not registered equivalently at the level of monocyte gene transcription. We think it is likely that stressor-induced post-translational modifications to the GR are responsible for this phenomenon (18), but further research is necessary to evaluate this hypothesis.

In addition to providing an explanation for the paradoxical influences of chronic stressors on inflammatory conditions, bioinformatic analyses revealed a broader pattern of diminished IRF1-, ELK-1-, and Oct-mediated transcription in monocytes. These findings suggest that at the same time chronic stress engenders pro-inflammatory activity in monocytes, it may interfere with basic microbial-defense processes involving interferon signaling, cell proliferation and differentiation, and pathogen digestion. These dynamics may help to explain the especially potent influence of chronic stressors in virally mediated diseases (46).

The principal limitations of this project are its small sample and its cross-sectional design. Although the design precludes inferences about the direction of causal relationships, it is difficult to conceive of plausible reverse-directional explanation for the findings. Moreover, covariance analyses ruled out a variety of potential demographic, behavioral, and biomedical confounders, and the results converge with experimental studies in animals, wherein the causal influence of stressors on sensitivity to glucocorticoid signaling has been established (19,44). Nonetheless, the findings need to be considered preliminary until they have been substantiated with larger samples, more rigorous prospective designs, additional functional indicators of glucocorticoid sensitivity, and assessments of other hormonal response systems (e.g., the sympathetic nervous system). It will also be important for future studies to determine what role depressive symptoms and other mood states play in mediating the effects of caregiving and what implications the transcriptional dynamics we identified have for the development and progression of inflammatory diseases. With regard to the latter issue, caregivers’ levels of C-reactive protein averaged 3.14 mg/L, which places them at high-risk for coronary heart disease according to practice guidelines (47). However, it remains unclear whether this inflammation is of sufficient magnitude and duration to bring about clinical illness. However, with more research of this nature, scientists and physicians will gain deeper insights into the biological mechanisms through which stressors “get under the skin” to influence disease.
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